STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING

FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING

<u>ه•••</u>ه

TIME: 9:00 a.m.

DATE: Thursday, June 26, 2014

PLACE: Commission on Peace Officer Standards

and Training

1601 Alhambra Boulevard Sacramento, California

(Via teleconference: San Jose and

Huntington Beach)

<u>~••</u>

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

&****

Reported by:

Daniel P. Feldhaus California Certified Shorthand Reporter #6949 Registered Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter

Daniel P. Feldhaus, C.S.R., Inc.

Certified Shorthand Reporters 8414 Yermo Way, Sacramento, California 95828 Telephone 916.682.9482 Fax 916.688.0723 FeldhausDepo@aol.com

APPEARANCES

POST COMMISSION FINANCE COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT

SYLVIA MOIR
(Finance Committee Chair)
Chief
El Cerrito Police Department

PETER KURYLOWICZ, JR. *

Deputy Sheriff
Riverside County Sheriff's Department

LAREN LEICHLITER *
Sheriff
San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department

RONALD LOWENBERG *
Director
Criminal Justice Training Center

JETHROE MOORE II **
Public Member

ANTHONY MUÑOZ
Sergeant
Alameda Police Department

~••••

POST COMMISSIONERS PRESENT

LAURIE SMITH **
(Commission Vice Chair)
Sheriff
Santa Clara County

LAI LAI BUI Sergeant Sacramento Police Department

SANDRA HUTCHENS *
Sheriff-Coroner
Orange County

APPEARANCES

POST STAFF PRESENT

(participating staff)

ROBERT STRESAK
Executive Director
Executive Office

STEPHANIE SCOFIELD
Assistant Executive Director
(Administrative Services)
Executive Office

MARIE BOUVIA
Executive Assistant
Executive Office

RON CROOK

Multimedia Specialist

Learning Technology Resources Bureau

DARLA ENGLER
Bureau Chief
Administrative Services Bureau

CONNIE PAOLI
Administrative Assistant to the Director
Executive Office

<u>ه•••</u>ه

- * Appearing via teleconference from Golden West College, 158744 Golden West Street, Huntington Beach, California
 - ** Appearing via teleconference from Santa Clara County Sheriff's Office 55 W. Younger Avenue San Jose, California

&•••

I N D E X

Proceedings	Pa	ge
Call to Order and Welcome	•	5
1. Approval of February 19, 2014, Finance Committee Minutes		7
2. Report on Revenue as of May 31, 2014		9
3. Report on Expenditures as of May 31, 2014		14
4. Report on Reimbursements for Fiscal Year 2013-14		16
5. Report on Expenditure Reduction Plan for Fiscal Year 2013-14		17
6. Report on Enacted Budget for Fiscal Year 2014-15		33
7. Report on Request to Renew Contracts for FY 2014-15 - Corona Solutions	•	35
8. Old Business	•	37
9. New Business	•	37
Adjournment	•	38
Reporter's Certificate	•	39
്രം • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

```
1
                 Thursday, June 26, 2014, 9:06 a.m.
2
                        Sacramento, California
3
                                <u>~•••</u>
          MR. STRESAK: I think we're ready to go. We're
4
5
     going to open with roll call, and because of the
     teleconference configuration, please be mindful of the
6
7
     fact of identifying yourself before speaking so that the
8
     reporter can appropriately record comments.
9
           Connie?
10
          MS. PAOLI: We'd like to do attendance, please.
11
          Bui?
12
           COMMISSIONER BUI: Here.
13
          MS. PAOLI: Cooke?
14
           Oh, wrong one.
          Doyle?
15
16
           (No response)
17
           MS. PAOLI: Dudley?
18
           (No response)
19
          MS. PAOLI: Hutchens?
20
           (No response)
21
          MS. PAOLI: Kurylowicz?
22
           COMMISSIONER KURYLOWICZ: Present.
23
          MS. PAOLI: Leichliter?
           COMMISSIONER LEICHLITER: Here.
24
25
           MS. PAOLI: Lowenberg?
```

```
1
          COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG:
                                    Here.
2
          MS. PAOLI: McDonnell?
3
          COMMISSIONER MCDONNELL: Here.
4
          MS. PAOLI: Melekian?
5
          (No response)
          MS. PAOLI: Moir?
6
7
          COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Here.
8
          MS. PAOLI: Moore?
9
          COMMISSIONER MOORE: Here.
10
          MS. PAOLI: Muñoz?
          COMMISSIONER MUÑOZ: Here.
11
12
          MS. PAOLI: Smith?
13
          COMMISSIONER SMITH: Here.
          MS. PAOLI: Wallace?
14
15
          (No response)
16
          MR. STRESAK: Okay, with that, I will turn this
17
     meeting over to the chair.
18
          Commissioner Moir?
19
          COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Thank you very much.
20
          Welcome, and good morning.
21
          And thank you to everyone that was responsible for
22
     setting up the technology components of this meeting,
23
     and for those at Golden West College for providing such
     an abundant meal for those of you down in Golden West.
24
25
          COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Well, thank you.
```

1	COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Certainly.
2	So the first item of business is the approval of the
3	February 19 th , 2014, Finance Committee meeting minutes.
4	And any conversation, or can we simply move to a
5	motion to approve?
6	(No response)
7	COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: And as a reminder, because
8	we are facilitating this meeting remotely, we will have
9	a roll-call vote for any items to approve.
10	So can I entertain a motion to approve?
11	COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Motion to approve.
12	Lowenberg.
13	COMMISSIONER McDONNELL: Second. McDonnell.
14	MS. PAOLI: The first one was?
15	MR. STRESAK: Lowenberg.
16	MS. PAOLI: Lowenberg.
17	COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Lowenberg.
18	So we're going to pause there and ask Mr. Feldhaus
19	if that do you need the name prior to the statement?
20	MR. FELDHAUS: Got it. Thanks.
21	COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Okay. Understood.
22	So moving on to the next item is the report on
23	revenue as of
24	COMMISSIONER BUI: Do you need a roll call?
25	MS. SCOFIELD: Madam Chair, we need a roll-call

```
1
     vote.
2
           COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Correct. I said that, and
3
     then I passed right over it.
4
          MS. PAOLI: Bui?
5
           COMMISSIONER BUI: Yes.
          MS. PAOLI: Doyle?
6
7
           (No response)
8
          MS. PAOLI: Dudley?
9
           (No response)
10
          MS. PAOLI: Hutchens?
11
           (No response)
12
          MS. PAOLI: Kurylowicz?
13
          COMMISSIONER KURYLOWICZ: Yes.
          MS. PAOLI: Leichliter?
14
15
          COMMISSIONER LEICHLITER: Yes.
16
          MS. PAOLI: Lowenberg?
17
          COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Yes.
18
          MS. PAOLI: McDonnell?
19
          COMMISSIONER MCDONNELL: Yes.
20
          MS. PAOLI: Melekian?
21
           (No response)
22
          MS. PAOLI: Moir?
23
           COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Yes.
24
          MS. PAOLI: Moore?
25
          COMMISSIONER MOORE: Yes.
```

1	MS. PAOLI: Muñoz?
2	COMMISSIONER MUÑOZ: Yes.
3	MS. PAOLI: Smith?
4	COMMISSIONER SMITH: Yes.
5	MS. PAOLI: Wallace?
6	(No response)
7	COMMISSIONER BUI: Connie, do we just need the
8	Committee members? The roll call of the Committee
9	members?
10	MS. PAOLI: Yes. Thank you.
11	COMMISSIONER BUI: Okay.
12	COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Ms. Paoli, we'll move on when
13	you're satisfied that you have it recorded.
14	MS. PAOLI: Okay.
15	COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Okay, moving On to Item 2,
16	report on revenue as of May 31st, 2014.
17	MS. SCOFIELD: Good morning, Committee Members.
18	This is Assistant Executive Director Stephanie Scofield.
19	The first item you have on Item Number 2 should be
20	titled, "Comparison of Revenue by Month." Page 1 of 1 is
21	titled the "Penalty Assessment Fund." And we'll just
22	give it some time for it to download on our end here.
23	Okay, we have visual on our end.
24	We're looking at the comparison of revenue by month,
25	the Penalty Assessment Fund.

This is reporting out through May 31st, this fiscal 1 year. The blue bar is representative of fiscal year 2 3 2012-13. And the gold bars are representative of the 4 current fiscal year, 2013-14. 5 As you can see, there's a decline each month, with the exception of April, where we were positive of 6 7 approximately \$54,000. 8 So our total revenue out of the Penalty Assessment 9 Fund for 2012-13 totaled 31,000 [sic]. And our 10 projections to end this fiscal year, 2013-14, is we're 11 going to be projected at \$29 million. And that is actually a 7 percent decrease from last fiscal year, 12 13 coming out of the Penalty Assessment Fund, which is in line with our projections from the Department of Finance 14 on the decline on the penalties on traffic violations 15 into the Penalty Assessment Fund. 16 We're going to move on to page 2. 17 18 MR. STRESAK: Does everybody have the visual? 19 COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Looks fine to me. COMMISSIONER MOORE: No, sir. Moore. 20 MR. STRESAK: San José doesn't have a visual? 21 22 MS. SCOFIELD: Commissioner Moore, do you have the 23 ability to view it with Commissioner Smith? 24 COMMISSIONER MOORE: Yes, we do. 25 MS. SCOFIELD: Commissioner Lowenberg at Huntington

1	Beach, does everybody have visual?
2	COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER HUTCHENS: Is that it in the lower
4	corner?
5	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: First thing, they have to
6	reverse because they have control of it, they have to
7	reverse which one we see larger, which screen is larger
8	for us.
9	COMMISSIONER HUTCHENS: Oh, okay.
10	MR. STRESAK: Golden West, do you have the visual up
11	on your screen?
12	COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Yes, we do.
13	MR. STRESAKE: Okay.
14	MS. SCOFIELD: Okay, we're going to move on to
15	page 2 of 4, which is the Transfer from the Driver
16	Training Penalty Assessment Fund.
17	This is, each fiscal year, POST receives \$14 million
18	from the Driver Training Penalty Assessment Fund. And
19	we normally see that towards the end of the fiscal year.
20	This year was interesting. We began seeing deposits
21	coming into our account in January. And we are on track
22	for the full \$14 million, through June of this year. And
23	that is a constant amount that we receive from the Driver
24	Training Penalty Assessment Fund. That has not changed.
25	COMMISSIONER HUTCHENS: I'm not on the Finance

1	Committee, so I don't…
2	MS. SCOFFIELD: Okay, we're going to move on to
3	page 3 of 4. And the title is "Other Sources of
4	Revenue." And this is our revenue from our coroner's
5	permit fees, as well as any other miscellaneous POST
6	fees, such as fees we charge for basic course waiver,
7	and some interest received on loans, as well as some
8	unclaimed checks.
9	As you can see, at the bottom of the page, the total
10	for fiscal year 2012-13 was \$446,000; and in May of this
11	year, you'll see a spike, up to \$390,000.
12	What happened here is, we received interest of
13	\$360,000 on a \$5 million loan that we gave to the
14	General Fund in 2008.
15	So in June, we've actually received payment of
16	\$4 million and we also received interest of \$360,000.
17	We are expecting the next million, of that \$5 million, to
18	be paid next fiscal year in 2015-16.
19	MR. STRESAK: Are there any questions on this chart?
20	(No response)
21	MR. STRESAK: Having none, we can move forward.
22	MS. SCOFIELD: Page 4 of 4. This is our cumulative
23	totals of all of our revenue per month for each for
24	the entire fiscal year.
25	In January and February, you'll notice an asterisk

1	next to each of the months there. And the monthly
2	percent increased 101 percent. And in February, it
3	increased 95 percent.
4	The explanation behind that
5	(Audio feedback)
6	MS. SCOFIELD: Are we still online with Golden West
7	College?
8	COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Yes, you're good.
9	MS. SCOFIELD: And are we still online with
10	Commissioner Smith and Commissioner Moore?
11	COMMISSIONER MOORE: Yes.
12	MS. SCOFIELD: So in January and February, we spiked
13	101 percent and 95 percent. And that was due to the
14	deposits from the Driver Training Penalty Assessment
15	Fund, which we normally don't see until the end of the
16	fiscal year.
17	And the note on the bottom, you'll note that the
18	normal revenue coming out of the Peace Officer Training
19	Fund is \$2.4 million and \$2.06 million, plus the
20	additional from the Driver Training Penalty Assessment
21	Fund.
22	So overall, our monthly percent and our cumulative
23	total percentages are down.
24	The total revenue for last fiscal year was
25	\$45 million. And we are projected, into this fiscal

1	year, to be at \$44 million. So that's a decrease of
2	3 percent of total revenue from all of our revenue
3	sources that we just discussed via the three previous
4	pages.
5	That completes the report for our revenue.
6	May I answer any questions on any of the four
7	charts?
8	(No response)
9	MS. SCOFIELD: Hearing none, we'll move on to
10	Item 3, which is our report on expenditures.
11	Commissioner Smith, do you have visual of the
12	expenditure report, page 1 of 1?
13	COMMISSIONER SMITH: I did see it on the screen.
14	It's very small; but I do have a printed copy.
15	MS. SCOFIELD: Thank you.
16	Commissioner Lowenberg at Golden West, do you have
17	visual of the expenditure report?
18	COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Yes, we do. Thank you.
19	MS. SCOFIELD: So with the Budget Act of 2013, our
20	allocated budget was \$59,677,000, which you can see at
21	the top there.
22	Our budget is broken down into the four main areas
23	listed in red: Our administration budget, our training
24	contracts, our training reimbursements, and our Museum
25	of Tolerance contracts, as well as reimbursements.

1	So the left-hand column shows what our allocated
2	budget was for this current fiscal year.
3	Our expenditures as of May $31^{\rm st}$ are listed on the
4	right.
5	We are trending well in all areas. We currently
6	have a balance available of \$9.9 million. We estimate
7	and project our expenditures throughout the rest of the
8	fiscal year to be approximately \$53.2 million in
9	expenditures. So that will leave a balance available of
10	\$6.4 million.
11	Just of note in the training reimbursements areas,
12	we added an accounts-receivable line. And this is money
13	we get back from our audits that the State Controller's
14	Office does on our reimbursements out in the field. And
15	we've now noted that for your information.
16	May I answer any questions on our expenditure
17	report?
18	COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Yes. Commissioner Moir. I
19	have a question.
20	To what do you attribute the savings?
21	MS. SCOFIELD: We attribute the cost savings to our
22	Expenditure Reduction Plan that began January $1^{ m st}$ of this
23	year. And I will provide more detail in our Item 5.
24	COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Excellent. Thank you.
25	MS. SCOFIELD: Any further questions on our

```
1
     expenditure report?
2
           (No response)
          MS. SCOFIELD: Hearing none, we'll move on to
3
     Item 4. And this is our summary of trainees and
4
5
     reimbursements.
           So the chart you should have visual on is entitled
6
7
      "Summary of Trainees and Reimbursements, Expenses By
8
     Category."
9
          And we're just waiting for it to download on our end
10
     here.
11
          Commissioner Smith, do you have visual in
12
     Santa Clara?
13
          COMMISSIONER SMITH: Yes, we do.
          MS. SCOFIELD: Commissioner Lowenberg, do you have
14
     visual in Huntington Beach?
15
          COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: We do.
16
          MS. SCOFIELD: Commissioner Bui, Commissioner Muñoz,
17
18
     do you have visual on your end?
19
           COMMISSIONER BUI: (Nodding head.)
20
          MS. SCOFIELD: So this is our summary of our
21
     trainees and our reimbursements for this fiscal year.
     This breaks down our categories into our resident
22
23
     subsistence, commuter subsistence, resident travel,
     commuter travel, tuition, and our backfill.
24
25
          You'll notice everything is trending down, with the
```

exception of backfill. Backfill actually increased 481,000 through May $31^{\rm st}$ of this year.

We equate that to the fact that our Expenditure Reduction Plan went into effect January 1st of this year, in which we suspended backfill. However, we need to keep in mind that POST accepts reimbursements for the current fiscal year, as well as the two previous fiscal years. So we projected that when agencies heard that our backfill was going to be suspended, we saw an increase of reimbursements from the two previous fiscal years that we pay out in this current fiscal year. So that was the reason for the increase, although backfill is suspended.

So all categories are trending down. And we equate this to our Expenditure Reduction Plan.

In the top area of the graph, you'll see our number of trainees for this time last fiscal year were 39,330.

And as of the same this year is 31,102. And that is a decrease, a significant decrease.

Our total reimbursements for student reimbursement went from \$17 million, to date, to \$13 million for this fiscal year. And that's a decrease of 26 percent financially in reimbursements to the field.

So we are seeing a cost savings with our Expenditure Reduction Plan that went into place as of January $\mathbf{1}^{\text{st}}$ of this year.

1	And I'll provide more detail momentarily on that.
2	May I answer any questions on the expenses by
3	category of trainees and reimbursements?
4	(No response)
5	MS. SCOFIELD: Hearing none, we'll move on to
6	Item 5. And this is our report on the status of our
7	Expenditure Reduction Plan.
8	And we have some new faces at the table. So just to
9	recap quickly: An 18-month Budget Expenditure Reduction
10	Plan was approved by the Commission in October of 2013,
11	in efforts to mitigate declining revenue into the Peace
12	Officer Training Fund from the State Penalty Assessment
13	Fund.
14	The Department of Finance projected a \$7.8 million
15	deficit at the end of fiscal year 2014-15 if left
16	unchecked.
17	The reduction plan consists of four components that
18	became effective January $1^{\rm st}$ and extends through June $30^{\rm th}$
19	of 2015.
20	Number one is to suspend backfill.
21	Number two is to contain contract course costs.
22	Number three, suspend Plan IV reimbursements with
23	the exception of contract or mandated courses.
24	And number four is to suspend some POST workshops
25	and symposia which will begin July 1 st of this year.

Number two, the contract course costs were contained at the February Commission meeting, and were approved by the Commission. So those are -- number two has been attained to date through the February Commission.

Attachment A to this agenda item is the bulletin that went out on October 7th describing the Expenditure Reduction Plan, as well as all of the courses that are exempt from the plan for suspension. Those are the mandated courses and contract courses.

Based on the above reduction plan, staff projected a \$4.9 million savings for fiscal year 2013-14. As of May 31st, 2014, expenditures for local agencies reimbursements totaled \$3.5 million, and expenditures for training and support contracts totaled \$19.2 million.

Based on these expenditures, cost savings for fiscal year 2013-14 are projected to be at \$5.1 million. This is a 4 percent increase from the initial reported projections.

And actual total expenditures and cost savings for the entire fiscal year are currently being analyzed by staff. And we'll have a full report for you at the October meeting.

Staff has recently testified before the Senate and Assembly Budget subcommittees on this Budget Expenditure Reduction Plan and the significant negative impact to California law enforcement.

On June 15th, 2014, the California Legislature approved a one-time allocation of \$3.2 million from the State's General Fund to the Commission's fiscal year 2014-15 budget. These funds are specifically allocated for local assistance to cities, counties, and districts pursuant to Penal Code 13523.

The local assistance funds that were suspended with the Budget Expenditure Reduction Plan are backfill reimbursement and Plan IV reimbursement, with the exception of mandated and contract training courses.

The \$3.2 million allocation does not enable the full reinstatement of both backfill and Plan IV reimbursements. Therefore, staff has researched two options for this committee to consider to distribute these funds in efforts to reinstate a component of the Budget Expenditure Reduction Plan.

Option A would be to reinstate backfill. Backfill, just to recap, reimburses local agencies for the costs to fill a vacancy created by sending a peace officer to a training course, or sending a peace officer to a training course on his or her day off.

Based on Commission regulation, backfill is authorized with Plan I and Plan II courses. There are currently 42 certified Plan I courses and 686 certified Plan II courses.

The majority of these courses involve perishable skills training, such as firearms, use of force, and arrest/control.

Currently, while backfill is suspended, local agencies can still submit for reimbursement for travel, per diem, and tuition costs associated with attendance to these training courses.

On average, backfill reimbursements are \$2.7 million per year, and approximately half of all agencies in the POST program have submitted for backfill reimbursement in fiscal year 2012-13.

So that's Option A, would be to reinstate backfill.

Option B for the Committee to consider, is to reinstate Plan IV course reimbursement relating to mental-health training.

Plan IV courses reimburse local agency for travel and per diem costs. The \$3.2 million allocation does not enable the full reinstatement of reimbursement for all the Plan IV courses that are currently suspended.

Staff analyzed current trends in California law enforcement, as well as listening to our clients in the field. We also analyzed the current focus of the California Legislature in terms of law-enforcement training.

For the last several years, there's been a

significant increase in law enforcement interactions with persons with mental illness or developmental disabilities. The majority of these contacts are safely and effectively resolved. Some contacts have ended in officer-involved shootings or the tragedy of mass killings and campus violence.

In the light of recent events, the Legislature is looking at law-enforcement training as a means to reduce or prevent these high-profile encounters. From 2011 to 2013, there has been a 33 percent increase in law-enforcement personnel attending POST-certified courses in the areas of mental-health training, crisis-intervention training, and persons with developmental disabilities. Staff projects this trend to continue to increase, and this option would reinstate reimbursement of courses pertaining to a current critical training need.

And we currently have 30 certified courses in this area, certified throughout California. So those are two options for this Committee to discuss.

And I'll be happy to answer any questions.

COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Maybe not a question, but a comment. Commissioner Lowenberg.

And I would like to defer to our working chiefs and sheriffs in regards to both of these options.

1	This second option, although critical training
2	and I use the local example of the crisis-intervention
3	training we're doing here in Orange County, and it's
4	being funded by the State, so the cost to local agencies
5	is zero. But I don't want to use that as the only
6	reference. I only use that reference because I'm most
7	familiar with it.
8	So I guess my point is, is that if that's the case
9	in other regions of the state, then would we be better
10	to look at your first option regarding backfill?
11	And I think our chiefs and sheriffs could probably
12	answer that question better than I can.
13	So that's just an observation.
14	MR. STRESAK: Director Stresak here.
15	Commissioner Lowenberg, are you referring to the
16	\$12.5 million that was proposed through Senator
17	Steinberg's office?
18	COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Well, Bob, I'm not sure.
19	I'm not familiar with that proposal.
20	What I am familiar with is the ongoing need for
21	training to deal more effectively with the mentally ill,
22	the homeless, and school violence. And I'm sure there's
23	other training institutions like ours, in partnership
24	with local law enforcement, that is trying to meet that
25	need, either through the training that we're offering for

campus safety, to the 24-hour course on -- or excuse me, 1 the 16-hour course on crisis-intervention training. 2 3 that is in partnership with the Orange County Chiefs and Sheriffs' Association and the Orange County Mental Health 4 folks. 5 And we've trained 2,000 local peace officers, and 6 7 we're offering a course a month, sometimes two a month. 8 [brief loss of audio feed] to this day. And we're just 9 granted a second five-year contract to continue that 10 training. 11 So -- but I'm not familiar with what's going on in 12 other parts of the state. And I'm just trying to 13 identify the best bang for our buck in regards to these two options. You know, someone would argue, I suspect, 14 that crisis-intervention training, or more effectively 15 dealing with the mentally ill, including adding hours to 16 the academy, which we have done, in that area; or is it 17 18 the backfill issue. 19 So, I don't know. Maybe I'm confusing the issue, 20 but... 21 COMMISSIONER BUI: May I? 22 MR. STRESAK: Sure. 23 COMMISSIONER BUI: This is Lai Lai Bui. 24 I'm in agreeance with you, Commissioner Lowenberg. 25 It just seems that Option A would just give us a broader

```
opportunity for officers to get different types of
1
2
     training.
3
          But I do have a question -- I don't want to put you
     on the spot here, Stephanie -- but have you guys looked
4
5
     at the --
          COMMISSIONER MOORE: We can barely hear you.
6
7
          COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Yeah, we can't hear at this
8
     end.
9
          Thank you.
10
          COMMISSIONER BUI: Can you hear me now?
11
          No? This one?
12
          MR. STRESAK: Lai Lai, you need to use this one.
13
     Yes.
14
          COMMISSIONER BUI: Okay. Maybe I should switch
15
     places with you.
16
          MR. STRESAK: There you go.
17
          Hang on for one second.
18
          COMMISSIONER BUI: Okay, so what I was saying is,
19
     I am in agreement regarding Option A, that it affords
20
     officers more opportunities to attend different types of
21
     training, so they're not limited to just mental-health
22
     training.
23
          But I was telling Stephanie that I didn't want to
     put her on the spot. However, have we looked at the
24
25
     percentage of increase in assaults or killings of
```

1	officers over the last couple years relating to persons
2	with mental-health issues? Any LEOKA studies that would
3	indicate that?
4	MS. SCOFIELD: I know our LEOKA studies is looking
5	at that. I do not have the statistics offhand for you.
6	COMMISSIONER BUI: Okay.
7	MR. STRESAK: Bob Stresak.
8	I am not aware of any compelling statistics at this
9	point on that issue.
10	COMMISSIONER BUI: Okay.
11	MR. STRESAK: Commissioner Lowenberg, just to get
12	back to your point real quick.
13	The reason I raised that question was that,
14	initially, there was some money allocated specifically
15	for mental-health training. The legislation has been
16	amended to make that money optional for other purposes.
17	So that's why I was addressing that.
18	So given that, we'll refer back to your original
19	question regarding input from the chiefs and other
20	organizations.
21	COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Thank you, Bob.
22	COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: So this is go ahead.
23	COMMISSIONER SMITH: Laurie Smith.
24	Bob, can you talk more about this proposal to
25	Steinberg? Will there be money for mental-health

training? I think it's very important, but I also think 1 backfill is very important. 2 3 Mental-health training is something that we're all 4 struggling to do. 5 And also, Reverend Moore just reminded me that if we approve Option A, we can get backfill for sending people 6 to mental-health training that many of us provide. 7 8 So I'll let you know more about what's been proposed 9 to Steinberg. 10 MR. STRESAK: Thank you, Commissioner. 11 We did not propose anything to Senator Steinberg's 12 office, just as a point of clarification. And as you 13 know, his office is focused on mental-health issues, and 14 has been for many years. 15 There was an initial proposal for mental-health training, with an allocation of 12.5 to be allocated to 16 local law enforcement, and an allocation of 24.5 million 17 18 to be allocated to the California Department of 19 Corrections and Rehabilitation. 20 It was my understanding that some law enforcement organizations had talked to Senator Steinberg's office, 21 22 and he had amended the 12.5 allocation to be used 23 optionally for mental-health training. That's about as 24 much clarification as I could offer you on that point.

I can tell you that in regards to -- from our

25

perspective, with the \$3.2 million -- which we are very thankful to have and we are very grateful to the support of all the major law enforcement organizations that advocated for an increase in our budget. And as I think you're originally aware, or might be aware, we originally proposed \$6.4 million, and we received \$3.2 million. They split the baby in half on that issue.

So the question was, for us, is how do we maximize our dollars to the field? How do we maximize the delivery of the dollars to the field? And it was our feeling that out of the \$3.2 million, it could be drawn upon -- exhausted very quickly if only allocated for backfill.

And we felt that perhaps the best cluck for our buck, if you will, would be to allow that the majority of that money to go to Plan IV reimbursement courses.

If there's discussion on the specific courses that need to be addressed, I'm sure this committee will entertain discussion on those issues. But that was the thinking and rationale behind that. The issue was, how do we provide maximum delivery of service to the field with the amount of money that we've been given.

Does that help?

MS. SCOFIELD: And also, Commissioner Smith, for clarification regarding Plan IV reimbursements on the

mental-health courses. Plan IV reimbursements do not 1 reimburse for backfill. So if Plan IV mental-health 2 training courses were brought back online, the 3 reimbursements would be travel and per diem. 4 COMMISSIONER SMITH: Okay, thank you. 5 COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: This is Commissioner Moir. 6 7 My perspective on this is that while it's appealing 8 as a local chief to pursue backfill reimbursement, I 9 think Option B, as recommended by POST staff, is not 10 only responsive to the field, it is responsive to our 11 communities, and it is responsive to what our Legislature 12 is saying is a major issue. And that is why the 13 conversation has taken place at all levels of our state: What are first-responders going to do about the 14 increasing and emerging trend of mental-health issues, 15 16 first-responders engaging with those that are mentally unstable; and what are we going to do to reduce the 17 18 tragedy -- or tragedies of mass killings. 19 I think this reflects -- Option B reflects an 20 equitable distribution of funds when the full restoration 21 of backfill or full restoration of Plan IV is not 22 available. 23 Out of the 6.4, we've been given 3.2. I think this 24 is placing an emphasis on an emerging and increasing 25 It emphasizes what is a critical need in first trend.

1	responders in law enforcement. And I would encourage us
2	to more vigorously pursue conversation around Option B.
3	COMMISSIONER MOORE: Hello, this is Commissioner
4	Moore. I would concur with the last opinion given on
5	Option B.
6	COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Thank you, Commissioner.
7	MR. STRESAK: Is there any input from Golden West?
8	COMMISSIONER McDONNELL: Jim McDonnell.
9	I look at a lot of issues we face in the field, and
10	you look at a lot of these active-shooter scenarios
11	nationwide, and everybody focuses on guns. But really,
12	the common denominator on all of these is mental illness.
13	And whether it's something that arises to that level or
14	it's something that we deal with on a daily basis, this
15	is a recurring theme across the board for our failure as
16	a society to deal alternatively with mental illness.
17	MR. STRESAK: Thank you, Commissioner.
18	COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Other questions or
19	conversation about this item?
20	COMMISSIONER BUI: Going back to Option A this
21	is Lai Lai Bui can you kind of give us an estimate of
22	how many positions or officers would be able to attend
23	training based on this option?
24	MS. SCOFIELD: I can tell you, we spent
25	approximately \$2.7 million per year on backfill. And we

have approximately 300 agencies that put in for Plan IV 1 backfill reimbursement. We don't have the ability to 2 3 break that down into number of positions because overtime 4 varies per agency. 5 COMMISSIONER BUI: Okay. COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: So while everyone is 6 7 marinating on this, what will occur is, once we conclude 8 the Finance Committee conversation on this item, we will 9 take appropriate -- the appropriate action would be to 10 move forward with a motion to authorize the Executive 11 Director to reinstate a component of the Budget Expenditure Reduction Plan as enumerated in this report. 12 13 And we would make a recommendation to the full Commission 14 later today. That gives us a moment to consider if we have other 15 questions that we want to ask of staff regarding this 16 17 item. 18 COMMISSIONER LEICHLITER: Commissioner Leichliter. 19 So are you just saying that these two items are both 20 going to be on the same thing that go to the full board? So all we're doing right now is approving the motion to 21 22 go ahead with these two options to give to the entire 23 board; correct? 24 COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: With the recommendation of 25 the Finance Committee. If we narrowed it down to one,

```
then we would move forward to the Commission and say that
1
2
     this group supports -- or this committee supports one
3
     option over another. And that's how it would move
     forward to the Commission.
4
5
          COMMISSIONER LEICHLITER: Thank you.
          COMMISSIONER MUÑOZ: This is Commissioner Muñoz.
6
7
          If there's no other discussion on it, then I'll go
8
     ahead and make a motion that we accept Option B to
9
     forward up to the full committee.
10
          COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Do we have a second?
11
          Did we have a second?
12
          COMMISSIONER KURYLOWICZ: Commissioner Kurylowicz.
13
     Second.
          MR. STRESAK: Did everybody hear the motion?
14
          COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Yes.
15
16
          COMMISSIONER MOORE: Yes.
17
          COMMISSIONER KURYLOWICZ: Yes.
18
          COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: So we will now engage in a
19
     roll-call vote.
20
          MS. PAOLI: Moir?
          COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Yes.
21
22
          MS. PAOLI: Lowenberg?
23
          COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Yes.
24
          MS. PAOLI: McDonnell?
25
          COMMISSIONER McDONNELL:
```

```
1
          MS. PAOLI: Doyle?
2
          (No response)
3
          MS. PAOLI: Kurylowicz?
          COMMISSIONER KURYLOWICZ: Yes.
4
5
          MS. PAOLI: Leichliter?
          COMMISSIONER LEICHLITER: Yes.
6
7
          MS. PAOLI: Muñoz?
          COMMISSIONER MUÑOZ: Yes.
8
9
          COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: That concludes the roll-call
10
     vote.
11
          And that concludes Item 5.
          We're moving on to Item 6, Report on Enacted Budget
12
13
     for Fiscal Year 2014-2015.
          MS. SCOFIELD: Okay, the attachment -- this is
14
     Assistant Executive Director Scofield. The attachment to
15
     this item is entitled "Enacted Budget."
16
17
          Commissioner Smith, do you have visual on your end?
18
          COMMISSIONER SMITH: Yes, we do.
19
          MS. SCOFIELD: Commissioner Lowenberg, do you have
20
     visual on your end?
21
          COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: We do.
22
          MS. SCOFIELD: So this is showing you a comparison
23
     of the fiscal year 2013-14 budget, versus our enacted
     budget going into fiscal year 2014-15.
24
25
          I'd like to point out, in the gold area on our
```

revenues, that the May Revise from the Governor's office showed a decrease of \$1.1 million out of the penalties on traffic violations into the Peace Officer Training Fund.

So the \$28 million that you see there, under fiscal year 2014 in revenues, is reflective of a decrease of \$1.1 million coming out of the May Revision that just recently happened. So our projected revenue going into 2014-15 is \$54 million, which is obviously down from the \$69 million.

What's not reflected yet, and will be reflected at the October Commission, is our beginning balance is projected to be \$11 million. This is not reflective of any adjustments that we have coming at the end of this fiscal year, such as funds, unencumbered from contracts, and any adjustments from the previous fiscal year. So that number will increase, and will be reported at the October meeting.

Our budget allocation, in blue there, you'll see that we have a slight increase in our administration budget due to some salary increases that were approved by the Governor's office.

Our training reimbursements are down to \$15 million, from \$20 million. And that's in line with our Budget Expenditure Reduction Plan.

You'll notice an asterisk next to the \$15 million.

And that equates to the very last line, at the bottom of 1 the page. This -- we'll have \$15 million, plus the 2 3 \$3.2 million allocation from the General Fund that we 4 just had discussion on. 5 We did separate that out in our budget because that is a one-time allocation from the General Fund versus the 6 7 Peace Officer Training Fund. 8 Our training contracts are decreased as well, from 9 \$20 million to \$19 million. And our Museum of Tolerance 10 is static, at the \$2 million. 11 So our budget allocation from this fiscal year to 12 next fiscal year is down 9 percent, from \$58 million, 13 to \$53 million. And we'll be adjusting our grant funds as well for fiscal year 2014-15, as well as we'll be 14 decreasing some of our grant funding. And we will have 15 that number updated for you at the October Commission. 16 17 May I answer any questions regarding the enacted 18 budget? 19 (No response) Hearing none, we'll move on to the 20 MS. SCOFFIELD: last item. And this is a report to request for renewal 21 22 of a contract with Corona Solutions. 23 This is a request to enter into a contract with Corona Solutions for \$210,000. This is coming out of 24

our Management Counseling and Center For Leadership

25

1	Development Bureau.
2	Corona Solution provides software for POST staff to
3	use in our Management Counseling studies.
4	Our Management Counseling studies range from
5	property and evidence reviews, to full organizational
6	reviews, as well as workload analysis.
7	This software allows us to gather data from multiple
8	computer-aided dispatch systems throughout the state,
9	and analyze CAD data, such as calls for service, officer-
10	initiated activity, and downtime. This software is
11	necessary for staff to provide this service as dictated
12	by the Penal Code. And this will be a three-year
13	contract, not to exceed \$210,000.
14	May I answer any questions on this particular
15	renewal of contract?
16	(No response)
17	COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Hearing no questions, I need
18	a motion to approve the contract.
19	COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Lowenberg. Move to
20	approve.
21	COMMISSIONER McDONNELL: McDonnell. Second.
22	COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Outstanding.
23	That takes us to Item Number 8, which is
24	MS. PAOLI: Roll call.
25	MR. STRESAK: We need a commissioner roll-call vote.

```
1
          COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Pardon me, again.
2
          MS. PAOLI: Roll-call vote.
3
          Moir?
4
          COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Yes.
5
          MS. PAOLI: Lowenberg?
6
          COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Yes.
7
          MS. PAOLI: McDonnell?
8
          COMMISSIONER McDONNELL: Yes.
9
          MS. PAOLI: Doyle?
10
          (No response)
11
          MS. PAOLI: Kurylowicz?
12
          COMMISSIONER KURYLOWICZ: Yes.
13
          MS. PAOLI: Leichliter?
          COMMISSIONER LEICHLITER: Yes.
14
15
          MS. PAOLI: Muñoz?
          COMMISSIONER MUÑOZ: Yes.
16
          COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Now, seeing that that is
17
18
     concluded, Item 8, Old Business.
19
          Do we have any old business?
20
          (No response)
21
          COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: None.
22
          (No response)
23
          COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: New Business?
24
          (No response)
25
          COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: None.
```

1	So prior to actually seeking a motion to approve the
2	entire report, I want to commend staff, once again, for
3	their detail, the analysis, and the presentation, both
4	visually and verbally on financial items.
5	It always gives us great confidence that staff, led
6	by Assistant Executive Director Stephanie Scofield, does
7	an outstanding job.
8	So prior to seeking a motion, I wanted to ensure
9	that we said that.
10	So we need a motion
11	MR. STRESAK: Thank you.
12	COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Absolutely. Thank you.
13	We need a motion to approve the entire report.
14	COMMISSIONER LEICHLITER: Motion. Leichliter.
15	COMMISSIONER MUÑOZ: Second. Muñoz.
16	COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: And that concludes the
17	Finance Committee meeting.
18	We will adjourn until 10:00 for the next committee
19	meeting.
20	Thank you.
21	MR. STRESAK: Thank you, Commissioners
22	(The Finance Committee meeting concluded
23	at 9:48 a.m.)
24	∂∞•••
25	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify:

That the foregoing proceedings were duly reported by me at the time and place herein specified; and

That the proceedings were reported by me, a duly certified shorthand reporter and a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand on $$\operatorname{\textsc{July}}\ 17^{\text{th}}$, 2014}$

Daniel P. Feldhaus California CSR #6949 Registered Diplomate Reporter Certified Realtime Reporter