STATE OF CALIFORNIA

PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING

POST COMMISSION FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING

<u>ه•••</u>ه

TIME: 10:00 a.m.

DATE: Wednesday, June 24, 2015

PLACE: Sheraton Universal Hotel

Starview Room

333 Universal Hollywood Drive Universal City, California

<u>ه</u>•••ه

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

<u>~••</u>

Reported by:

Daniel P. Feldhaus California Certified Shorthand Reporter #6949 Registered Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter

Daniel P. Feldhaus, C.S.R., Inc.

Certified Shorthand Reporters 8414 Yermo Way, Sacramento, California 95828 Telephone 916.682.9482 Fax 916.688.0723 FeldhausDepo@aol.com

APPEARANCES

POST COMMISSION FINANCE COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT

SYLVIA MOIR
(Finance Committee Chair)
Chief
El Cerrito Police Department

SANDRA HUTCHENS Sheriff-Coroner Orange County

PETER KURYLOWICZ, JR.

Deputy Sheriff
Riverside County Sheriff's Department

LAREN LEICHLITER
Sheriff
San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department

~•••

POST STAFF PRESENT

(participating staff)

ROBERT STRESAK
Executive Director
Executive Office

STEPHANIE SCOFIELD
Assistant Executive Director
(Administrative Services Division)
Executive Office

RON CROOK

Multimedia Specialist

Learning Technology Resources Bureau

CONNIE PAOLI
Administrative Assistant to the Director
Executive Office

&****

I N D E X

Proceedi	ngs_	Page
Cal	l to Order and Welcome	. 4
1.	Approval of February 18, 2015, Finance Committee Minutes	. 4
2.	Report on Revenues as of April 30, 2015	. 5
3.	Report on Expenditures as of April 30, 2015.	. 9
4.	Report on Reimbursements for Fiscal Year 2014-15	. 14
5.	Report on Proposed Budget for Fiscal Year 2015-16	. 16
6.	Old Business	. 24
7.	New Business	. 24
Adj	ournment	. 31
Reporter	's Certificate	. 32
	ి ••ఈ	

1	Wednesday, June 24, 2015, 10:00 a.m.
2	Universal City, California
3	<i>ბ</i> ം•••≼
4	(Gavel sounded.)
5	COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Well, welcome to the POST
6	Finance Committee meeting.
7	I would first start with a call to order, which
8	I just did by the pounding of this gavel, and ask
9	Ms. Paoli to do a roll call.
10	MS. PAOLI: Hutchens?
11	COMMISSIONER HUTCHENS: Here.
12	MS. PAOLI: Kurylowicz?
13	COMMISSIONER KURYLOWICZ: Here.
14	MS. PAOLI: Leichliter?
15	COMMISSIONER LEICHLITER: Here.
16	MS. PAOLI: Lowenberg?
17	(No response)
18	MS. PAOLI: McDonnell?
19	(No response)
20	MS. PAOLI: Moir?
21	COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Here.
22	Thank you very much.
23	Our first item is, trusting that all members have
24	reviewed in depth the content of the materials that we
25	were sent in advance, I would ask if there are any

1	questions; and, if none, that there would be a motion to
2	approve the action summary and meeting minutes from the
3	last Finance meeting.
4	COMMISSIONER KURYLOWICZ: Kurylowicz. I make a
5	motion to approve action and the meeting minutes.
6	COMMISSIONER HUTCHENS: Hutchens. Second.
7	COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Thank you.
8	And now, I get to introduce our Assistant Executive
9	Director, Stephanie Scofield, who, as always, will give
10	us an in-depth review of the materials, and then open it
11	up for questions to all of us.
12	Ms. Scofield?
13	MS. SCOFIELD: Thank you, Madam Chair.
14	Good morning, Committee Members.
15	COMMISSIONER KURYLOWICZ: Good morning.
16	COMMISSIONER LEICHLITER: Good morning.
17	MS. SCOFIELD: I would like to move on to Item 2.
18	This will be our report on our revenue.
19	So Item 2 is our report on our revenue.
20	And this is going to be reporting out for the first
21	ten months of the fiscal year. As we have in the past,
22	we've broken it down to our three funding sources.
23	So page 1 of 4 is our State Penalty Fund revenue.
24	And to date, as of April 30^{th} , 2015, our revenue is
25	\$17,702,001.

We're going to be projected to end this fiscal year at approximately \$27 million for revenue from the State Penalty Fund, which is a decrease, as we expected, of about \$2 million from the State Penalty Fund. And that is due to the continuing decline of the Penalty Assessment funding that we've seen for the past year and a half.

If we can move on to page 2. This is our Driver Training Penalty Assessment Fund revenue. As you can see at the bottom here, to date, as that April 30th, we've only received \$3.3 million of the \$14 million. We're seeing this revenue come in a bit more slowly than expected.

So in May and June, which we're still closing out our books, we're going to be receiving the rest of that in May and June.

We have opened discussions with the Department of Finance to see if we can change the language in the Budget Control Act, to see if we can get that revenue in prior to the end of the fiscal year, just due to our overall revenue situation. So we have opened that discussion to get this revenue into the POTF, Penalty Training Fund, as soon as possible in 2015-16.

COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: I have a question.

MS. SCOFIELD: Yes?

1	COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: I have a question on that.
2	What are the steps, as you referred to the
3	conversation, in changing the language? What are the
4	steps to that?
5	MS. SCOFIELD: It would be legislative approval.
6	So the Department of Finance needs to change the language
7	with the Control Act, because the Control Act dictates
8	how the State Controller's Office disseminates the
9	revenue to the various funds, which includes us, the
10	Peace Officer Training Fund. We happen to be towards
11	the tail end of the first fiscal year, which has been
12	historic. We have opened the discussion to see if we
13	can bump that up to sooner into the fiscal year based
14	on the projections of the continued decline.
15	COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: And is there a specific
16	timing in which those steps need to
17	MS. SCOFIELD: Yes.
18	COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: or that conversation needs
19	to take place? Is there a specific time on the calendar
20	where you would introduce the language change?
21	MS. SCOFIELD: We've already begun the discussion;
22	and that would be moving right into August, because
23	August begins the new cycle for the 2016-17 fiscal year.
24	COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Perfect. Thank you.
25	MS. SCOFIELD: Yes.

1 May I answer any questions on that? Any other 2 questions? 3 (No response) 4 MS. SCOFIELD: So page 3 of 4, this is our other sources of revenue, which includes our coroner's permit 5 fees and our other miscellaneous sources of revenue. 6 7 You do see that spike in June for fiscal year 8 2013-14. And that was the interest from the loan that 9 we gave the General Fund in 2008. That has been 10 completely paid off, so we won't see that spike in June 11 of this year. 12 So our other sources of revenue average 13 approximately \$400,000; and we'll finish the fiscal year closer to \$400,000. As of April 30th, we're at \$343,000. 14 15 The final page of this report, page 4 of 4, is a culmination of all three revenue sources by month. 16 You can see on the far right-hand side, we are 17 significantly down in revenue. As of April 30th, we're 18 19 down 8.78 percent in revenue from this time last fiscal 20 year. 21 We're looking to end this fiscal year probably at 22 closer to \$35 million, when we were at \$38 million last 23 year. But do keep in mind, we've had our Expenditure 24 Reduction Plan in place for the last 18 months to 25 mitigate that decline in revenue.

That concludes the report on the total revenue for 1 2 the first ten months of fiscal year 2014-15. 3 May I answer any questions on revenue? 4 (No response) MS. SCOFIELD: Okay, we'll move on to Item 3. And 5 this is our expenditure report. 6 7 Our allocated budget for 2014-15 is \$56.8 million. 8 And we break it down into our four main areas. 9 First is our administration. And to date, we've 10 spent 87 percent of our allocated budget. We're at 11 14.7 million expended of our 16.8. That does include our 12 move to West Sacramento. 13 Our training contracts, we've encumbered or spent approximately 93 percent of our total allocated budget 14 at 17.9 million. And we were allocated 19.3 million. 15 Our training reimbursements, which is the blue area 16 17 in the middle there -- I will get into a little bit 18 more detail in Item 4 -- but this breaks down the 19 reimbursements into our individual categories: our 20 subsistence, our travel, our tuition, and our backfill, which we know backfill is suspended but we are still 21 22 paying out for the previous two fiscal years. 23 We've expended 79 percent of our allocated budget 24 at 12.2 million, and we are allocated 15.4 million for 25 our local agency reimbursements. And we are projected

to spend all of that 15.4 million on our local agency reimbursements at the end of this fiscal year.

The final area is our Museum of Tolerance; and we have our contract at 1.5 million, and then our reimbursements, which we've expended 222,000 for the Museum of Tolerance training.

I would like to point out, our 3.2 million General Fund allocation that we received this year, we spent a lot of time talking about that in February. We had opened up the Plan IV mental-health training courses for the field. And we had some discussion that the money wasn't being used as much as we hoped it would be. So we had some discussion on potentially reallocating that money for more reimbursements to the field.

What we were able to do in our discussions with the Department of Finance is actually bring back all of our Plan IV reimbursements, beginning April $1^{\rm st}$ through June $30^{\rm th}$.

So to date, I just checked the numbers at the end of the last week, and we've spent close to a million dollars on our Plan IV reimbursements. So it is being used, because we opened up all of the suspended courses that we had in our Expenditure Reduction Plan, and are using that 3.2 million from the General Fund. So that is being used, and very good for our local agencies.

1	So we've encumbered or expended approximately
2	82 percent of our total allocated budget, and have
3	10.1 million for the last remaining two months of this
4	fiscal year. So we are actually in very good shape
5	moving into the last two months of the fiscal year.
6	May I answer any questions on our expenditure
7	report?
8	COMMISSIONER LEICHLITER: On the mental-health
9	issue, is it just because nobody is giving any training?
10	Or why is that money not getting used for that specific
11	issue?
12	MS. SCOFIELD: What we're seeing the trend is, the
13	officers are going to the training. We're just seeing a
14	delay in the reimbursements coming in.
15	COMMISSIONER LEICHLITER: Okay.
16	MS. SCOFIELD: So they are going to the training.
17	We're just not we are in arrears on reimbursing that
18	training.
19	COMMISSIONER LEICHLITER: Okay.
20	MS. SCOFIELD: So what we intend to do, as we close
21	our books for 2014-15, is encumber the entire 3.2 amount.
22	So as those reimbursement requests come in, still for
23	2014-15, we're going to code it back to that 3.2 million.
24	And we have the approval from the Department of Finance
25	to do that.

1 COMMISSIONER LEICHLITER: Thank you. 2 COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: And I have a question. 3 MS. SCOFIELD: Yes. 4 COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Are there any plans or 5 projections for offering POST employees a cost-of-living adjustment in this next budget cycle that we could 6 7 prepare for? 8 Has there been any conversation regarding that? 9 I'll ask the Executive Director. 10 Sir? 11 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: We've had several 12 conversations. And over the last couple years, given 13 our current fiscal strength, that we've looked at ways and alternatives in which to deal with the compaction, 14 deal with the pay differential with other state 15 consultants that perform different functions, to the 16 17 tune of as much as \$1,300 a month of pay differential. 18 And so during this last fiscal year, we felt it not 19 prudent -- not prudent timing to pursue any kind of a 20 raise in pay. 21 But, Commissioner, you raise a very significant 22 point. That is obviously a significant component of 23 recruitment and retention. Although, I do believe that 24 a lot of people come to POST not necessarily for the 25 money; but, however, it would be nice to be properly

1	compensated. And it provides for a better career path
2	in terms of incentive. Quite frankly, some of the
3	potential candidates that we have to promote to bureau
4	chief will say, "Well, why do I want to promote for a
5	dollar to eighty, and deal with all the additional
6	responsibilities?"
7	COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Is that rhetorical, or do
8	they actually answer that question, sir?
9	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: That was they
10	actually answer that question, so it's not necessarily
11	rhetorical.
12	So, yes, we have explored all that. To make a long
13	story short, we just felt that that effort would be
14	it would be prudent to suspend it at this point in light
15	of our fiscal condition.
16	Stephanie, if there's anything you want to add,
17	feel free.
18	MS. SCOFIELD: I have nothing to add on that.
19	COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Well, I think we all
20	recognize the fiscal condition which we will be, I think,
21	addressing and exploring later.
22	But for employees that are similarly situated in
23	job task in the state of California, to have similarly
24	situated employees compensated so differently is not
25	only problematic for POST, but I think it puts our state

1	and our recruiting and retention in a very precarious
2	position.
3	So I would encourage you, as the Executive Director,
4	to explore that with some more energy.
5	And we, as a commission, need to explore some other
6	sustainable funding. And we'll be talking about that
7	later.
8	So thank you for that.
9	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: Very good. I will do
10	that.
11	MS. SCOFIELD: Thank you.
12	May I answer any further questions on expenditures
13	for this fiscal year?
14	(No response)
15	MS. SCOFIELD: Okay, we'll move on to Item 4. This
16	is our report on our reimbursements and our trainees for
17	fiscal year 2014-15. And, again, this is reporting out
18	for the first ten months.
19	What's interesting to note here is, if you look up
20	in the gold chart area, in the top right, our number of
21	trainees has decreased. So from 2013-14, we saw 31,000
22	reimbursable trainees. At the same time this fiscal
23	year, we're at 23,000 reimbursable trainees.
24	I am attributing this to the fact that we are on an
25	Expenditure Reduction Plan, so we simply can't reimburse

the students for training. We're finding that students are attending training; but due to our Expenditure Reduction Plan, our trainees -- our reimbursable trainees have decreased because of our reduction plan. So that's why you're seeing the decrease in the overall number of reimbursable trainees. That's just students who are reimbursed for training. That is not all students attending training.

So we are down in our total reimbursements approximately 360,000. From fiscal year 2013-14, we were at 12.5. At the same time this year, we are at 12.2 million.

What's interesting to note, as we break it down into the gold and the blue bars in our subsistence and our travels, you'll notice that we're having students attending more courses in which lodging, which is our resident subsistence, is being reimbursed; and tuition has increased significantly, from 1.9 last year to 2.6 this year.

And again, I attribute it to our overall Expenditure Reduction Plan, because those are the courses that are still reimbursable to the students. So we are seeing a spike where students are lodging and paying, and getting reimbursed for tuition.

And then on the far right is our presenter cost.

```
That's our Plan V that the Commission approved last year.
1
2
     And we're at $211,000 spent on that. And those are our
3
     courses, the POST-approved courses that our program
     managers oversee. And our main one, as a Plan V, is our
4
5
     Academy Instructor Course Certification.
          May I answer any questions on our reimbursement
6
7
     report for fiscal year 2014-15?
8
          (No response)
9
          MS. SCOFIELD: Hearing none, we'll move on to
10
     Item 5.
11
          COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Before we move on to Item 5,
12
     if the Finance Committee concurs, the appropriate action
13
     is to ask for a motion to approve Items 2 through 4 for
     fiscal year 2014-15.
14
15
          COMMISSIONER LEICHLITER: Motion. Leichliter.
16
          COMMISSIONER KURYLOWICZ: Second. Kurylowicz.
17
          COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Thank you.
18
          MS. SCOFFIELD: Thank you.
19
          MS. PAOLI: Do you want to do an "All in favor"?
20
          EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: All in favor? A vote.
21
          COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: All in favor?
22
          (A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)
23
          COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Opposed?
24
          (No response)
25
          MS. SCOFIELD: So Item 5 is our proposed budget for
```

fiscal year 2015-16. And this chart is depicting a comparison for our current-year budget and our proposed budget moving into 2015-16.

I'd like to start in the gold area, which is our revenue projections, beginning on July 1 of 2015.

Our first one is our beginning balance. And that is our reserves, our fund balance as we move into each fiscal year. So you can see, we started this fiscal year at \$17.1 million. And our projected revenue, our reserves going into 2015-16, at this point, is \$7.0 million.

What that number does not include right now is any of our adjustments from this fiscal year as we close out our books. We'll be closing out for fiscal year 2012-13. Any unspent funds will be rolled over, as well as any contract monies we may disencumber as we close out for 2014-15.

And so I anticipate that number being closer to

10 to 11 million, but we won't know that and we'll report

out on that at the October meeting for you.

The second line for revenue is the revenue from the State Penalty Assessment Fund. And as you can see, this year we are at 28.6 million. And there is a projection of 37.7 million, which is roughly a \$10 million increase in revenue.

1	The Governor is proposing the Debt Amnesty Program,
2	in which those individuals with court-ordered debt can
3	pay at a 50 percent rate beginning as of July $1^{\rm st}$.
4	Those projections from the Department of Finance are
5	potentially increasing our revenue by \$10 million.
6	There is a concern there, in terms of the impact
7	of the \$10 million, if we don't receive that, as a
8	Commission. We'll get into that in just a moment.
9	And then finally, the last the third line in
10	the gold is our revenue from the Driver Training Penalty
11	Assessment Fund, which is our 14 million that we receive.
12	So our total revenue, you can see, is actually down
13	by approximately \$2 million from 60.7 to 58.8 million;
14	and that 58.8 million does include the projected
15	10 million from the Debt Amnesty Program.
16	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: So, Stephanie, just
17	to clarify, the projections for revenues from the Peace
18	Officer Training Fund are actually about \$27,763,000,
19	with an \$800,000 difference between last year and this
20	year. And the 10 million is just in addition to, as
21	proposed; correct?
22	MS. SCOFIELD: That's correct.
23	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: Okay.
24	MS. SCOFIELD: So the \$10 million is the revenue
25	from the projected Debt Amnesty Program.

1 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: Correct. Thank you. MS. SCOFIELD: So the blue area, we had significant 2 3 discussion with the Finance Committee and the Commission 4 at the February meeting. 5 The Governor's proposed budget in January decreased the Commission's Administration budget by 5.2 million; 6 7 and that equated to, at the time, 37 POST positions. 8 We brought options to the Commission to consider in terms 9 of a more equitable balance across all of our programs 10 within the budget, as opposed to just staffing. So the Commission decided that a more equitable 11 balance across all of our programs, staff took that back; 12 13 and in multiple meetings with the Department of Finance, we were able to attain a more equitable balance of cuts 14 in terms of spreading out the \$5.2 million. 15 So when the May Revise came out on May 14th, you can 16 see it's a little bit more balanced, as opposed to just 17 18 the 5.2 coming out of the Administration budget. 19 If you look at -- the far right-hand column, in 20 the blue, our first line is "Administration." So our Administration budget going into 2015-16 is 16.6 million. 21 22 And what that equates to is the loss of five vacant 23 positions at POST, as well as some of our operating

expenditure, which is some of our rent savings at our

24

25

new building.

Our training reimbursements to the field, it's a little deceiving because we're going from 15.4 to 17.8. Because we're able to bring back all of our Plan IV's for 2015-16. However, the Commission voted to approve the suspension of backfill indefinitely. So that was still a decrease of \$2.5 million.

So as we move into July 1 for law-enforcement and POST reimbursements, backfill will continue to be suspended for an overall \$2.5 million savings.

Our training contracts have decreased approximately \$700,000; and then the Museum of Tolerance line item remains static, at 2 million.

So as we move into 2015-16, our budget is actually -- if you look at the fourth line there, the total budget projected allocation from this fiscal year is 15.2. We're moving to 55.1; but from the January to the May Revision, we're still looking at a decrease of overall \$5 million.

And then our grant funds are projected at 1.9; but that's a very fluid number, because we just -- that's kind of the unknown what you apply for grants, what you actually receive at the end. So we're projecting 1.9, but that's a very fluid number.

So total, we're looking into 2015-16, 57.1, down from 59.3.

1	May I answer any questions?
2	COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Yes.
3	So what are the most probable ramifications if this
4	\$10 million that we see reflected on line number 2, if
5	it's not realized? What are the most probable
6	ramifications? Because \$10 million is so significant to
7	a budget this size.
8	MS. SCOFIELD: If the \$10 million in revenue is not
9	realized, we're going to be facing further cuts, probably
10	across the board, as we did this year. But we are
11	looking at further cuts to reimbursements and training
12	contracts. It would be devastating if we don't realize
13	the \$10 million.
14	COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: And so I understand it, the
15	Department of Finance has guaranteed that this money will
16	come in at a certain time; is that accurate?
17	MS. SCOFIELD: That is the projection.
18	COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: And does the Department of
19	Finance understand the ramifications and their direct
20	relationship to local communities and local policing?
21	MS. SCOFIELD: Yes. The Executive Director and
22	I have had multiple meetings with the Department of
23	Finance. They understand the significant impact and
24	what the Commission provides for California law
25	enforcement, so they would understand the impact of

1 these cuts. 2 COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: And to -- what is it now? --3 90,000'ish people that are -- I'll use "governed," because I don't know a different word -- governed by 4 5 POST? EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: That's correct. 6 7 90,000 that are supported in one way or another in terms 8 of training, selection standards, psychological training, 9 reinforcement for police psychologists and all the other 10 services, and maintenance of the academy curriculum, the Institute of Criminal Investigation, and Instructor 11 Development. All those services would be impacted. 12 13 To add to that mix, Commissioner, is the pending legislation, in light of our five position cuts, that 14 15 propose additional workload to us in the form of enhanced mental-health training and whatever other legislation may 16 17 be passed. 18 So, to summarize: On one hand, we're losing five 19 positions; and, on the other hand, by the end of this 20 legislative session, there will be an expectation for us 21 to deliver a higher level of service, not only in the 22 Academy, but in the Field Training Officer Program and 23 in-service training programs. 24 COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: And is there resonance in 25 terms of that impact, in that that is not a sustainable

```
model by anyone that you communicate with at state level?
1
2
          EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: If, by "resonance," you
3
     mean is there a reception to seeking a solution?
     there is an awareness of the issue and the compromises or
4
5
     reduction in services we have to face. And the effort
     to find a solution, I think, remains in the future,
6
7
     requiring further collaboration with the Administration.
8
          COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Thank you.
9
          I will likely speak to this and reference to this
10
     in New Business.
11
          So, thank you.
12
          MS. SCOFIELD: May I answer any questions on the
13
     proposed budget for 2015-16?
          (No response)
14
15
          COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Seeing that there are no
     other questions, if this Finance Committee concurs, the
16
17
     action would be to initiate a motion to approve the
18
     report on the proposed budget for fiscal year 2015-16.
19
          COMMISSIONER HUTCHENS: Motion. Hutchens.
20
          COMMISSIONER KURYLOWICZ: Second. Kurylowicz.
21
          COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Okay, vote.
22
          All those in favor?
23
          (A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)
24
          COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: And opposed?
25
           (No response)
```

COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: I'd like it noted that I 1 2 actually remembered to vote without being nudged by 3 staff. 4 Thank you. It only took two years. So that concludes Item Number 5, which takes us now 5 to Item Number 6, which is Old Business. 6 7 Is there any old business for this Committee to consider or discuss? 8 9 (No response) 10 COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Hearing none, that takes us 11 to New Business. "New Business" is an opportunity for us to bring 12 13 anything to the table that has come from the field or that we want to discuss as a committee. 14 15 And I will start this off with this: We received the report on the languishing Penalty Assessment Fund. 16 The entire Commission received it. This Committee 17 18 received it, and dove into it in a way that was aligned 19 with our responsibility: To dive into it, and try to do 20 the best for California law enforcement. 21 Knowing that POST receives 23.99 percent of that 22 Penalty Assessment Fund, that's largely how we are 23 funded. But we also recognize that income from the Penalty Assessment Fund is based on offenders paying 24 25 court-ordered fines; and that report largely showed that

offenders are not paying their court-ordered fines, their penalties. And we recognize that this fund fluctuates.

The State is healthier financially, largely because our Governor was courageous, and did some great things to make California financially secure. But we are in a position where this Committee and this Commission, the larger Commission -- and we will talk about this tomorrow as well -- is in a position where we have to explore, examine, and take steps to actually move toward a sustainable model of funding. I think we get that.

So I would ask this group, and then I will also ask, with our Chair's permission, to examine what are the legal and appropriate steps for us to take to pursue a sustainable funding source for POST in the future.

What does that look like? Who would be at the table to explore, examine, analyze, and implement those strategies?

And so in terms of New Business, I'm merely softening the ground with something that I think we've seen for quite some time. And we need to have a conversation -- actually, more of a conversation, a dialogue and then take action toward doing something that will make POST more sustainable.

I think the 90,000 people that are -- I say "governed." What did you say, Mr. Executive Director?

1 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: Supported by. COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: "Supported by," that's a 2 3 much better word -- supported by POST. The training and the standards that we have in 4 5 California exist to professionalize policing. And those 90,000 people, and then the millions that are served 6 7 by those 90,000, they need us to do something. 8 So I would merely offer that we create a space and 9 a time where we have that conversation, we bring it to the larger Commission, and we form a group -- of course, 10 11 examined by our attorney, Mr. Darden -- and we do 12 something about this issue, because it is not going 13 away. And there is no one above us and above our pay grade that is going to help us find a solution. I think 14 that's been very obvious. 15 That concludes my remark -- remarks, actually. 16 17 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: Madam Chair, if I 18 could. 19 Thank you for those remarks. Thank you for the 20 concern for future budgeting. And I would welcome and encourage any kind of initiative along those lines that 21 22 would explore the possibilities or probabilities that 23 exist somewhere within the Byzantine network, if you 24 will -- and I don't mean that facetiously -- network of 25 State finance.

I think, as we talked earlier, that all ideas should be on the table. We've explored things that have ranged from adding registration fees, to, we have explored possibilities of working with the court system to see if they could impact the Penalty Assessment Fund. We've explored college tuition, perhaps even student loans, by POST.

All these ideas, be them good or bad, are ideas that are worthy of dialogue and further exploration.

The fact that we have a -- the Administration has made an effort to propose an amnesty program to collect fines at a 50 percent reduction is commendable; but you've heard me say in the past that that does not really fix the structural issue that we have.

I don't believe that the trend for Penalty

Assessment Funding is going to reverse. I believe that

some of the corrections that agencies have made over the

last five or six years, post recession, in terms of how

they deliver service, is not going to really revert back

to past practices. I could be wrong. That would be my

projection. But the point being is that, ultimately,

this trend will probably continue at this point.

So given that, I guess I would sum up, once again, to encourage the formation of a subcommittee that would continue to explore and to work within our government and

our Administration and our Legislature to say what other 1 possibilities exist out there. 2 I firmly believe, somewhere in my heart, that 3 somewhere in the book of rules there exists Chapter 13, 4 subsection 42, subsection AAA(d), that just gives us some 5 kind of option here. And I think we just have to expend 6 7 the effort and time, so... 8 COMMISSIONER HUTCHENS: Madam Chair? 9 COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Yes? 10 COMMISSIONER HUTCHENS: First, I'd like to commend 11 the Executive Director for looking at options to deal with the structural deficit. 12 It reminds me of what we all went through when 13 Prop. 172 funds, during the recession, dropped; and so 14 we discovered that was not a stable funding source for 15 us, for law enforcement. So we all went through that. 16 And this is a very similar situation that we find 17 18 ourselves in. 19 And at a time when there is increasing demand for our services, for our 90,000 people that we support and 20 increasing requests from the Legislature to provide new 21 22 training or expanded training programs. 23 So I think that there is an answer out there. 24 And, Madam Chair, I support your proposal to 25 establish some support, a committee to support the

Executive Director in this quest. And it's so badly needed.

And talking about the reductions in POST staff, at a time like this, I got a visual of a big, red balloon being pushed and pushed and pushed, until it pops, you know, and just is gone. So we've got to relieve some of that pressure, and we've got to establish some long-term structural funding fix.

So thank you, Madam Chair.

COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Thank you, Commissioner Hutchens.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR STRESAK: Thank you for those comments.

And, you know, another example came to mind, is with some pending legislation that impacts the Field Training Officer Program. There are thousands of FTOs out there. And each agency has a field training officer manual that needs to be rewritten and revised and reviewed by POST. The point being, is that collectively, every one of those manuals comes to one position in POST to review; and the expectation is for those to be turned over in a timely manner.

The challenge is, how do you review 300 or 400 field officer training manuals and get them out in a timely manner with one person? And other positions are

similarly overloaded with those kind of expectations. 1 So I appreciate your comments, I appreciate your 2 support. And I'll turn it back to you, Madam Chair. 3 COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: I have a great visual of that 4 5 simply because last week, I went to POST headquarters and dropped off two three-ring binders -- they must have 6 7 weighed over 20 pounds -- of my agency, the El Cerrito 8 Police Department's new FTO manual. And I had no idea 9 it was going to one human being that was going to have 10 to go through that. Because it took the collective 11 wisdom of about ten to create it. 12 So it's very compelling, sir. Thank you. 13 Any other thoughts, statements, under New Business? (No response) 14 CHAIR COMMITTEE MOIR: I'll just conclude then with 15 the times are calling on us to do something. And with 16 17 the collective wisdom of this committee, with our greater 18 commission, and with the POST staff, with the counsel of 19 Mr. Darden, I think we can come up with options that can 20 support the sustainable funding for POST moving into the 21 future. 22 And I'll look forward to who is going to raise their 23 hand to be at the table and come up with those solutions and do some of the heavy lifting, as well as at the 24 25 intersection with the creative thought, to come up with

```
something that can right this very significant issue in
 1
2
      California law enforcement.
           So hearing that there is no other new business, this
3
      takes us to the position to adjourn our meeting.
4
5
           We will adjourn the Finance meeting.
           (The gavel sounded.)
6
7
           COMMITTEE CHAIR MOIR: Thank you.
8
           (The Finance Committee meeting concluded
9
           at 10:35 a.m.)
10
                               <u>ه•••</u>
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify:

That the foregoing proceedings were duly reported by me at the time and place herein specified; and

That the proceedings were reported by me, a duly certified shorthand reporter and a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand on July 10, 2015.

Daniel P. Feldhaus California CSR #6949 Registered Diplomate Reporter Certified Realtime Reporter