STATE OF CALIFORNIA

PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING

POST COMMISSION MEETING OPEN SESSION

&****

TIME: 10:00 a.m.

DATE: Thursday, February 19, 2015

PLACE: Wyndham Anaheim/Garden Grove

Santa Rosa Ballroom A 12021 Harbor Boulevard Garden Grove, California

%•••

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

~•••

Reported by:

Daniel P. Feldhaus California Certified Shorthand Reporter #6949 Registered Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter

Daniel P. Feldhaus, C.S.R., Inc.

Certified Shorthand Reporters 8414 Yermo Way, Sacramento, California 95828 Telephone 916.682.9482 Fax 916.688.0723 FeldhausDepo@aol.com

APPEARANCES

POST COMMISSIONERS PRESENT

SANDRA HUTCHENS
(Commission Chair)
Sheriff-Coroner
Orange County

LAREN LEICHLITER
(Commission Vice-Chair)
Sheriff
San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department

LAI LAI BUI Sergeant Sacramento Police Department

ROBERT T. DOYLE
Sheriff
Marin County

JOYCE DUDLEY Santa Barbara District Attorney Santa Barbara County

PETER KURYLOWICZ, JR.

Deputy Sheriff
Riverside County Sheriff's Department

RONALD LOWENBERG
Director
Criminal Justice Training Center

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\$

SYLVIA MOIR
Chief
El Cerrito Police Department

JETHROE MOORE II Public Member

APPEARANCES

POST COMMISSIONERS PRESENT

continued

LAURIE SMITH
Sheriff
Santa Clara County

LARRY J. WALLACE
for KAMALA D. HARRIS, Attorney General
Department of Justice

&****

POST STAFF PRESENT

(participating staff)

ROBERT STRESAK
Executive Director
Executive Office

WILLIAM "TOBY" DARDEN
POST Commission Counsel
Department of Justice
Office of the Attorney General

ALEXIS BLAYLOCK Legislative Liaison Executive Office

RON CROOK
Training Video Coordinator
Learning Technology Resources Bureau

CONNIE PAOLI
Administrative Assistant to the Director
Executive Office

~•••

APPEARANCES

ALSO PRESENT

GEORGE BEITEY

Committee Vice-Chair

State Chancellor's Community College Office

TODD ELGIN
Chief
Garden Grove Police Department

RICHARD J. LINDSTROM
California Academy Directors' Association



Proceedings	Page
Call to Order	9
Color Guard and Flag Salute	9
Garden Grove Police Department	
Moment of Silence	9
Investigator Michael Davis, Jr. Placer County Sheriff's Department	
Deputy Danny Oliver	
Sacramento County Sheriff's Department	
Officer Shaun Diamond	
Pomona Police Department	
Deputy Eugene Kostiuchenko Ventura County Sheriff's Department	
ventura country sherriff s separement	
Roll Call of Commission Members	10
Introduction of POST Advisory Committee	
Chair, POST Legal Counsel, and the Executive Director and New Commissioners	11
Welcoming Address	
Chief Todd Elgin	
Garden Grove Police Department	12
Public Comment	17
Approval of Minutes	
A. Action Summary and Minutes for October 23, 2014, Commission Meeting	17

Consent Items: B.1 Report on Second Quarter FY 2014-15 Course Certification	eeding	<u>js</u>	Pag
B.1 Report on Second Quarter FY 2014-15 Course Certification			1
Course Certification	Conse	ent Items:	
Implementation	В.1	-	2
Peace Officer First-Aid/CPR Training Requirements	В.2		2
B.5 Report on Developments Concerning Mental Health Training Issues for Law Enforcement	B.3	Peace Officer First-Aid/CPR	2
Mental Health Training Issues for Law Enforcement	В.4	Report on the Strategic Plan Update	2
Commission Direction Related to Training Presented by the California Association of Tactical Trainers, formerly International Training Resources	B.5	Mental Health Training Issues for	2
Richard Lindstrom, Retiring Director State Center Regional Training Facility Bernard Melekian, Under-Sheriff Santa Barbara County Sheriff's Department Finance C. Financial Report from Finance Committee Meeting held February 18, 2015, Moir and Scofield	в.6	Commission Direction Related to Training Presented by the California Association of Tactical Trainers, formerly International Training	2
State Center Regional Training Facility Bernard Melekian, Under-Sheriff Santa Barbara County Sheriff's Department Finance C. Financial Report from Finance Committee Meeting held February 18, 2015, Moir and Scofield	в.7	Certificate of Appreciation	
Finance C. Financial Report from Finance Committee Meeting held February 18, 2015, Moir and Scofield			2
C. Financial Report from Finance Committee Meeting held February 18, 2015, Moir and Scofield			2
Committee Meeting held February 18, 2015, Moir and Scofield	Finar	nce	
-	C.	Committee Meeting held February 18,	2
	D.		, 6

eeding	<u>s</u>	Page
Financ	Ce continued	
Ε.	Report on the Proposed Budget for Fiscal Year 2015-16	45
Basic	Training Bureau	
T	eport on Proposed Changes to the raining and Testing Specifications or Peace Officer Basic Courses	65
Comput	ter Services Bureau	
fo Co	eport on SPO C.14.11: Request to Contractor Development and Implementation of a computer-Based Testing System to Replace he Test Management Assessment System	t 67
Execui	tive Office	
1	Report on the Request to Study the Feasibility of Instituting Fees for POST Products	68
Train:	ing Program Services Bureau	
I.	Report on Request to Accept Cal EPA Grant Funds to Develop an ICI Foundation Course	74
J.	Report on Request to Purchase Law Enforcement Driving Simulator System Warranty	76
Commit	ttee Reports	
K. 7	Advisory Committee, Beitey	78
	Legislative Review Committee, Moore and Blaylock	83

Proceedings	Page
Correspondence	
M. Correspondence from POST and	to POST 89
N. Old Business	90
O. New Business	
Nomination of Replacement for Committee Member	Advisory90
P. Future Commission Meeting Date	s 96
Q. Closed Executive Session	97
Executive Director Stresak's Performance Evaluation	
Conference with Legal Counsel Existing Litigation	re
Conference with Legal Counsel Potential/Existing Litigation	
Adjournment	97
Reporter's Certificate	98
<i>ବ</i> •••ଶ	

1	Thursday, February 19, 2015, 10:02 a.m.
2	Garden Grove, California
3	000
4	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: We're going to call the
5	POST Commission meeting to order.
6	Welcome to everyone, and thank you all for being
7	here and taking the time out of your busy schedule.
8	I'd ask you to all please stand. And I invite the
9	Color Guard from the Garden Grove Police Department.
10	(The Garden Grove Police Department Color Guard
11	entered the meeting room, flag salute.)
12	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: If you will please join
13	me in the Pledge of Allegiance.
14	(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)
15	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: I'd like you to join me
16	in a moment of silence, honoring the officers who lost
17	their lives in the line of duty since the last meeting.
18	The following officers died in the line of duty
19	since our last meeting:
20	Investigator Michael Davis, Jr., Placer County
21	Sheriff's Department.
22	Deputy Danny Oliver, Sacramento County Sheriff's
23	Department.
24	Officer Shaun Diamond, Pomona Police Department.
25	Deputy Eugene Kostiuchenko, Ventura County Sheriff's

```
1
     Department.
2
           (Moment of silence was observed.)
3
           COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Thank you.
           (The Garden Grove Police Department Color Guard
4
5
          exited the meeting room.)
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Let's thank the members
6
7
     of the Garden Grove Color Guard.
8
           (Applause)
9
           COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Please take your seats.
10
          Roll call of Commission members.
11
          MS. PAOLI: Bui?
12
          COMMISSIONER BUI: Here.
13
          MS. PAOLI: Doyle?
14
          COMMISSIONER DOYLE: Here.
15
          MS. PAOLI: Dudley?
16
          COMMISSIONER DUDLEY: Here.
17
          MS. PAOLI: Hutchens?
18
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Here.
19
          MS. PAOLI: Kurylowicz?
20
           COMMISSIONER KURYLOWICZ: Here.
21
          MS. PAOLI: Leichliter?
22
          VICE CHAIR LEICHLITER: Here.
23
          MS. PAOLI: Lowenberg?
24
           COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Here.
25
          MS. PAOLI: McDonnell?
```

```
1
          COMMISSIONER MCDONNELL:
                                    Here.
2
          MS. PAOLI: Moir?
3
           COMMISSIONER MOIR: Here.
4
          MS. PAOLI: Moore?
5
           COMMISSIONER MOORE: Here.
          MS. PAOLI: Smith?
6
7
           COMMISSIONER SMITH: Here.
8
          MS. PAOLI: Wallace?
9
          COMMISSIONER WALLACE: Here.
10
           COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Thank you.
           I would now like to introduce our POST Advisory
11
     Committee Chair, George Beitey.
12
13
          Welcome, George.
14
          ADVISORY COMMITTEE CHAIR BEITEY: Thank you.
15
           COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Our POST legal counsel,
16
     Toby Darden.
17
           Thank you, Toby.
18
          And our Executive Director, Bob Stresak.
19
          EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: Good morning.
20
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Good morning.
21
          And this morning, if I could invite Chief Todd Elgin
22
     from the Garden Grove Police Department to give us
23
     opening remarks. He's one of my colleagues in the
     County of Orange, and an individual I really enjoy
24
25
     working with.
```

So thank you for being here. 1 2 CHIEF ELGIN: Thank you. 3 My name is Todd Elgin, and I am the newly appointed police chief for the City of Garden Grove. And I'd like 4 5 to welcome you to the Wyndham Anaheim in the Grove District. And there's a story there. 6 7 I can tell you that the Wyndham is not in Anaheim 8 because when they call the police, we respond. However, 9 Mickey Mouse is in Anaheim, so I think that's the draw. 10 So welcome to the City of Garden Grove, and welcome 11 to the Grove District. You know, it's interesting, when I got asked to 12 13 speak to the Commission, I have to say I was a little intimidated. And the reason I was, is partly because 14 I'm new; but the other reason is because what am I going 15 to tell a group of people that basically set the 16 17 standards for California law enforcement, what am I 18 possibly going to say? 19 And, you know, I think it's important to note that 20 since 1959, the Commission on POST has been in charge 21 of the selection and training of local law-enforcement 22 agencies throughout the state, but also the country. 23 I think a lot of what the Commission does has been felt 24 throughout the country. 25 And I think the relationships that you've been able

to foster through law enforcement and also outside entities, both public and private, has really done a great -- has been of great benefit to law enforcement, and has kind of put us on the map of where we are today, as far as professionalism and how we do business.

I wanted to take this opportunity to thank you for what you do. And I think a lot of times, that goes unnoticed.

There's a reason why we in California kind of set that standard for the rest of the country. And I think it has a lot to do with this Commission. And I think it's important that we say thanks to people, especially during these tough times.

When you talk about tough times, you know, the old adage, "Times, they are a-changing," and that is for sure.

When you talk about changes, you know, we still -and I think I'm probably speaking for most
law-enforcement agencies -- we are still feeling the
effects of the 2008 recession and the losses and the hits
that we took with regard to resources there. And
we have not recovered from that recession. And that's
a big deal to us because crime is changing. All of a
sudden, all those years of low crime rates, it's starting
to swing.

You know, nationwide, there's this notion that law enforcement can't be trusted, we're heavy-handed, and that we enjoy trampling on the rights of the citizens that we serve.

The combination of prison realignment and Proposition 47, in my opinion, is posing a risk to our community.

I can tell you that the crime rates we've enjoyed -the lower crime rates we've enjoyed for the last five to
ten years, that pendulum is starting to shift back the
other way.

I just looked at my BCS report, which is a report that reports on Part 1 crimes, and I can tell you that the City of Garden Grove is up 23 percent on Part 1 crimes, as opposed to last year at this same time.

The emerging social issues with regard to homelessness and mental health, the last two years, our calls for service with regard to those types of calls, up a hundred percent -- over a hundred percent, actually. And, you know, most of those calls for service really don't have anything to do with police work, yet we're the ones that are sent out there to deal with the problem.

What they need is medical attention, medical help, they need help to get off the street. And,

unfortunately, we don't have those resources to offer.

And many times, those contacts turn confrontational.

And to complicate things even more, I just received a letter from the Commission of Peace Officer Standards and Training two weeks ago, that told me your budget has been cut by \$5.3 million, and that your authorized strength has gone from 123 to 86. And I'm thinking:

Wow, at a time where recruitment and training is more important now than probably it's ever been in our history.

So I ask you, could it get any worse? And the answer to that question is, yeah, it probably could. It may.

But for me, you know, this is not something that we're not used to. I mean, law enforcement has been backed into the corner many times in the past. And I think it's important that we understand that, and that we respond with that unity and that resolve that we always do, that shows the public that we are professionals, that we are committed to our communities, that we can evolve, and that we will continue to strive to keep our communities safe.

And I think the challenge for this committee today, and probably over the next year, is to figure out how you can overcome things like the budget cuts and still

respond to over 600 agencies that you respond to on a regular basis.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I think from me, to you, in looking at this and really giving it some thought, I think you'll be fine, because you are the experts. And I think you've proven that your cooperation, your advocacy, your professionalism, the information exchange, your resource stewardship -- all the things you talk about in your value statement, there's no doubt in my mind, and I think it's safe to say that most law-enforcement agencies understand this, that you guys will be able to rise to that occasion. So I encourage you to keep working at it and put your heads down. If there's anything that the Garden Grove Police Department can do to make your jobs easier -- and I think I probably speak for the Sheriff as well -- if there's anything we can do to make your job easier and try to continue to work on this partnership that we've had and we've had for over 55 years, I think we'll be able to get through this tough And there is no doubt we'll be able to show the communities that we work for that we are professionals, and that we will continue to evolve, and we will continue to push forward, regardless of what the obstacles are, regardless of what the budget; we'll be able to be successful in that.

1	So with that, I want to thank you very much.
2	Welcome to the City of Garden Grove. I hope you
3	have a great conference. And I appreciate you giving me
4	the opportunity to speak to this group.
5	Thank you.
6	(Applause)
7	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Thank you. Thank you,
8	Chief, both for your Color Guard this morning, and your
9	insightful comments. Much appreciated.
10	Okay, public comment. This is the time on the
11	agenda for public comment. This is a time set aside for
12	members of the public to comment on either items on the
13	Commission agenda or issues not on the agenda but
14	pertaining to POST Commission business.
15	Members of the public who wish to speak are asked
16	to limit their remarks to no more than five minutes
17	each. Please be advised that the Commission cannot take
18	action on items not on the agenda.
19	Do we have any public comment?
20	(No response)
21	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Seeing none, approval
22	of minutes.
23	I will ask for a motion on approval of the action
24	summary and minutes of the previous Commission meeting,
25	which occurred October 23 rd , 2014.

i	
1	COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Move it. Lowenberg.
2	COMMISSIONER McDONNELL: Second. McDonnell.
3	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Motion and a second.
4	All in favor?
5	(A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)
6	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Any opposed?
7	(No response)
8	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: The motion passes.
9	Moving on to the consent calendar.
10	Sorry, we have pictures before the consent calendar.
11	It's not on the agenda.
12	So we're going to pause now, and we're going to
13	what are we going to do?
14	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: I'll take it from here.
15	Thank you so much.
16	So I just wanted to give you a pictorial essay of
17	our move to our new facilities. This is something that
18	has been percolating since about 2011.
19	We had looked at buildings that many, many
20	buildings; and ultimately, we consummated our move a
21	couple weeks ago.
22	I want to reiterate that our building from which
23	we moved was over a hundred years old. It was a
24	triangular footprint. It was in various degrees of
25	decay. And we did share occupancy with a certain

1	population of rodents and insects. And we're glad to be
2	moving forward.
3	So I wanted to begin by just showing you from where
4	we came, just a couple pictures.
5	If you remember, our water leak and our water
6	damage there was a sprinkler activated by sunlight
7	and how the place was torn apart a few years ago.
8	Moving on.
9	This is our new facility. I believe it presents a
10	much greater professional appearance, greater openness.
11	Everything is located on the first floor, the
12	majority of our operations, to encourage better
13	interorganizational communication.
14	The interior: This is our new lobby. And then the
15	interior shots one more shot of our lobby with our
16	memorial wall.
17	This is our new furniture, our new cubes, our new
18	decor, selected I think it's just a complementary
19	presentation.
20	And, once again, I just got to reemphasize what a
21	great environment it is. You'll notice that we have
22	windows and sunlight, which is also encouraging to us.
23	A couple more shots.
24	A couple members of the happy staff there.
25	Our new cubicles.

A picture of our door. 1 Oh, these are our training rooms. This is another 2 3 thing that we're proud to have as a new feature. We will be able to host commission meetings and training at this 4 5 location. You've heard me mention many times, that I wanted 6 7 to see POST become more of a location where officers can 8 come for training. And we'll be able to provide that. 9 This is a view from one of our windows, out to the 10 waterfall, and an open, green walkway. It's in part of 11 the multiple office complex. Oh, this is a view from the conference room. 12 13 And this was moving day. So we're all dressed casual. And this is everybody that came out to relocate 14 and moving the locations. 15 So what I want to point out in part of this picture, 16 is in the front row is Stephanie Scofield. 17 18 Stephanie was the spearhead to this effort. And if 19 you would ask Stephanie if this was a happy journey, 20 I'm not sure how open and honest she would be; but she 21 had learned a lot about plumbing law and electrical law 22 and labor law, et cetera, et cetera. 23 She stuck with it. She was -- she persevered with 24 tenacity and culminated with a move that I would have to

say went 99 percent well, with a minor glitch that we --

25

I'm not sure we have phones yet. But all in all, moving 1 2 everything from point A to point B, she did an incredible 3 job. 4 So I'd like to recognize you, Stephanie, and just thank you for what you did. 5 6 (Applause) 7 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: So somewhere in the 8 future, we will hold an open house and invite you all up. 9 And you will be up for a Commission meeting in 2016, 10 I believe we will facilitate that. But I just believe 11 it just lends a better, higher level of degree of professionalism for what we do and what we stand for. 12 13 And just one last side note. Every building we had looked at, that we were allowed to look at, permitted 14 15 by the Department of General Services, had already been previously abandoned by another State agency, be it 16 17 Franchise Tax Board, State Parole, California Highway 18 Patrol -- they had already moved on. And we are tickled 19 to death to be in a building that's 80 years newer than 20 the one we were in. 21 So thank you very much for your indulgence. 22 COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Thank you, Bob. 23 (Applause) 24 COMMISSIONER SMITH: What is the location? 25 The location is EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK:

860 Stillwater. It's right across from the CHP Academy. 1 It's in West Sacramento. 2 3 COMMISSIONER SMITH: Okay. 4 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: I think what I also 5 need to point out -- thank you for your question -is that we will incur an annual savings of \$216,000 in 6 7 rent alone, moving to a newer facility and a more 8 efficient facility. LED lighting, there will be -- in 9 addition, our operating costs will be reduced also. 10 And we have the potential to incur another \$300,000 11 annually, with saving on meeting space that we rent 12 throughout the year to conduct meetings. 13 So we have a potential for about a half million dollars savings over a ten-year lease. So that's 14 significant, which we hope to redirect to other training 15 16 resources. 17 Thank you. 18 COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Bob, thank you. 19 And great leadership by both you and your staff. 20 I had the occasion to call in the middle of their 21 move with some questions on some issues. And I have to 22 say, it was like they had the time, they returned the 23 call -- they didn't miss a beat. So a great job by 24 everyone. 25 Let's give them another round of applause.

1	(Applause)
2	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, consent items:
3	In the interest of time, unless you have I'm going to
4	offer the opportunity for any of the commissioners to
5	pull an item that they would like further discussion from
6	staff on. So I will allow you that opportunity now. If
7	not, we will go for a motion for the entire consent
8	calendar.
9	I know there was a lot of discussion on Item 5,
10	mental-health training issues for law enforcement.
11	So if anybody would like further discussion on that
12	item, or any other item, please let me know.
13	(No response)
14	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, hearing none, I'll
15	ask for a motion on the entire consent calendar.
16	COMMISSIONER McDONNELL: (Indicating.)
17	COMMISSIONER BUI: Motion. Bui.
18	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, we have Jim
19	McDonnell.
20	Second?
21	COMMISSIONER BUI: Second. Bui.
22	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, second, Bui.
23	All in favor?
24	(A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)
25	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, any opposed?

1	(No response)
2	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: The motion passes.
3	We have a certificate of recognition.
4	Bob?
5	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: Thank you.
6	So we have a certificate of recognition for Richard
7	Lindstrom. And this is not to imply that Richard is
8	retiring immediately. He'll articulate that. But he
9	does have his plans in 2016 to retire.
10	And, Rich, I am thrilled to personally present this
11	to you.
12	Rich and I have gone back about 15 years in POST
13	business, beginning with our relationship that began
14	with the basic course.
15	And, Rich, for every basic course that you've
16	attended basic course and consortium that you've
17	attended, you've been there, I want to thank you. And
18	for every consortium advisory council that you've always
19	attended, I want to thank you.
20	And for the projects that you've worked through with
21	us on a day-to-day basis, I want to thank you for that.
22	For your leadership in the California Academy
23	Directors' Association, I want to thank you for that.
24	So the point of listing those is that Rich has
25	always been here for us. He's stood side by side and

worked together with us. 1 If you looked under the dictionary, under the 2 3 definition of "shrinking violet," you would not find Richard's picture. Rich has been honest and forthright 4 5 in his discussions and his concerns each and every time that he's had one. 6 7 And I appreciate your honesty, and thank you for 8 that. 9 But more importantly, that also reflects an 10 underlying commitment to who he was and the commitment 11 he's had to the professionalism of law enforcement. 12 So, Rich, I'll read you one brief paragraph on this. 13 "Your experience and insight have been invaluable in your service as a tenured member 14 of the Commission Advisory Committee. 15 "Your wisdom and commitment to excellence 16 17 are consistently evident in the opinions you 18 express and the advice you offer.

"While not retiring as Director of the State
Center Regional Training Facility, his decision
to pass the reins to another member of CADA
to serve on the Commission Advisory Committee
reflects his integrity and desire to prepare
others to continue to advance professionalism
of California law enforcement."

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Rich, thank you so much. 2 (Applause) (Photographs taken of Executive Director Stresak 3 with Certificate Recipient Richard Lindstrom.) 4 COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Madam Chair? 5 COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Yes? 6 7 COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Would it be appropriate if 8 I ask permission to speak just briefly about Rich? 9 COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Of course. 10 COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: I've had the privilege of 11 serving with Rich, not only as a commissioner and in 12 his role as an Advisory Committee member, but I remember 13 some ten years ago, now 12 years ago, when I transitioned from being a policing professional, to being a dean at 14 an institution of higher learning, and attending my first 15 consortium meeting. And I quickly realized that the 16 statesperson for the Commission's academies was Rich 17 18 Lindstrom. 19 And sometimes we joked about, "Oh, here goes Rich 20 again." But every time he raised an issue or asked a question, as our Executive Director aptly pointed out 21 22 earlier, everyone listened, because we knew that he was 23 the senior member of the consortium, he really knew what 24 he was talking about, and raised the questions that many 25 of us didn't even know what questions to ask.

So as one commissioner and one member of the 1 2 consortium, I want to personally thank Rich for your 3 many, many contributions that you have given to California law enforcement in your role as an academy 4 director or, more importantly, as a member of the 5 consortium and of the Advisory Committee. 6 7 Thank you, Rich. 8 MR. LINDSTROM: Thank you. 9 (Applause) 10 COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Rich, did you have any 11 remarks you would like to make? 12 MR. LINDSTROM: I could. I'm usually not at a loss 13 for words. I want to thank the Commission for appointing me 14 to the Advisory Committee; and I want to thank CADA for 15 putting in the application for me to be appointed to the 16 Advisory Committee. 17 18 I have never met such a wonderful group of 19 individuals, professionals in law enforcement, as I have 20 around this table, and at CADA, which is the California Academy Directors' Association. 21 22 And I appreciate the guidance of POST. 23 Like Mr. Stresak said, I usually was able to ask a question where everybody else probably wanted to ask 24 25 that question, but they weren't sure; but I felt I --

there was an innate sense in me that I had to ask something. I can't keep my mouth shut. So that proved to be a benefit for both POST and for the academy on many occasions. And I like to have everything out on the table, where we can have an open, frank discussion, whatever the subject matter is.

And I always appreciated POST's response to those questions also, because we always found common ground for the benefit of all. And I think that's a purpose of what we all do, is to find that common ground.

I was actually very reluctant to turn in my letter of resignation to the Advisory Committee because I've enjoyed it so much, the friendships that evolved from that relationship. But I felt it was extremely important for the next person that's going to sit and represent CADA, to be involved in the Strategic Plan. Because about the time the Strategic Plan is done is when I'm going to retire. And I needed to have -- I felt it was important to have somebody there, sitting in the seat in the development of the Strategic Plan, that will be there after I leave, and to carry on, and know what was said, why it was said, and so they can have their own opportunity to bring things up in the future, as things evolve.

So thank you again. I've appreciated it very much

1	for the opportunity to serve on the Advisory Committee.
2	Thank you.
3	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Thank you.
4	(Applause)
5	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, Finance Committee.
6	Do we have a financial report?
7	FINANCE COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Yes, you do.
8	I will start off.
9	Thank you, Madam Chair.
10	As the chair of the Finance Committee, I'll be
11	reporting out on our very robust meeting held here,
12	yesterday, Wednesday, February 18 th .
13	We will have a different visual now. And this is
14	really an example of a new report that was requested at
15	our last meeting.
16	Some of you may recall the mind-numbing report that
17	I gave, with a lot of numbers, that was difficult to
18	track.
19	Well, Bernard Melekian is a brilliant man and said,
20	"Let's do something different. Can we have some kind
21	of visual to guide us?" That went to POST staff, and
22	Stephanie and her team came up with this. I hope you
23	find it useful.
24	So I'm going to report out on this being your guide.
25	I'll start at the top, "Report on revenues."

Revenues from July 1, 2004, until December 31st, 2014, 1 totaled nearly \$9 million. 2 3 There was an overall drop of 2 percent in revenues 4 for the first six months as compared to last year. The Driver Training Penalty Assessment revenue 5 should begin in March of 2015. 6 7 Moving expenditures, 64 percent of the budget was expended or encumbered through December 31st, 2015, with 8 9 \$20.3 million remaining in the budget for the remainder 10 of the fiscal year. 11 The General Fund expenditures totaled \$13,842 out 12 of an appropriation of \$3.2 million for mental-health 13 training reimbursement. Now, as a reminder, what that was, with the 14 15 \$3.2 million that was restored to POST, the decision was made by this Commission, at the direction of POST 16 17 staff, to earmark that \$3.2 million for mental-health 18 training. 19 One thing that has not been realized is the full reimbursement for this POST training. 20 What does this mean? Well, what it means, is 21 22 there are quite a few reimbursements that have not been 23 processed yet. It also may signal to POST, and to all 24 of us, that we didn't need \$3.2 million for mental-health training. So POST Commission Finance Committee staff 25

asked POST staff to examine and evaluate options if we 1 do not realize the full \$3.2 million expenditure for 2 3 mental-health training, could it appropriately be used 4 for other types of training. 5 Moving on to report of personnel expenses, the number of authorized positions in POST right now remains 6 at 123, which is the same as last year. There was a 7 8 2 percent increase in authorized personnel expenditures 9 due to the Governor's general salary increase, effective 10 July 1, 2014. 11 Reporting on reimbursements: Local agency reimbursements between July 1st, 2004, and December 31st, 12 13 2014, totaled \$8.3 million. There was a 3 percent increase in reimbursements 14 15 for the first six months compared to the previous year. And POST is projecting a 9.25 percent increase of 16 trainees over last year by fiscal year end. 17 18 The reality is, we're in an interesting position. 19 And the Finance Committee continues to peel back the onion. And I always assert: Every time you pull back 20 the onion, you cry a little. 21 22 There weren't a lot of tears shed yesterday, but there were some that were looming. 23 So that concludes my portion of the Finance 24 25

presentation.

1	For the next part, I will defer to you, Madam Chair,
2	as we're going to call up our Assistant Executive
3	Director, Stephanie Scofield, just after the motion.
4	I just reported out on C. So I believe, ma'am,
5	we need a motion to accept; and then, Stephanie, the real
6	talent of the Finance Committee, will present.
7	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: There's a lot of talent
8	on that Finance Committee. So there's a lot of talent
9	to go around.
10	So do I have a motion on the acceptance of the
11	financial report?
12	COMMISSIONER MOORE: Moved. Moore.
13	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, second?
14	COMMISSIONER WALLACE: Wallace.
15	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, Wallace.
16	Yes, Laurie?
17	COMMISSIONER SMITH: Another question.
18	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Yes, I'm sorry.
19	COMMISSIONER SMITH: The mental-health training
20	reimbursement, does that include salary and/or backfill?
21	MS. SCOFIELD: No, Commissioner. Those are Plan IV
22	reimbursements, so that reimburses travel and per diem.
23	COMMISSIONER SMITH: Because this is something that
24	we're all going to probably have to increase what we do.
25	And can you ever change the plan for reimbursement just

1	for the mental-health part?
2	MS. SCOFIELD: What we are doing right now,
3	Commissioner, is assessing our core certification
4	guidelines, which includes a review of our reimbursement
5	plans.
6	And the idea is to consistently review our plans
7	and effect them towards all of the mental-health courses.
8	So right now, mental-health training courses are Plan IV
9	reimbursements.
10	COMMISSIONER SMITH: Thank you.
11	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, we have a motion
12	and a second.
13	All in favor?
14	(A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)
15	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Any opposed?
16	(No response)
17	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Motion carries.
18	All right, so my understanding is, Stephanie, that
19	you'll be reporting on contracts, but in groups?
20	MS. SCOFIELD: Yes.
21	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: So this will give the
22	commissioners an opportunity to look at about five in
23	a group. And if you want to object or abstain or need to
24	abstain, you'll have that opportunity to do that.
25	So with that, I will turn it over to Stephanie.

MS. SCOFIELD: Thank you, Madam Chair. 1 Each February, we bring our recurring contracts to 2 the Commission for approval. These are our training 3 contracts, which allows POST staff to continue necessary 4 training to California law enforcement. 5 And what we have for you is a summary sheet on the 6 7 screen here. And we've grouped them by bureau. 8 So our first bureau that we'd like to talk about, 9 I'll provide a summary for you. And if you require 10 further information, staff would be happy to report out 11 for you. The first bureau is Learning Technology Resources 12 13 Bureau. There are four contracts under this bureau. This has everything to do with our online training and 14 our video training. 15 The first one is our "Legal Update" video 16 17 production. And that's through Alameda County D.A. We 18 currently will not be further expending any money with 19 the "Case Law Update" videos. 20 What we've decided to do, in terms of cost savings, 21 we were producing a Case Law video every month. We are 22 now choosing to do one per year. So that will be with 23 the Golden West College contract. Our training videos: There is an asterisk there, 24

which corresponds to some notes at the bottom of the

25

page. The training videos, we will be entering into a two-year contract. And that is to develop four topic-specific videos.

Number 3 is our Learning Portal support. That would be a three-year contract. And that is all I.T. functionality and hosting of our Learning Portal.

And Number 4 is courses and tools for the Learning Portal. And that is a two-year contract to develop two self-paced learning courses on our Learning Portal.

And what I'd like to note is at the summary on the far right-hand side for LTR, is \$3.8 million. And what we're going to do is because some of these contracts are two- and three-year contracts, we will be encumbering -- we will split throughout the fiscal year. So if you look at Number 2, for a two-year contract, the total will be 1.9. However, encumbrances for '15-16 will be 970,000, as we'll incur into '16-17 the rest of that contract.

So in summary, for the Learning Training Resources Bureau, we have \$3.8 million for two- and three-year contracts. So for '15-16, encumbrances will be \$1,892,000.

COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, so we're going to call for a motion. If you have an abstention or opposed, it would be appropriate to do that after we have a motion on the batch.

1	Is that clear?
2	All right, so do we have a motion on the batch to
3	approve?
4	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: That would be the first
5	four items.
6	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: The first four items.
7	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: 1 through 4.
8	COMMISSIONER KURYLOWICZ: Kurylowicz. Motion to
9	accept.
10	VICE CHAIR LEICHLITER: Second. Leichliter.
11	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay. Do we have any
12	abstentions?
13	COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: I'll be abstaining.
14	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: On?
15	COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: In this for Golden West
16	College, Number 1, Golden West College.
17	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: On Number 1?
18	COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Yes.
19	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay.
20	COMMISSIONER SMITH: And I have a question.
21	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Commissioner Smith?
22	COMMISSIONER SMITH: So it appears Numbers 2, 3, and
23	4 are new contracts or because it doesn't look like
24	they were last year; is that correct?
25	MS. SCOFIELD: They are recurring contracts because

```
1
     we entered into -- two years ago, we entered into a
     two-year contract, which is now expired; and we're asking
2
3
     to reenter into the same contract.
4
          COMMISSIONER SMITH: Okay, so there was no bid
5
     process or anything like that? Because these are
     contracts that have been in place. You just don't show
6
7
     any money from last year because it was the second end
     of the two-year or three-year?
8
9
          MS. SCOFIELD: Exactly.
10
          COMMISSIONER SMITH: Okay, thank you.
11
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, any opposed?
12
          (No response)
13
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, the motion carries
     with one abstention on Item 1.
14
15
          All in favor?
          (A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)
16
17
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Opposed?
18
          (No response)
19
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: One abstention noted.
20
          Thank you.
21
          MS. SCOFIELD: Our next batch of contracts is for
22
     our Management Counseling, Leadership Development Bureau.
23
     This is our Command College contracts, our management
24
     course, our executive development course, the Sherman
25
     Block Supervisory Leadership Institute, our supervisory
```

```
1
     course, and our supervisory course facilitator training
2
     workshops.
3
          Number 20 is a contract that new instructors need
4
     to take this facilitator training prior to teaching in
     our supervisory course.
5
          The total in this block is $3,586,404; and that is
6
7
      just a slight increase from last year.
8
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Any questions?
9
          (No response)
10
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, we're looking for
11
     a motion for --
12
          COMMISSIONER MOIR: Motion. Moir.
13
          COMMISSIONER DUDLEY: Second. Dudley.
14
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Thank you.
15
          Any abstentions?
          COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Yes, Madam Chair, I'd like
16
17
     to be, on Numbers 6 and 9, abstention, please.
18
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay. Any opposed?
19
          (No response)
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: All in favor?
20
          (A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)
21
22
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Thank you.
23
          MS. SCOFIELD: Our next block is for our Training
     Delivery and Compliance Bureau. This is our basic
24
25
     course, driver training, EVOC -- Emergency Vehicle
```

1	Operations Course.
2	Just for clarity, this is not a separate course.
3	This is EVOC training in the basic academy.
4	The coroner's training courses, if you recall, we
5	receive coroner's permit fees of approximately \$221,000.
6	And that's rolled back into contract training for
7	coroners.
8	And our crime-analysis training out of Cal State
9	Sacramento and our courses out of the Department of
10	Justice, which are very high-level, highly technical
11	computer forensic courses that are not offered anywhere
12	else in the state except through the Department of
13	Justice.
14	This block totals \$3,561,272.
15	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Thank you.
16	Do I have a motion on 11 through 14?
17	COMMISSIONER BUI: Bui. Motion.
18	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Motion.
19	And second?
20	COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Lowenberg.
21	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Lowenberg?
22	I'll be one abstention on Item 12.
23	Any other abstentions?
24	COMMISSIONER WALLACE: I'll abstain on 14.
25	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Commissioner Wallace

```
on 14.
 1
2
          Commissioner Smith?
3
           COMMISSIONER SMITH: And I just have another
4
     question.
5
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay.
           COMMISSIONER SMITH: So I know that we have a
6
7
     certification for driver training, but I don't think
8
     we are a contractor.
9
           So there is a difference; correct?
10
          MS. SCOFIELD: Yes.
11
          COMMISSIONER SMITH: Okay, then I won't be
12
     abstaining.
13
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay.
          Any opposition?
14
15
           (No response)
           COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: All in favor?
16
17
           (A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)
18
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Motion passes.
19
          MS. SCOFIELD: The next block is our Training
20
     Program Services Bureau. This is our driving and force
21
      options simulator training, which assists the field in
22
     meeting perishable skill requirements.
23
          Our Institute of Criminal Investigation, which is
     multiple courses in the area of various criminal
24
      investigations.
25
```

1	Our Instructor Development Program, which our
2	ultimate goal is to improve the quality of instruction in
3	the classroom.
4	Our Quality Assessment Program and Simulator
5	Instructor Training. One has to attend Simulator
6	Instructor Training prior to teaching the simulator
7	instructors simulator training to students.
8	In this block, we're asking for \$6,422,202, which
9	is a slight decrease from last year.
10	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Any questions?
11	(No response)
12	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Do I have a motion?
13	COMMISSIONER KURYLOWICZ: Motion. Kurylowicz.
14	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Kurylowicz?
15	And second?
16	COMMISSIONER BUI: Bui. Second.
17	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Bui?
18	Any abstentions?
19	(No response)
20	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Any opposition?
21	(No response)
22	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: All in favor?
23	(A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)
24	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Motion passes.
25	MS. SCOFIELD: Next, we have our Training Program

1	Services Bureau. This is our Museum of Tolerance Tools
2	for Tolerance Program. This is \$1,556,000. And it is
3	the same as last year. And this is a separate line item
4	within the Commission's budget.
5	COMMISSIONER SMITH: Move approval. Smith.
6	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Smith.
7	FINANCE COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Madam Chair, I
8	actually have a comment before moving forward.
9	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Yes.
10	FINANCE COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Yesterday, during the
11	review of these contracts, in the Finance Committee, we
12	did have some robust conversation regarding Tools for
13	Tolerance, and expressing our gratitude for the content
14	that the MOT delivers, the changes that they have really
15	been part of affecting in modern policing, and at the
16	same time, examining the content of the MOT courses to
17	ensure that they are contemporary, and perhaps can
18	include expand to include things like procedural
19	justice and some other more contemporary themes.
20	So we've asked staff to conduct a report and come
21	back to us at the next Commission meeting.
22	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Great. Thank you.
23	We have a motion and a second.
24	Any abstentions?
25	(No response)

```
1
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Any opposition?
2
          (No response)
3
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: All in favor?
4
          (A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)
5
          EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: Was there a second?
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Oh, did we not have a
6
7
     second?
8
          COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: I'll second. Lowenberg.
9
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, sorry. Lowenberg.
10
          So we have a motion and a second.
11
          Any abstentions?
12
          (No response)
13
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Any opposition?
14
          (No response)
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: All in favor?
15
          (A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)
16
17
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, thank you.
18
          MS. SCOFIELD: Items 21, 22, and 23 are our
19
     administrative contracts.
20
          And Number 21 is for auditing services through the
21
     State Controller's Office. Each year, we contract with
22
     them to provide random audits to local agencies on
23
     reimbursement funding, to ensure consistency in state
     funds and reimbursements.
24
25
          Numbers 22 and 23 are both in the area of
```

```
1
     technology. Number 22 supports the network systems that
2
     we have at POST through the California Department of
3
     Technology, and Number 23 supports the Test Management
     and Assessment System. This is our TMAS system, which
4
5
     delivers part of the test to regular basic courses.
          In this block, we're asking for $534,754, which is
6
7
     a slight decrease from last year.
8
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, any questions or
9
     discussion?
10
          (No response)
11
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Do I have a motion?
12
          COMMISSIONER SMITH: So moved. Smith.
13
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Smith moves.
14
          Second?
15
          COMMISSIONER McDONNELL: Second.
16
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: McDonnell.
17
          Any abstentions?
18
          (No response)
19
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Any opposition?
20
          (No response)
21
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: All in favor?
22
          (A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)
23
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Motion passes.
24
          MS. SCOFIELD: Madam Chair, would you like to
25
     proceed to Item E?
```

1 COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Are we ready for Item E? 2 Yes. 3 MS. SCOFIELD: Thank you. This is a report on the proposed budget for fiscal 4 year 2015-16. 5 In October 2013, the Commission approved an 18-month 6 7 expenditure reduction plan to mitigate the declining 8 revenue into the Peace Officer Training Fund from the 9 State Penalty Fund. This reduction began in January 10 of 2014 with the suspension of backfill reimbursement, some Plan IV reimbursement with the exception of some 11 contract courses, reduction of contracts, and the 12 13 suspension of POST symposiums. To date, this reduction plan is on target to attain the objective cost savings 14 15 of \$8.6 million. And as a result, the Commission's reserve at the end of fiscal year 2014-15 is projected to 16 17 be \$7.8 million. 18 The Department of Finance initially projected 19

The Department of Finance initially projected revenue into the Peace Officer Training Fund to continue to decline into fiscal year 2015-16. This was reported to us at the end of the calendar year 2014.

20

21

22

23

24

25

At that point, staff analyzed all budget areas for potential cost savings, as the Department of Finance worked to define a revenue-generating plan for the State Penalty Assessment Fund.

A draft cost savings proposal was submitted to the Department of Finance in November of 2014, in proactive efforts to reduce expenditures. Staff at that time was told the plan may not be needed due to the larger efforts of the Administration to address the declining revenue into the State Penalty Fund.

On January 9th, 2015, the Governor released the state proposed budget for fiscal year '15-16. For the Commission, the Administration is proposing an 18-month outstanding debt-amnesty program to address declining revenue into the Peace Officer Training Fund. This program would authorize those individuals with past-due court-ordered debt prior to January 1, 2013, to pay that delinquent debt at a rate of a 50 percent reduction. The Administration is projecting revenue into the Peace Officer Training Fund to increase by \$10 million due to this amnesty program.

Even with the increased projections, the proposed budget decreases the Commission's administration or support budget by \$5.3 million, in efforts to end fiscal year '15-16 with a projected \$3.6 million reserve.

The Administration budget encompasses staff's salary and benefits and all operating expenditures and equipment.

The decrease of \$5.3 million includes a 30 percent reduction of POST-authorized positions. Currently,

POST has 123 authorized positions. This proposed budget decreases authorized positions to 86.

While POST staffing decreases, both programmatic areas for the Commission and the areas of training contracts and local assistance are fully funded at \$20 million, respectively.

The Governor's budget summary, which is

Attachment A, states: The reduction of staffing is

necessary to maintain critical training programs and
reimbursements to local agency and promotes a more
efficient state government.

After the budget was released, staff conducted an analysis of all POST services to California law enforcement. Attachments C through J in your agenda item describe each POST bureau, program responsibility, and staffing associated with each program and the overall impact to California law enforcement, should a 30 percent reduction occur.

In summary, the impact of a 30 percent decrease in staffing would result in the overall lowering of selection and training standards for California law enforcement in an environment that is currently demanding more training for law enforcement in such areas as interacting with persons with mental illness, use of force, and bias and community relations, as was also

noted by our opening speaker, Chief Elgin, this morning.

According to the Department of Finance, details of the \$5.3 million is limited; and these proposed cuts are preliminary reductions. And further discussions will occur as we move through the budget process. Staff has had several very productive meetings with the Department of Finance to date.

Staff feels there are other areas of the Commission's budget in which the \$5.3 million savings could be realized.

In your agenda item, there are three options presented for your consideration to realize this \$5.3 million savings.

We could decrease training contracts by \$5.3 million. And as we just discussed on recurring contracts, staff would conduct an analysis of all contracts with stakeholder input, to see where we could cut perhaps presentations of courses without fully cutting programs in general.

Option B would be looking at our local assistance. Currently, we have Plan IV suspended as well as backfill. An example here, to attain a \$5.3 million, would be the suspension -- to continue the suspension of backfill for an approximately \$2 million savings, and perhaps look at Plan III reimbursements to realize the \$2.5 million

1 savings. 2 Those are just examples for your consideration. 3 Option C is looking at a hybrid of both the training contracts and local assistance. And an example for you, 4 would be to continue the suspension of backfill, which 5 incurs a \$2 million savings; and looking at training 6 7 contracts, to see where the additional \$3.2 million 8 savings could be realized. 9 Commissioners, it should be noted that there is a 10 potential -- the potential increase in revenue to the 11 Peace Officer Training Fund of \$2 million from the debt 12 amnesty program. Revenues from that potentially won't 13 be realized until towards the end of the fiscal year, even into the next fiscal year. 14 So if that happens, we are looking at perhaps 15 further cuts, moving forward into the fiscal year. 16 17 I'll be happy to answer any questions. 18 COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Any questions of 19 Stephanie? 20 FINANCE COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: I have a statement. 21 COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Commissioner Moir? 22 FINANCE COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Thank you very much. 23 So being part of the Finance Committee, we really 24 dove into this, and I gained some clarity and lost some 25 sleep over this. I'm sure all of us have.

What do we do? Due to the decline of POTF revenues, this Commission was presented, as Stephanie referred to, with some options. And we took bold action in 2014, as Stephanie described. We authorized a limited-term reduction plan in an effort to save \$6.6 million. And while the full effect of our decision is not yet known, it appears that we will realize that savings.

Seemingly, out of nowhere, the Governor's budget not only surprised this Commission, but also POST and our law-enforcement coalition, when on January 29th, 2015, our financial courage and decision-making was not met with gratitude; rather, it was met with a \$5.3 million reduction, at a time, the present, law enforcement is at the center of a national narrative, and that narrative, while not shared by all, is a concern to many and a central concern to each one of us in the profession -- the noble profession of policing.

The narrative is, some do not trust the police to police fairly, impartially, reasonably. Yet research reveals that modern policing is far more efficient.

Yet there remains, in some communities, a decline in the consent given to the police to assert their authority to act as guardians and to police.

This creates a paradox. This paradox, where our efforts are needed, is to increase police legitimacy

that serves as the framework or model, and joined with an enhanced guardian ethos of policing, to increase our legitimacy and restore community faith and trust, and reframe the national narrative.

POST is central to this effort.

Unfunded mandates legislating the manner in which we achieve this, are before us. We must remain agile to respond to them. Where we can, we must prevent them, preempt them.

I remain optimistic that our Governor and his staff want what we want, and that is a safe California, where people can thrive and view their police as capable, honest, open guardians, who treat our communities with dignity and respect.

Dr. Sagarin, a biologist who teaches at Arizona
State University and at the Naval postgraduate school,
said "Fish don't try to turn sharks into vegetarians.
Fish thrive." And this notion of thriving from nature,
means that species preserve what builds them, bolsters
them, and brings them forward for their survival. And
the species discards what is no longer useful.

I submit that policing will do just that. We will thrive through this.

And in closing, I fully support the option that preserves the very hard-working, committed professional

123 members of POST staff at all cost, and that's 1 Option C as provided. And I believe that our Governor 2 will notice the magnitude of not only what lies before 3 us, and restore funding in the May Revise, he will stand 4 with us, and will be there to watch how POST and law 5 enforcement thrive in this very noble effort. 6 7 COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Thank you, Commissioner. 8 Commissioner Lowenberg, did you have a comment? 9 COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: That's okay. 10 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: Commission, I'd like to 11 make a couple statements. 12 Thank you, Stephanie, for that comprehensive report. 13 First of all, I'd like to reemphasize what Stephanie has said, that in the latter part of 2014, POST did 14 make an effort to proactively look at this issue, and to 15 present a program to the Administration that would allow 16 17 us to continue and resolve the current projected revenue 18 deficits. 19 Secondly, once again, to summarize what has been said -- and thank you, Commissioner Moir, for your 20 eloquence -- in light of the loss of 4,000 police 21 22 officers in the state of California over a period of a 23 few years, the increase of a population of one million 24 people in the state of California since the last census,

and that was in 2010, five years before. In light of the

25

Presidential commission that's looking at policing in the 21st century. In light of the Attorney General's commission convocation to look at community policing, fair and impartial policing, biased police policing, and other related issues. In light of the current issue of mental-health issues that law enforcement face, and the failure of the mental-health infrastructure. In light of body cameras. In light of several pending, unfunded training mandates percolating in the Legislature, this budget cut is just incongruent where we need to be, and where the safety of our communities need to be.

So I thank you for your support in that. We have had and engaged in very productive dialogue with the Department of Finance. We remain hopeful that that will continue, and we remain hopeful that we'll make progress in addressing the \$5.3 million deficit.

In the event that should fail, this option is before you to consider. And it does provide a hybrid of -- for lack of a better description, we will not gore a single ox. We have to equitably gore several oxen, if you will, and spread the sharing of fiscal impact.

The last point I want to make, that I want to emphasize to those in attendance here, is that part of our presentation to the Department of Finance, is that we do a lot with 123 people. But I just don't believe

that is enough of a message for us to convey. 1 2 And I want to share a couple of examples with you. 3 It's easy to say that 123 people perform the following functions in the state of California. But I want to 4 share with you: 5 For example, we have a total of four people that 6 7 manage the entire POST academy network: 39 academies, 8 832 presenters in the state of California, manage 9 5,000 pages of text, 42 learning domains, conduct 10 director coordinator workshops, RTO workshops and other 11 related programs. That's four people for the state of California. 12 13 We have two people who maintain the entire Field Training Officer Program for 600 law-enforcement 14 15 agencies. I'm not sure that's an equitable workload 16 ratio. 17 We have video training, our LTRC, two and a half 18 people develop video training and technology-led training 19 for the state of California. 2.5 positions. 20 Our Learning Portal, managed by five positions in the state of California. 21 22 Management studies, three people deliver management 23 studies that can take hundreds of hours to complete. 24 still have a backlog in that service. 25 My point is -- and you get that very well -- is

that the 123, when you reduce it to further granularity, 1 2 the personnel at POST bleed blue, and they remain committed. And we remain inadequately staffed as it is. 3 So to incur an additional loss of 30 people would be 4 catastrophic to the delivery of services. 5 So with that, I would ask your consideration for 6 7 this option. And I will relinquish it back to you. 8 Thank you, Madam Chair. 9 COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Thank you, Commissioner 10 Moir and Executive Director Stresak. Thank you for your 11 comments. 12 I have a few of my own. 13 I have always been very proud to be a member of the California law enforcement family and proud of how 14 I believe we do it the best, in terms of professionalism 15 that we bring. And that does not come without a cost. 16 And particularly at a time -- that Commissioner Moir 17 18 has addressed, and many others have addressed -- when we 19 are looking in this nation at issues in law enforcement 20 and requests for additional training coming from the 21 State Legislature that I anticipate will not stop for 22 some time. 23 And that's all right. It's because we're very proud 24 of our law enforcement. But we have to have staff, and

we have to have the resources to accomplish that.

25

Anything else would be a step backward. 1 With respect to POST staff, I don't think there's 2 3 anyone here that does not think that they are overstretched and doing a phenomenal job. But as many 4 of us found when we had to downsize in 2008, and moving 5 forward, you cannot do more with less; you can only do 6 7 less with less. So that's a misnomer. You can only 8 carry those plates in the air so long, and then they 9 start to fall off. 10 So I feel that we need to continue to bring our 11 other partners in to support what we are trying to do 12 with our budget, with the Governor, through Cal Chiefs, 13 Cal Sheriffs -- all of our partners -- PORAC, everyone out there who knows how important this is for the state 14 of California and for our law-enforcement agencies. 15 16 So is there any other discussion before I call for a motion? 17 18 COMMISSIONER MOORE: Madam Chair? 19 COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Yes? COMMISSIONER MOORE: If I could, please? 20 COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Yes, Commissioner Moore. 21 22 COMMISSIONER MOORE: Chief Todd Elgin started us off 23 with saying that unity and resolve that we always do as 24 police officers. 25 And Commissioner Moir said, thrive through this.

And I think that what we need to do is look at the budget to go forward. As we look at our staffing at 123, we should be going to them, asking them to increase the staffing, in order to do certain items, certain things, and that we would say that we can get it done if we have an increase in staff, and explain how and why the need for the staff is to get it done, to continue this 21st century policing.

We all know that mental-health issues are going to become greater issues in this community, and we know our population is going to expand. And I really would like to see us to be the best organization, best police organization in the country. And that we could say California policing is the state of the art, state of the edge. Our willingness to try to attempt to do new and bold things is what we should represent. And what it will take for us to do that is not a staff of 123.

Maybe a staff of 130 or a staff of 135. And then when we go back to them, have the budget, explaining what we would do with the additional help, and what we can do.

I would just like to see something like that put forward to the Governor, to challenge them to come up with the funding, to fund these positions, so that we could actually do what we would like to do in policing in the $21^{\rm st}$ century.

COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Thank you, Commissioner Moore.

Commissioner Smith?

COMMISSIONER SMITH: I find this difficult because we're sitting in a room with POST staff. And I think POST staff is the finest staff that there is; and they've always been responsive and very, very great to work with. And I know that they're doing a tremendous amount of work with the staff that they have. And I know we're very appreciative of that.

But what we're asking to do is we're asking to cut law-enforcement agencies our reimbursements. So I would support a hybrid that would include some type of decrease to POST -- POST's budget. Maybe not necessarily in staffing, even though that's probably what the majority of your expenditures are, is staffing like the rest of us. But, again, the decision that I'm seeming to hear and the recommendation I'm hearing now, would decrease money back to law-enforcement agencies.

I definitely agree on the training contracts, because these have been long, long-term contracts that have been rolled over from year to year. And I think there's potential savings if you went out to bid on some of the contracts.

So instead of decreasing those, I'd like to see if

1 you could maybe lower the dollar amounts and have other 2 providers. But I would like to see a third option added in to 3 the recommendation, is some kind of a decrease in POST 4 budget. And I say that with absolute respect for the 5 staff and the job that you do. But reimbursement to our 6 7 law-enforcement agencies is really very important. 8 COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Thank you, Commissioner 9 Smith. 10 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: A quick comment, 11 Commissioner. Thank you for your comments. 12 Keeping this in context, this is an option to be 13 considered, should we not prevail in recouping our \$5.3 million. So this is a necessary contingency that 14 needs to be brought before this body in consideration of 15 16 that. That's not necessarily an indication that it will be 17 18 ultimately implemented; but your points are well taken. 19 Stephanie, I think you have a subsequent comment, 20 too? 21 MS. SCOFIELD: Commissioner Smith, just for your 22 information, we are in discussions with Finance in 23 looking at our operating expenditures, as we will see a cost savings in our new building. 24 25 COMMISSIONER SMITH: Okay.

1	MS. SCOFIELD: So in the administration area, we
2	are looking at cost savings as well.
3	COMMISSIONER SMITH: Perfect.
4	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: I'd also like to point
5	out to this Commission, that if you look at the audience,
6	where we used to have quite a support system present
7	from POST, we've cut back on airfare, we've cut back on
8	travel, we've cut back on everything we can internally
9	to continue to address this issue.
10	COMMISSIONER DOYLE: Madam Chair?
11	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Yes, Commissioner Doyle?
12	COMMISSIONER DOYLE: I have a question for staff.
13	Explain about the reserve. I mean, how much is it
14	supposed to be, and how do you access it?
15	MS. SCOFIELD: So our reserve, Commissioner, is
16	expected to be approximately \$7 million by the end of
17	the year. If you look at a ten-year review of our
18	reserve, there are points where we were at \$20 million
19	and \$30 million in our reserves.
20	The reserves roll over each year, and they roll
21	into our revenue, and become part of the revenue that
22	we report to you.
23	Connie, can we pull up Attachment B, please, to this
24	agenda item?
25	So in the gold area, Commissioner, we're looking at

a beginning balance, which is our reserve, into `15-16 1 of \$7.0 million. Revenues are at approximately 2 3 \$37 million. That's the increase of the \$10 million that 4 the Administration is proposing with the debt amnesty program. 5 And then other transfers from the Driver Training 6 7 Penalty Assessment Fund, that's the \$14 million. 8 So to answer your question, it becomes part of our 9 overall revenue each fiscal year. 10 COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Madam Chair? 11 COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Yes, Commissioner 12 Lowenberg. 13 COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: You know, this debt amnesty program, I'm not an expert in this area; but I have some 14 15 experience over the years with depending on an estimate for budget purposes. And, frankly, with all due respect, 16 17 I don't understand why the decision-makers believe that 18 there's any better chance of collecting this money from 19 the violators when, in fact, they're not paying it in 20 the first place. So that probably exacerbates the 21 problem. 22 And I think that these reserves are inflated, in my 23 opinion. 24 And with all due respect to my colleague, 25 Commissioner Smith, I believe we're not yet at that point

where we should be asking to reduce staff. 1 I think that staff has done an excellent job -- and, 2 3 of course, I have the benefit of yesterday's Finance Committee meeting -- of cutting costs to this point. 4 And I know we can't necessarily depend upon the 5 continued meetings with the Department of Finance; but 6 7 I think at this point in time, that taking everything 8 into consideration, I'm prepared to support, and would 9 love to have the opportunity to move on this 10 Recommendation C, because I think that one spreads 11 the pain, frankly, and gives us an opportunity to move 12 forward, recognizing that there's still a lot of 13 variables. 14 And maybe we'll get to the point where, 15 Commissioner Smith -- and I'll eat my words at that point in time, if it comes to that -- where we're going to have 16 17 to cut staff. But I'm not prepared to do that now. 18 And with your permission, Madam Chair, I'd love to 19 move on Recommendation Option C, with a slight modification of a notice that reimbursement -- backfill 20 reimbursement be suspended indefinitely, until we have a 21 22 better feel for how we are economically. 23 That's my motion. 24 COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, we have a motion. 25 Do we have a second?

1	COMMISSIONER MOIR: Second. Moir.
2	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Commissioner Moir.
3	All in favor?
4	(A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)
5	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Any opposed?
6	(No response)
7	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: The motion carries.
8	COMMISSIONER BUI: Madam Chair?
9	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Yes?
10	COMMISSIONER BUI: Since we're at the end of the
11	Finance Committee report, might I suggest a quick break
12	since that was an extended session?
13	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: I'm sorry.
14	COMMISSIONER BUI: Since the Finance Committee
15	report went a little long, can we take maybe a ten-minute
16	break?
17	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Yes, we can take a
18	break, yes. Ten minutes.
19	Oh, hang on.
20	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: Could we stand by for
21	just one second?
22	Item D requires a roll-call vote. I'm not sure if
23	we covered the roll-call vote on Item D.
24	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: No, we didn't.
25	That is on the request the report on the request

```
to renew contracts.
1
2
          EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: On contracts, right.
3
     believe that was the first one.
          COMMISSIONER SMITH: Didn't we do them all
4
5
     individually?
          MR. DARDEN: Yes.
6
7
          EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: I think we did --
8
          MS. PAOLI: We did.
9
          MR. DARDEN: They were done by "All in favor?"
10
          So we can do a single roll-call vote for
11
     the approval of them.
12
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: For the total?
13
          MR. DARDEN: Yes.
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay.
14
15
          MR. DARDEN: Do you want to do it that way, Bob?
          EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: Yes, that's fine.
16
17
          MS. PAOLI: Bui?
18
          COMMISSIONER BUI: Yes.
19
          MS. PAOLI: Doyle?
20
          COMMISSIONER DOYLE: Yes.
21
          MS. PAOLI: Dudley?
22
          COMMISSIONER DUDLEY: Yes.
23
          MS. PAOLI: Hutchens?
24
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Yes.
25
          MS. PAOLI: Kurylowicz?
```

```
1
          COMMISSIONER KURYLOWICZ:
                                     Yes.
2
          MS. PAOLI: Leichliter?
3
          VICE CHAIR LEICHLITER: Yes.
4
          MS. PAOLI: Lowenberg?
5
          COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Yes.
          MS. PAOLI: McDonnell?
6
7
          COMMISSIONER McDONNELL: Yes.
8
          MS. PAOLI: Moir?
9
          COMMISSIONER MOIR: Yes.
10
          MS. PAOLI: Moore?
11
          COMMISSIONER MOORE: Yes.
12
          MS. PAOLI: Smith?
13
          COMMISSIONER SMITH: Yes.
14
          MS. PAOLI: Wallace?
15
          COMMISSIONER WALLACE: Yes.
16
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, thank you.
17
          Ten-minute break.
18
          (A recess was taken from 11:11 a.m. to
19
          11:26 a.m.)
20
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, the next item
21
     on the agenda is the Basic Training Bureau, report on
22
     proposed changes to the training and testing
23
     specifications for peace officer basic courses. And this
     has to do with review of the learning domains, which are
24
25
     listed on the screen and in your report.
```

1	Does anybody require a report from staff?
2	(No response)
3	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: All right, so if the
4	Commission concurs, the appropriate action would be a
5	motion to approve the changes to the training and testing
6	specifications for peace officer basic courses as
7	ascribed in the staff report. If no one requests a
8	public hearing, the changes will become effective
9	August 1 st , 2015.
10	And did we have a Finance Committee discussion on
11	this, or an Advisory Committee discussion?
12	FINANCE COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: No, we did not in
13	Finance.
14	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: And Advisory Committee?
15	ADVISORY COMMITTEE CHAIR BEITEY: No.
16	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay. Do I have a
17	motion?
18	COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Move on the staff
19	recommendation. Lowenberg.
20	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, second?
21	COMMISSIONER KURYLOWICZ: Kurylowicz.
22	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Kurylowicz, second.
23	All in favor?
24	(A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)
25	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Any opposed?

i	
1	(No response)
2	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: The motion carries.
3	Item G, Computer Services Bureau, request to
4	contract for development and implementation of a
5	computer-based testing system to replace to the Test
6	Management Assessment System.
7	Does anybody require a staff report?
8	(No response)
9	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: If the Commission
10	concurs, the appropriate action would be a motion to
11	authorize the Executive Director to contract for
12	products and services necessary for the acquisition,
13	configuration, and implementation of software to replace
14	POST's current automated testing system in an amount not
15	to exceed the previously approved amount of \$2,868,694.
16	And this requires a roll-call vote.
17	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: Motion.
18	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Do we have a motion?
19	COMMISSIONER DOYLE: Doyle.
20	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Doyle.
21	Second?
22	COMMISSIONER WALLACE: Second. Wallace.
23	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Wallace, second.
24	Thank you.
25	Can we call for the vote?

```
1
          MS. PAOLI:
                      Bui?
2
          COMMISSIONER BUI: Yes.
3
          MS. PAOLI: Doyle?
4
          COMMISSIONER DOYLE: Yes.
5
          MS. PAOLI: Dudley?
          COMMISSIONER DUDLEY: Yes.
6
7
          MS. PAOLI: Hutchens?
8
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Yes.
9
          MS. PAOLI: Kurylowicz?
10
          COMMISSIONER KURYLOWICZ: Yes.
11
          MS. PAOLI: Leichliter?
12
          VICE CHAIR LEICHLITER: Yes.
13
          MS. PAOLI: Lowenberg?
          COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Yes.
14
15
          MS. PAOLI: McDonnell?
16
          COMMISSIONER McDONNELL: Yes.
17
          MS. PAOLI: Moir?
18
          COMMISSIONER MOIR: Yes.
19
          MS. PAOLI: Moore?
20
          COMMISSIONER MOORE: Yes.
21
          MS. PAOLI: Smith?
22
          COMMISSIONER SMITH: Yes.
23
          MS. PAOLI: Wallace?
24
          COMMISSIONER WALLACE: Yes.
25
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, and Item H is
```

a report on the request to study the feasibility of 1 instituting fees for POST products. 2 3 And I believe Stephanie Scofield is going to report 4 out for us. 5 MS. SCOFIELD: Thank you, Madam Chair. As we've had lengthy discussion on the declining 6 7 revenue into the Peace Officer Training Fund, one thing 8 that staff has done is begin to realize that perhaps 9 fees needs to be charged for some of our POST products 10 and services. 11 For POST-participating agencies, there are many POST products and services that have been historically 12 13 free of charge to access. And we've begun to analyze the concept of charging fees for some of these products. 14 We can no longer absorb the costs of these, and we are 15 16 requesting a feasibility study be generated to look at beginning to charge fees. 17 18 In your agenda item, there are three items listed 19 for your consideration. 20 One is the POST entry-level law-enforcement test battery. This is a test required to be administered to 21 22 peace-officer applicants pursuant to Commission 23 Regulation 1951. Just as an overview, this tests consists of a 24

booklet and a Scantron that is shipped to agencies.

25

Approximate cost to POST of one of these tests is \$3. 1 And, obviously, shipping costs will vary by location. 2 From 2012 to 2014, the average number of these tests 3 4 processed was 28,432. 5 Item 2 is the POST entry-level law enforcement dispatcher selection test battery. And this consists of 6 7 a booklet, CD, and Scantron that is shipped to agencies. 8 Approximate cost to POST of one of these tests is 9 approximately \$5. 10 And from 2012 to 2014, the average number of 11 dispatcher tests processed was 7,629. Another area is our POST background investigation 12 13 tabs. These are tabs used by agencies to organize officer and dispatcher background investigation files. 14 Each tab notates all required statutory and regulatory 15 documents needed in the file, and describes some common 16 17 errors to avoid. 18 The dispatcher and peace-officer tabs consist of a 19 set of 15 three-hole punch, eight and a half by 20 11 preprinted cardstock, color. Cost to POST to produce a set of these is \$7.69. 21 22 And from 2012 to 2014, agencies requested a total 23 of 25,000 peace-officer tabs and dispatcher tabs. 24 Currently, a fee is charged of \$2.69 to agencies not 25 in the POST program, and private investigators who

conduct law-enforcement background investigations. 1 Your agenda item lists some other POST products and 2 3 services that could be part of this feasibility study. We are requesting to look at this item in terms of 4 5 conducting a field survey to see the impact to California law enforcement. And what we would do is bring the 6 7 report back to you to look at beginning to charge some 8 fees prior to implementing any fee-based structure. 9 COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Thank you. 10 Any questions or discussion? 11 COMMISSIONER DUDLEY: The California District Attorneys Association charges all its members for 12 13 everything. So in terms of attending any sessions, buying any books, any of those kinds of things. Webinars 14 15 were free for the first year, now they're not. I'm unaware what the law-enforcement agencies do in 16 17 terms of distributing, you know, the information they 18 have. Is it typical to charge? Not charge? For 19 instance, sheriffs' agencies, police agencies? Or do 20 they not create anything? 21 MS. SCOFIELD: Well, from a POST perspective, we 22 would look at what other state agencies are doing. 23 State agencies charge for various certificates, 24 various testing programs. So we would look at that 25 protocol.

1	In terms of agency-specific charging, I would have
2	to defer to the other commissioners.
3	COMMISSIONER DUDLEY: It sounds like, because it's
4	a government agency, that would be different. California
5	District Attorneys Association is predominantly a
6	nonprofit.
7	MS. SCOFIELD: Right.
8	COMMISSIONER DUDLEY: Okay, thank you.
9	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Any other questions or
10	discussion?
11	COMMISSIONER BUI: I do have a question.
12	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Yes, Commissioner Bui?
13	COMMISSIONER BUI: I appreciate that you are all
14	looking into different avenues of how we can save.
15	I have a question about Number 4, charging a
16	one-time sign-up fee to access POST Learning Portal.
17	I have a concern, because the point is that we want
18	officers to we want to encourage them to access this,
19	to get the training.
20	What type of fee were you considering for this?
21	MS. SCOFIELD: That has yet to be determined.
22	The idea would be to perhaps list all of these in
23	the survey; and if we get dissent significant dissent
24	from the field on any of them, we would probably not
25	begin to look at a fee-based structure for that.

1	The one-time fee for the access would be just that
2	one time to sign on; and then you would have access $24/7$,
3	as needed. But it would just be a one-time sign-up fee.
4	COMMISSIONER BUI: Okay.
5	MS. SCOFIELD: Not to access it each time you sign
6	on.
7	COMMISSIONER BUI: Okay.
8	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Other questions?
9	COMMISSIONER DOYLE: Yes, is there a target that
10	you're trying to reach in terms of revenue?
11	MS. SCOFIELD: Well, what we'd like to look at
12	and I provided some numbers for you here the idea is
13	not to generate revenue. The idea is to cover POST costs
14	in these. So that would be part of the analysis, is to
15	really identify what are the POST costs, to include
16	shipping costs, and then make it fair, make a fair
17	fee-based structure off of that. And that would be part
18	of the feasibility study.
19	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Other questions?
20	Comments?
21	(No response)
22	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, so we are looking
23	for a motion to authorize the Executive Director to
24	study the feasibility of instituting fees for POST
25	participating agencies for some POST products and

```
1
     services.
2
          Do I have a motion?
3
           COMMISSIONER DOYLE: Doyle.
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Who?
4
5
          COMMISSIONER DOYLE: Doyle.
           COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Doyle. Thank you.
6
7
           Second?
8
           COMMISSIONER SMITH: Smith.
9
           COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Commissioner Smith.
10
          All in favor?
11
          (A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)
12
           COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS:
                                       Opposed?
13
           (No response)
           COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, motion carries.
14
15
          Training Program Services Bureau, report on request
      to accept Cal EPA grant funds to develop an ICI
16
17
      foundation course.
18
          Do we require a staff report on this?
19
           (No response)
20
           COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: If the Commission
21
      concurs, the appropriate action would be a motion to
22
     authorize the Executive Director to accept funds from
23
     California Environmental Protection Agency, to develop
     an environmental crimes investigation specialty course
24
      for the Robert Presley Institute of Criminal
25
```

```
Investigations, and to enter into a contract with a
1
2
     qualified entity for the presentation of the course in
3
     an amount not to exceed $72,698.
          Do I have a motion?
4
5
          COMMISSIONER McDONNELL: Move.
6
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: McDonnell.
7
          Second?
8
          VICE CHAIR LEICHLITER: Leichliter. Second.
9
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Leichliter, second.
10
          All in favor?
          (A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)
11
12
          EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: It's a roll call.
13
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: I'm sorry, roll call.
14
          MS. PAOLI: Bui?
15
          COMMISSIONER BUI: Yes.
16
          MS. PAOLI: Doyle?
17
          COMMISSIONER DOYLE: Yes.
18
          MS. PAOLI: Dudley?
19
          COMMISSIONER DUDLEY: Yes.
20
          MS. PAOLI: Hutchens?
21
          COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Yes.
22
          MS. PAOLI: Kurylowicz?
23
          COMMISSIONER KURYLOWICZ: Yes.
24
          MS. PAOLI: Leichliter?
25
          VICE CHAIR LEICHLITER: Yes.
```

_	
1	MS. PAOLI: Lowenberg?
2	COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Yes.
3	MS. PAOLI: McDonnell?
4	COMMISSIONER McDONNELL: Yes.
5	MS. PAOLI: Moir?
6	COMMISSIONER MOIR: Yes.
7	MS. PAOLI: Moore?
8	COMMISSIONER MOORE: Yes.
9	MS. PAOLI: Smith?
10	COMMISSIONER SMITH: Yes.
11	MS. PAOLI: Wallace?
12	COMMISSIONER WALLACE: Yes.
13	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, thank you.
14	Motion carries.
15	Report on request to purchase law enforcement
16	driving simulator system warranty.
17	Do we require a staff report?
18	(No response)
19	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: If the Commission
20	agrees, the appropriate action would be a motion to
21	approve the Executive Director to enter into a contract
22	with FAAC, Incorporated, for the purchase of the
23	maintenance warranty and systemwide upgrades for all
24	LEDS systems for one year, in an amount not to exceed
25	\$321,264.

1	Do I have a motion?
2	COMMISSIONER McDONNELL: Motion.
3	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: McDonnell.
4	COMMISSIONER KURYLOWICZ: Second.
5	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Second, Kurylowicz.
6	And this is a roll-call vote.
7	MS. PAOLI: Bui?
8	COMMISSIONER BUI: Yes.
9	MS. PAOLI: Dudley?
10	COMMISSIONER DUDLEY: Yes.
11	MS. PAOLI: Doyle?
12	COMMISSIONER DOYLE: Yes.
13	MS. PAOLI: Hutchens?
14	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Yes.
15	MS. PAOLI: Kurylowicz?
16	COMMISSIONER KURYLOWICZ: Yes.
17	MS. PAOLI: Leichliter?
18	VICE CHAIR LEICHLITER: Yes.
19	MS. PAOLI: Lowenberg?
20	COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Yes.
21	MS. PAOLI: McDonnell?
22	COMMISSIONER McDONNELL: Yes.
23	MS. PAOLI: Moir?
24	COMMISSIONER MOIR: Yes.
25	MS. PAOLI: Moore?

1	COMMISSIONER MOORE: Yes.
2	MS. PAOLI: Smith?
3	COMMISSIONER SMITH: Yes.
4	MS. PAOLI: Wallace?
5	COMMISSIONER WALLACE: Yes.
6	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, motion carries.
7	Thank you.
8	Committee reports.
9	Advisory Committee?
10	George.
11	ADVISORY COMMITTEE CHAIR BEITEY: Madam Chair, the
12	only report I have is on the results of our Advisory
13	Committee's voting on the nominees for the various
14	Excellence in Training awards.
15	And I have a summary of our recommendations, along
16	with highlights from the runner-up and the winners that
17	we are recommending.
18	First off, for the individual category, the runner
19	up is Sergeant Don Hudalla from the Los Angeles County
20	Sheriff's Department.
21	Among his highlights was that he formed partnerships
22	with multiple agencies to combat mental-health problems,
23	he has trained numerous agencies on mental-health issues
24	throughout Los Angeles County, and he was responsible for
25	the creation of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's mental

evaluation team.

Our recommended winner for the individual category is training officer Gaylord Wynn Mooney from the Fresno Police Department.

His highlights are that he is -- or was a primary designer and developer of the Regional Training Center's EVOC site, as well as a major contributor to the overall center's design. He's a tenured and well-respected instructor for over 20 years. He has taught EVOC to officers from more than 85 agencies; and he has reduced injuries, as well as liability costs for agencies because of his innovative training.

For the *Lifetime Award*, the recommended runner-up is
Assistant Chief Sandy Jo MacArthur from the Los Angeles
Police Department.

Her highlights are: She oversaw the successful implementation of the agency's consent-decree requirements, she established a leadership enhancement and development program, and she created the police orientation and preparation program.

Our recommended winner for the lifetime is Greg

Dossey, retired Allan Hancock Police Academy director and
retired Los Angeles Police Department sergeant.

His highlights include, as an arrest-and-control expert, he developed the LAPD model that is used

worldwide. He is past president of the California

Academy Directors' Association, and served in that
capacity for six years. He's a long-serving member of

POST instructor standards advisory council. And he has
had a major impact on self-defense training for
law-enforcement officers on a local, state, and worldwide
level.

For the organizational category award, the recommended runner-up is the San Diego Police Department Wellness Unit, their employee wellness training. They are considered to be innovative. They conducted in-depth research. They've implemented culture change. They've incorporated this into many different areas of their organization. They have a participant rate exceeding 755 members in their surveys. And they react to an average of one phone call per day.

They have received recognition both locally as well as nationwide to various periodicals that extol their success.

The overall impression from the committee was that this was important and necessary work that can be modeled by other agencies nationwide.

And the recommended winner for the organizational category, is the Tools For Tolerance for Law Enforcement Program.

Among their innovation remarks is they use anonymous 1 2 voting mechanisms. They have personal testimonies from 3 Holocaust survivors and Civil Rights icons, and they include contemporary examples of intolerance. 4 5 With regards to their impact, they've reached out to over 100,000 members of law enforcement statewide, 6 7 and 95 percent of those are thinking or speaking about 8 the program six months later. 77 percent of participants 9 are putting into practice the tools discussed. 10 They've also received both local and national recognition. President Clinton's Initiative on Race 11 cited this program as a promising practice. 12 13 The overall impression, it's now a robust program in ten POST-certified courses that meet the needs of 14 California law enforcement, such as building trust, 15 communication on skills development, racial profiling, 16 and hate-crimes investigation. 17 18 The overall effectiveness has been immeasurable. 19 The final category is the O.J. "Bud" Hawkins Award. 20 And the recommended winner is Steve Ames, who retired from the Criminal Justice Training Center as a 21 22 coordinator and retired from Orange PD as a captain. 23 I hope I got that right, Ron. 24 COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: That's right. Thank you. 25 ADVISORY COMMITTEE CHAIR BEITEY: Steve's highlights

1	include and these are only a couple of voluminous
2	pages of documentation but Steve has had 37 years as
3	a career as a peace-officer instructor and a training
4	coordinator. He's been a major contributor to the
5	development of training centers throughout his career.
6	He is consistently noted for his personal character,
7	leadership, and ethical behavior. And his role model
8	for his dedication for providing the most professional
9	training possible has been an outstanding one throughout
10	his career.
11	Madam Chair, those are our Advisory Committee
12	recommendations.
13	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Thank you.
14	ADVISORY COMMITTEE CHAIR BEITEY: If you have any
15	questions, I'll attempt to answer them.
16	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Questions?
17	(No response)
18	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, Legislative Review
19	Committee.
20	Commissioner Moore?
21	Oh, I'm sorry, I need a motion to approve the
22	Advisory Committee report.
23	COMMISSIONER McDONNELL: Move.
24	COMMISSIONER DUDLEY: Second. Dudley.
25	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, all in favor?

1	(A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)
2	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Oppose?
3	(No response)
4	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Motion carries.
5	Thank you, George.
6	ADVISORY COMMITTEE CHAIR BEITEY: Thank you.
7	MS. PAOLI: That motion was McDonnell; right?
8	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Yes.
9	Okay, Commissioner Moore, the Legislative Review
10	Committee.
11	LEG. REVIEW COMMITTEE CHAIR MOORE: Yes. I'm going
12	to have Alexis Blaylock do the report.
13	Alexis?
14	MS. BLAYLOCK: Good morning.
15	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Good morning.
16	MS. BLAYLOCK: During the Legislative Committee, we
17	discussed two bills relating to mental-health training.
18	Senate Bill 11, which seeks to increase the minimum
19	standards for mental-health training for peace officers,
20	by adding 20 hours to the regular basic course.
21	SB 11 is an unfunded mandate and costly to POST and
22	to law enforcement in general. It is based on hours and
23	not content.
24	POST already is reviewing Learning Domain 37, which
25	deals with mental health and mental illness, for

potential gaps; and we're planning to address the 1 2 training accordingly. 3 Akin to SB 11 is Senate Bill 29, which seeks to increase the minimum standard for mental-health training 4 5 for peace officers by adding 20 hours to the field-training program, which is a program that is not 6 7 administered in hours; it's administered in competencies. 8 And they're seeking to add 40 hours to the field-training 9 officer course, by adding a full 40-hour CIT-based 10 component to the field-training officer course, which would take it from 40 hours to 80 hours. 11 12 This is also an unfunded mandate, costly to POST 13 and to law enforcement. It's based on hours, not content. And POST, again, is already reviewing mental-14 health training, to see where any gaps lie; and we will 15 16 develop training accordingly. 17 The commission passed the motion to oppose both of 18 these bills unless amended. 19 The committee also discussed the following bills: 20 AB 334 --21 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: Alexis, if we could, 22 could we take a vote on SB 11 and SB 29? 23 MS. BLAYLOCK: I'm sorry. 24 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: Is there a motion? 25 COMMISSIONER DOYLE: Doyle.

1	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Second?
2	COMMISSIONER WALLACE: Wallace. Second.
3	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, all in favor?
4	(A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)
5	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Opposed?
6	(No response)
7	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: The motion carries.
8	MS. BLAYLOCK: Thank you.
9	MS. PAOLI: Excuse me, that was Wallace that
10	seconded?
11	COMMISSIONER WALLACE: Yes.
12	MS. PAOLI: Thank you.
13	MS. BLAYLOCK: The Committee discussed AB 334,
14	which seeks to require POST to address motorcycle-rider
15	profiling in the regular basic course and in conjunction
16	with existing training regarding profiling.
17	There is no evidence or statistical data to suggest
18	a need for such legislation; and the bill would be akin
19	to calling motorcycle riders a protected class.
20	The commission the Committee passed a motion to
21	oppose; and we will ask the Commission
22	COMMISSIONER McDONNELL: I'll move.
23	COMMISSIONER DUDLEY: Second.
24	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Motion by McDonnell.
25	Second? I didn't catch that.

1	COMMISSIONER McDONNELL: I moved.
2	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: You made the motion?
3	COMMISSIONER McDONNELL: Yes.
4	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Who made the second?
5	COMMISSIONER DUDLEY: Dudley.
6	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Dudley? Thank you.
7	All in favor?
8	(A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)
9	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Opposed?
10	(No response)
11	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Motion carries.
12	MS. BLAYLOCK: Thank you.
13	COMMISSIONER DUDLEY: May I just point out, there
14	is a group called "Motorcyclists against Child Abuse,"
15	and they're great in some of our child-abuse cases, just
16	so we can level the playing field for motorcyclists out
17	there.
18	MS. BLAYLOCK: Yes.
19	We discussed one other bill, and that was Senate
20	Bill 128. It's for information only. No action was
21	being requested, or is being requested by the Commission.
22	This bill will enact the End of Life Option Act
23	to authorize qualifying terminally ill patients to
24	request a prescription medication for the purpose of
25	ending their own life.

1	A new crime will be created with the enactment of
2	this bill, which would make it a felony to alter or forge
3	such request, to coerce or unduly influence a person to
4	make such a request, to conceal or destroy the rescission
5	of such requests. And we are making modifications it
6	would require modifications in training by POST; but
7	they would be minimal, and the cost would be absorbed by
8	POST.
9	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, any questions?
10	MS. BLAYLOCK: So that's informational only.
11	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Information only?
12	Any questions?
13	(No response)
14	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: All right, thank you
15	very much.
16	And, Commissioner Moore, did you have any final
17	comments?
18	LEG. REVIEW COMMITTEE CHAIR MOORE: Yes, just a
19	couple of things on the bills of interest.
20	I was asked why we didn't get to cover those. And
21	I believe my understanding is most of that's equipment.
22	And that differs by geographic location on what body
23	cameras and so forth and drones and stuff like that.
24	So that was for information only.
25	And if I could go back to Senate Bill 11, I believe

1	it was, the bill.
2	And I wanted to make sure the direction from the
3	Committee that I got, was to take it back to him and talk
4	with him about the possibilities of us supporting that
5	if we could have more input on the structure of that
6	bill.
7	Am I correct on that, or I'm asking those on the
8	Committee?
9	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: Commissioner, generally
10	as a rule, the POST liaison, Alexis Blaylock, will convey
11	those messages to the senator.
12	LEG. REVIEW COMMITTEE CHAIR MOORE: That would
13	conclude our report then.
14	MS. BLAYLOCK: Thank you.
15	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Do I have a motion to
16	approve the Legislative Committee report?
17	COMMISSIONER BUI: Motion. Bui.
18	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Motion, Bui.
19	Second?
20	COMMISSIONER MOIR: Second. Moir.
21	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Second, Moir.
22	All in favor?
23	(A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)
24	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Opposed?
25	(No response)

1	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Motion carries.
2	Correspondence.
3	From POST:
4	To Ed Bonner, Sheriff, Placer County Sheriff's
5	Department, expressing sympathy over the tragic on-duty
6	death of Investigator Michael Davis, Jr.
7	To Scott Jones, Sheriff, Sacramento County Sheriff's
8	Department, expressing sympathy over the tragic on-duty
9	death of Deputy Danny Oliver.
10	To Paul Capraro, Chief, Pomona Police Department,
11	expressing sympathy over the tragic on-duty death of
12	Officer Shaun Diamond.
13	To Geoffrey Dean, Sheriff, Ventura County Sheriff's
14	Department, expressing sympathy over the tragic on-duty
15	death of Deputy Eugene Kostiuchenko.
16	To POST, from:
17	Richard Lindstrom, retiring director, State Center
18	Regional Training Facility, announcing his resignation
19	from the POST Advisory Committee.
20	Lanny Brown, President, California Academy
21	Directors' Association, recommending James Davis,
22	director of the Southwestern College of Basic Police
23	Academy, as the CADA representative to the POST Advisory
24	Committee.
25	Van Ton-Quinlivan, Vice Chancellor, Workforce and

Economic Development, California Community Colleges 1 Chancellor's Office, recommend George Beitey, Dean of 2 3 the School of Public Safety at San Diego Miramar College, for reappointment to the POST Advisory Committee. 4 5 And that concludes the correspondence. The next item is old business. There are no 6 7 old-business items at this time. New business. Nomination of replacement for 8 9 Advisory Committee member, Director Richard Lindstrom, 10 State Center Regional Training Facility, Fresno City 11 College, who represented the California Academy 12 Directors' Association on the POST Advisory Committee 13 has resigned. And CADA President Lanny Brown recommended that Director James Davis of the Southwestern College 14 Basic Police Academy act as the CADA replacement 15 appointee on the POST Advisory Committee. 16 17 If the Commission concurs, the appropriate action 18 would be a motion to approve Director James Davis as the 19 CADA replacement appointee on the POST Advisory 20 Committee. Do I have a motion? 21 22 COMMISSIONER BUI: Motion. Bui. 23 COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Bui, motion. 24 Second? 25 VICE CHAIR LEICHLITER: Leichliter.

1	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Leichliter?
2	Thank you.
3	All in favor?
4	(A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)
5	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Opposed?
6	(No response)
7	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, motion carries.
8	Commissioner Dudley, did you have an item that you
9	wanted to bring up? We could not take action on it, but
10	it could be agendized for next time.
11	COMMISSIONER DUDLEY: Right. Under new business,
12	I guess.
13	COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Under new business?
14	Okay, we're there.
15	COMMISSIONER DUDLEY: Okay, terrific.
16	I had been scribbling notes this morning. I was
17	inspired by Commissioner Moore's words. And I wanted to
18	share some of the thoughts I had.
19	I became a POST commissioner for the same reason
20	everybody else in this room became one. I wanted to
21	offer the best education to those who risk their lives to
22	protect and serve those of us living in California and
23	visiting our fair state.
24	And I think Chief Elgin said it best this morning,
25	when he eloquently stated, "We in California set the

standards for what we in this country will do." 1 So I'm a little bit in awe of the responsibility 2 3 we, as commissioners, have. So I've given some thoughts. I'm exceedingly proud to be a POST commissioner. 4 I'm in awe of the professionalism of the POST staff. 5 So I pose the following questions, not out of 6 7 criticism, but the best word I could find to why I'm 8 posing them is really out of love. I care deeply. 9 I don't expect or even want any answers today; but I'd 10 like you to consider some things for the future. I want to know what measures of effectiveness do we 11 12 presently have in place? And is it enough for us to know 13 what is and is not working? Should we take a look at 14 those anew? 15 It seems we continue to look back east for our highest forms of education. Are we making any in-roads 16 17 with Stanford University or any of California's other 18 superior academic institutions to train our most 19 qualified officers in the state of California? 20 Where are we on the cutting edge, if we continuously say California is on the cutting edge, then where are we? 21 22 I want to hear, and all the commissioners want to hear 23 where we are in the cutting edge in terms of police 24 officer standards and training. 25 Have we set the standards high enough for our

law-enforcement officers? I understand the difficulty 1 is with recruitment. But are we looking at the right 2 3 things. Should our standards be based on IQ or EQ or 4 formal education or job experience? What I'd like to see happen is, let's take a look at some of our most 5 successful law-enforcement officers throughout the state 6 7 of California, then let's look back at their application. 8 What was it that we could glean from those moments, 9 looking back on our very successful officers to say, 10 how do we want our future to unfold? 11 I think we too quickly go to things like education, 12 formal education. Let's take another look at that. What 13 does it take to be a successful law enforcement officer? We all know, Gordon tells us that if it's 14 15 predictable, it's preventable. What are we doing to actually save officers and our communities from the next 16 terrorists, someone suffering from mental illness, and a 17 18 violent outbreak? What do we as POST commissioners --19 what is POST doing to help us do that? 20 Silicon Valley is in our state. When I travel throughout the country with prosecutors, they say, 21 22 "Wow, Silicon Valley is in your state." So what kind of 23 technology? Are we using the most effective ways to 24 better educate and protect our communities and our 25 officers and our dispatchers?

How effective are we at predicting future trends? 1 And if we are pretty good at it, what are we doing about 2 3 those predictions? 4 Now, we're in the middle of body-cam problems. Santa Barbara County certainly is faced with that. 5 Suddenly some have body cams, some don't have body cams. 6 7 There aren't procedures in place. We have no idea what 8 we, as a prosecutor's office, are going to do about 9 discovery of body cams. When should they be turned on? 10 When should they be turned off? 11 We were having these discussions two years ago at 12 POST; but I think it sort of caught us off guard, and 13 we weren't ready. Are there other things like that out there, and what 14 can we do now to be in a better position for body cams? 15 Almost done. It's a dangerous thing to give me 16 coffee and get me fired up in the morning. 17 18 What's the plan for implementing our soon-to-be 19 completed Strategic Plan? I've sat in on way too many 20 strategic-plan sessions where, in the end, they end up being books in a basement or a link that's on my Web site 21 22 that no one ever taps into. 23 If we've got a strategic plan, what's our plan for 24 implementing the plan? 25 As an organization, I want us to meet our own high

expectations. As a commissioner, I want to work harder. 1 2 I want you guys to give me some direction. How can I work harder? How can I help POST? 3 As a team, we need to consider our legacy. When we 4 5 look back at a time-line of POST's achievements, we walk into a room and look at 2015 to 2017, what did we do? 6 7 Or more importantly -- much more importantly than that, 8 sometime in the near future, will we proudly hear about 9 an officer who saved lives, including her own, because 10 of how well we, as an organization, trained her? That's 11 what I want our legacy to be. 12 Thank you for the time. 13 COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Thank you. Thank you for your comments, Commissioner Dudley. 14 15 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: Commission Dudley, 16 thank you for that insight. Thank you for your commitment to look forward. 17 18 I've taken some copious notes here. And I'll assure 19 you that we'll begin to take a look at some of these 20 concerns that you've listed here. 21 Thank you so much. 22 COMMISSIONER DUDLEY: Thank you. 23 COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, we need to go 24 back. And we need a vote on the reappointment of George 25 Beitey to the POST Advisory Commission. I read a letter

```
of support. And so if I could get the motion to
1
2
     reappoint him to the Advisory Committee.
3
           COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Move it. Lowenberg.
4
           COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Lowenberg?
5
           COMMISSIONER KURYLOWICZ: Second. Kurylowicz.
           COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Second, Kurylowicz.
6
7
           All in favor?
8
           (A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)
9
           COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Opposed?
10
           (No response)
11
           COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Thank you. Motion
12
     carries.
          Future Commission dates, June 24th and 25th, 2015, at
13
     the Sheraton Universal Hotel in Universal City.
14
          And October 21<sup>st</sup> and 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2015, Doubletree, Mission
15
     Valley in San Diego.
16
17
          And that completes our meeting.
18
          Any other comments?
19
           (No response)
           MR. DARDEN: We'll go into closed session and then
20
     we'll have to report out.
21
22
           COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, so we're going to
23
     move to closed session. And then we'll re-adjourn after
24
     closed session.
25
          Thank you.
```

```
1
           (A recess was taken from 12:01 p.m. to
2
           12:05 p.m.)
           (The Commission met in executive closed
3
           session from 12:05 p.m. to 12:35 p.m.)
4
5
           COMMISSION CHAIR HUTCHENS: Okay, we are back in
6
      open session.
7
           In closed session, we discussed Executive Director
8
      Stresak's performance evaluation and then matters of
9
      litigation.
10
           We are adjourned.
11
           COMMISSIONER LOWENBERG: Thank you, Madam Chair.
12
           COMMISSIONER MOIR: Thank you, Madam Chair.
13
     were extraordinary.
14
           (The Commission meeting concluded at 12:36 p.m.)
15
                                 <u>ه•••</u>
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify:

That the foregoing proceedings were duly reported by me at the time and place herein specified; and

That the proceedings were reported by me, a duly certified shorthand reporter and a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand on March 10, 2015.

Daniel D. Feldhaug

Daniel P. Feldhaus California CSR #6949 Registered Diplomate Reporter Certified Realtime Reporter