Attachment A.2

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICERS STANDARDS AND TRAINING

ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

<u>ه•••</u>ه

TIME: 1:00 p.m.

DATE: Wednesday, February 27, 2013

PLACE: Sheraton Garden Grove, Anaheim South

12221 Harbor Boulevard

Garden Grove, California 92840

&****

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

%•••%

Reported by:

Daniel P. Feldhaus California Certified Shorthand Reporter #6949 Registered Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter

Daniel P. Feldhaus, C.S.R., Inc.

Certified Shorthand Reporters 8414 Yermo Way, Sacramento, California 95828 Telephone 916.682.9482 Fax 916.688.0723 FeldhausDepo@aol.com

POST ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT

JAMES BOCK

Committee Vice-Chair

California Specialized Law Enforcement

ELMO BANNING Public Member

GEORGE BEITEY
State Chancellor's Community College Office

ALEX BERNARD Public Member

JOE FLANNAGAN
Peace Officers' Research Association of California

RICHARD J. LINDSTROM
California Academy Directors' Association

MITCHELL MUELLER California Highway Patrol

TIM WILLMORE California Association of Police Training Officers

BRADLEY YOUNG
California Association of Administration of
Justice Educators

∂••••

POST COMMISSIONERS PRESENT

LAI LAI BUI
Sergeant
Sacramento Police Department

SANDRA HUTCHENS Sheriff-Coroner Orange County

JAMES McDONNELL
Chief
Long Beach Police Department

SYLVIA MOIR
Chief
El Cerrito Police Department

LAURIE SMITH
Sheriff
Santa Clara County

MICHAEL SOBEK
Sergeant
San Leandro Police Department

%•••

POST STAFF PRESENT

per participation and sign-in sheet

BOB STRESAK
Interim Executive Director
Executive Office

ALAN DEAL
Assistant Executive Director
Executive Office
Field Services Bureau

RICHARD REED
Assistant Executive Director
Executive Office
Administrative Services Division

POST STAFF PRESENT

per participation and sign-in sheet continued

MARIE BOUVIA
Executive Assistant
Executive Office

ANNE BREWER
Bureau Chief
Training Program Services Bureau

JANICE BULLARD
Chief
Learning Technology Resource Bureau

RON CROOK

Multimedia Specialist

Learning Technology Resource Bureau

FRANK DECKER
Bureau Chief
Basic Training Bureau

LARRY ELLSWORTH
Senior Consultant
Training Program Services Bureau

DARLA ENGLER
Bureau Chief
Administrative Services Bureau

BRYON GUSTAFSON

Bureau Chief

Standards and Evaluation Services Bureau

MIKE HOOPER
Bureau Chief
Center for Leadership Development

COLIN O'KEEFE
Bureau Chief
Computer Services Bureau

POST STAFF PRESENT

per participation and sign-in sheet continued

CONNIE PAOLI
Administrative Assistant
Executive Office

RAYANNE ROGERS
Senior Instructional Designer
Learning Technology Resources Bureau

STEPHANIE SCOFIELD

Bureau Chief
Training Delivery and Compliance Bureau



ALSO PRESENT

per sign-in sheet

RANDY WALTZ
Fresno County District Attorneys
and CAPTO

PAUL M. WEBER
Los Angeles Police Department

%•••

I N D E X

Proceedin	<u>gs</u>	Page
Α.	Call to Order and Welcome	. 9
В.	Flag Salute and Pledge of Allegiance	. 9
С.	Moment of Silence	. 9
	Officer Kevin Tonn Galt Police Department	
	Officer Michael Crain Riverside Police Department	
	Detective Jeremiah MacKay San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department	
	Detective Elizabeth Butler Santa Cruz Police Department	
	Sergeant Loran "Butch" Baker Santa Cruz Police Department	
D.	Introductions	. 10
	Advisory Committee, POST Commissioners, and Members of Audience	
Ε.	Roll Call	. 12
F.	Announcements and Correspondence	. 13
Publ	ic Testimony	None

I N D E X

Proceedin	ugs_	<u>Pa</u>	age
G.	Approval of Minutes of October 24, 2013, Meeting		14
Н.	Review of Commission Meeting Agenda	•	15
I.	Presentations:		
	New POST Training Video Presentation Format	•	47
	Introduction of New Dispatch Center on the Learning Portal		72
J.	Report on the Nominations for the <i>POST</i> Excellence in Training Awards		79
К.	Report on the Nominations for the O.J. "Bud" Hawkins Exceptional Service Award		88
L.	Advisory Committee Member Reports		93
	- California Coalition of Law Enforcement Associations (CCLEA), Casas	•	93
	- California Specialized Law Enforcement, (CSLE), Bock	•	93
	- Public member, Banning		93
	- Public member, Bernard	•	94
	- State Chancellor's Community College Office, Beitey	•	94

I N D E X

Proceedings	Page
L. Advisory Committee Member Reports continued	
- Peace Officers' Research Association of California (PORAC), Flannagan	. 94
- California Academy Directors' Association (CADA), Lindstrom	. 95
- California Highway Patrol (CHP), Mueller	. 96
- California Association of Police Training Officers, Willmore	. 98
- California Association of Administration of Justice Educators, Young	
M. Commissioner Comments	. 100
N. Old and New Business	. 100
O. Next Meetings	. 116
P. Adjournment	. 116
Reporter's Certificate	. 117
∂ა••• •€\$	

1	Wednesday, February 27, 2013, 1:02 p.m.
2	Garden Grove, California
3	გ ∘•• •≼გ
4	(Gavel was sounded.)
5	CHAIR CASAS: Okay, the meeting is officially open
6	of the Advisory Committee.
7	Thank you very much for coming, everyone.
8	I think we're going to start out with our flag
9	salute, which is going to be led by our good member here,
10	Joe Flannagan.
11	Please stand.
12	(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)
13	CHAIR CASAS: Please remain standing.
14	As you know, we have an unfortunate start of the
15	year with officers being killed in the line of duty.
16	And if we could take a moment of silence for:
17	Officer Kevin Tonn, Galt Police Department.
18	Officer Michael Crain, with the Riverside Police
19	Department.
20	Detective Jeremiah MacKay, San Bernardino Sheriff's
21	Department.
22	Officer Elizabeth Butler with the Santa Cruz Police
23	Department.
24	And Officer Loran Baker, also with the Santa Cruz
25	Police Department.

```
(Moment of silence.)
1
2
          CHAIR CASAS: Thank you. Please be seated.
3
          Okay, at this moment, we'll move right into
     introductions.
4
5
          And I will start with Mr. Bock to my left, we'll
     start with the introductions and who they represent.
6
7
          VICE CHAIR BOCK: Jim Bock, representing Specialized
8
     Law Enforcement.
9
          THE REPORTER: Dan Feldhaus, the hearing reporter.
          MEMBER BANNING: Elmo Banning, public member
10
          MEMBER BERNARD: Alexander Bernard, public member.
11
          MEMBER BEITEY: George Beitey, representing the
12
13
     California Community College Chancellor's office.
          MEMBER FLANNAGAN: Joe Flannagan, PORAC.
14
15
          MEMBER LINDSTROM: Richard Lindstrom, representing
     the California Academy Directors' Association.
16
17
          MEMBER MUELLER: Mitch Mueller, California Highway
18
     Patrol.
19
          MEMBER WILLMORE: Tim Willmore, California
     Association of Police Training Officers.
20
21
          MEMBER YOUNG: Brad Young, representing CAAJE.
22
          MS. PAOLI: Connie Paoli, POST staff.
23
          MS. BOUVIA: Marie Bouvia, POST staff.
          MR. STRESAK: Bob Stresak, Interim Executive
24
25
     Director, POST staff.
```

```
MR. DEAL: Alan Deal, POST staff.
1
2
          CHAIR CASAS: And I am Mario Casas with the
3
     California Coalition of Law Enforcement Associations.
          Thank you very much.
4
5
          If we could move to the audience for their
     introductions, please.
6
7
          Commission members, we'll start with you.
8
          COMMISSIONER HUTCHENS: Sandy Hutchens, Orange
9
     County Sheriff's Department.
10
          COMMISSIONER McDONNELL: Jim McDonnell, Long Beach
11
     Police Department.
12
          COMMISSIONER SMITH: Laurie Smith, Santa Clara
13
     County Sheriff's Office.
14
          COMMISSIONER BUI: Lai Lai Bui, Sacramento PD.
15
          COMMISSIONER MOIR: Sylvia Moir, El Cerrito Police
16
     Department.
17
          MR. WALTZ: Randy Waltz, Fresno County DA
18
     Investigator, and member of CAPTO.
19
          MR. WEBER: Paul Weber, LAPD.
          MR. ELLSWORTH: Larry Ellsworth, POST staff.
20
21
          MR. O'KEEFE: Colin O'Keefe, POST staff.
22
          MR. REED: Dick Reed, POST staff.
23
          MS. BREWER: Anne Brewer, POST staff.
24
          MR. GUSTAFSON: Bryon Gustafson, POST staff.
25
          MR. HOOPER: Mike Hooper, POST staff.
```

```
1
          MS. ROGERS: Rayanne Rogers, POST staff.
2
          MS. BULLARD: Jan Bullard, POST staff.
3
          MS. SCOFIELD: Stephanie Scofield, POST staff.
          MR. DECKER: Frank Decker, POST staff.
4
5
          MS. ENGLER: Darla Engler, POST staff.
6
          CHAIR CASAS: Thank you all very much, and welcome
7
     to Garden Grove.
8
          I'd like to move to roll call, please.
9
          MS. BOUVIA: Banning?
10
          MEMBER BANNING: Here.
11
          MS. BOUVIA: Beitey?
12
          MEMBER BEITEY: Here.
13
          MS. BOUVIA: Bernard?
14
          MEMBER BERNARD: Here.
15
          MS. BOUVIA: Bidou?
           (No response)
16
17
          MS. BOUVIA: Bock?
18
          VICE CHAIR BOCK: Here.
19
          MS. BOUVIA: Bonner?
20
           (No response)
21
          MS. BOUVIA: Casas?
22
          CHAIR CASAS: Here.
23
          MS. BOUVIA: Flannagan?
24
          MEMBER FLANNAGAN: Here.
25
          MS. BOUVIA: Lindstrom?
```

```
1
          MEMBER LINDSTROM: Here.
2
          MS. BOUVIA: McFadon?
3
           (No response)
          MS. BOUVIA: Mueller?
4
5
          MEMBER MUELLER: Here.
          MS. BOUVIA: Spagnoli?
6
7
           (No response)
8
          MS. BOUVIA: Willmore?
9
          MEMBER WILLMORE: Here.
10
          MS. BOUVIA: Young?
11
          MEMBER YOUNG: Here.
12
          CHAIR CASAS: Okay, thank you very much.
13
          We'll move into the next subject, will be the
     approval -- I'm sorry, announcements and correspondence.
14
           So I'll turn it to Alan Deal for that.
15
          MR. DEAL: Mr. Chair and Members of the Committee,
16
17
     three items of correspondence, letters from the Interim
     Executive Director:
18
19
           To the Chief of the Galt Police Department
20
     expressing sympathy regarding the tragic death of Officer
21
     Kevin Tonn.
22
           To Chief Diaz of the Riverside Police Department on
23
     the death of Officer Michael Crain.
24
          And a third letter to Sheriff John McMahon,
25
     San Bernardino County Sheriff, on the death of Detective
```

```
1
      Jeremiah MacKay.
2
          Additionally, correspondence to POST is a letter
3
      authored by the California Academy Directors' Association
     members that unanimously formalized their endorsement of
4
5
      Interim Executive Director Robert Stresak as permanent
     Executive Director of POST.
6
7
          CHAIR CASAS: Okay, thank you very much, Alan.
8
           Now, we'll move to -- it's kind of loud here --
9
     hopefully, everybody had an opportunity to read
10
      the minutes and go through them and make sure that they
11
     are in appropriate order and everything occurred at the
     last meeting that did.
12
13
           If anyone needs more time, please say so now.
           If not, I'd like to entertain a motion for passage
14
15
     of the minutes.
          MEMBER BERNARD: Bernard, so moved.
16
17
          VICE CHAIR BOCK: Bock, second.
18
          CHAIR CASAS: Bock, second.
19
          All in favor, say "aye."
20
           (A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)
21
           CHAIR CASAS: Opposed?
22
           (No response)
23
           CHAIR CASAS: Abstain?
24
           (No response)
25
           CHAIR CASAS: Thank you.
```

Now, we'll call for the review of the Commission meeting agenda, please.

MR. DEAL: Mr. Chair, I've received some input from members of the Advisory Committee that had interest on specific items of the Commission agenda. Additionally, there are a couple of items that staff feels it would be important to have a staff report. So we'll do that, anyway.

The first item that there was interest expressed was on B.2, on the consent calendar. B.2, as you recall, is the Report on the Strategic Plan Implementation Effort.

And the Commission has assigned the Advisory Committee the responsibility to review the work done by staff, and to identify those things where they are putting forward a recommendation or approval for the work staff has done, particularly in those occurrences where a recommendation is to complete a particular item, and indicate why the item is completed.

One of the items, if you go to the agenda itself relative to the Strategic Plan, is Strategic Plan

Objective C.2, and that's revise the POST Administrative Manual to make the format more user-friendly for online access.

This item has been completed. Staff has completed all of the updates. They have also converted the 9000

series regulations into the 1000 series, 1950 and 1960.

And as you may remember, those particular regulations relate to selection of peace officers and public-safety dispatchers. So those are the regulatory standards that are required for both classifications.

So, again, staff is recommending that these items be -- or this item be deleted as completed.

Additionally, an attachment that is part of that agenda item provides all of the information as it relates to the current status of each of the Strategic Plan objectives and what staff has done since the last meeting.

Would you like a report on any of the specific items?

(No response)

MR. DEAL: Moving on, there was an inquiry that was made as it relates to the number of entities that are leaving the POST program. And I'll spend just a little bit of time on that.

Items 3 and 4, those were economic decisions on the part of the law-enforcement agencies that have dispatchers. They have decided to consolidate and go with either the sheriff's department or, in another instance, to contract with another police department for both the Grass Valley Public Safety Dispatch Center and

the Pacifica Police Department Public Safety Dispatch Center.

Millbrae has, for economic reasons, dissolved. And their law-enforcement services are now being provided by the San Mateo County Sheriff's Department.

Items 6 and 7 give you the impression that these agencies are dissolving. They are not. In each instance, they are removing themselves from the POST program. Effective January 1st, they formulated a joint powers agency where the town of Corte Madera, the City of Larkspur, and the City of San Anselmo have agreed to consolidate under the "Central Marin Police Authority."

And at the June Commission meeting, they will be seeking to be reinstated and recognized as that authority.

One of the issues for us, that we'll talk about a little bit later, is how they come back into the program as it relates to being recognized as a joint powers entity that provides law-enforcement services. So I will talk about that when we get to that particular agenda item.

Most of you are familiar with the Isleton Police

Department and its, what I would call spotty record of

performance in satisfying the POST regulations and

requirements. They have disbanded their department, and

are now having their law-enforcement services provided by 1 the Sacramento County Sheriff's Department. 2 3 So it doesn't appear as negative as one would first think as you look at all of those entities. But I'm sure 4 5 that economics is clearly a part of why some of the consolidations has occurred for some of the agencies. 6 7 There was interest in some discussion as it relates 8 to B.9, and that is a report of Computer Services Bureau 9 projects and strategies. 10 And I would ask our newest bureau chief -- new since 11 the last meeting -- Colin O'Keefe, to step forward and 12 make a report on that particular item. 13 MR. STRESAK: Before Colin begins, just a brief 14 comment on that. 15 Colin, as Alan mentioned, is newly appointed. And when I met with Colin to discuss his plans, his vision, 16 17 we felt that -- both of us felt it important -- we were 18 in agreement -- that some strategic plan should be 19 prepared for the technology, the Computer Services 20 program of POST for long-term strategy. 21 So this report is rather unusual because Computer 22 Services normally does not report, but this is kind of a 23 summation of where we are and where we need to go. 24 Thank you, Colin. 25 MR. O'KEEFE: Thank you.

Good afternoon. I'm Colin O'Keefe with Computer Services Bureau. I'm replacing Mitch Coughlin who retired after about 30 years of state service.

This is an informational agenda item outlining high-level goals for technology use over the two to three years at POST. I've put three goals into the agenda item. And I'd be happy to talk about any in detail. But I will just go over each one briefly.

The first goal for computer and technology use at POST that we'll be concentrating on is to improve information distribution, performance evaluation, and reporting and analysis. And we'd like to do that by taking better advantage of some of the new mobile technologies available that have become ubiquitous to the general public -- things like tablets and smart phones -- and build systems around those particular items.

We also would like to deploy applications based on Website technology more quickly. And we think using these low-cost tools, we can provide better service to the field. And in a lot of ways, things like tablets and smart phones are becoming interchangeable with textbooks in a learning environment. So we're going to concentrate on using some of those newer tools to deliver learning materials in electronic format, and providing quicker updates at lower cost.

One example is possibly the learning-domain workbooks. We're working with Basic Training Bureau to provide a prototype of a specific learning-domain workbook. And we're going to work with the various LECs in that bureau to see if it's a possible route to merge away from print-on-demand technologies and delivering reams of paper to each student, and see if that could possibly be replaced by some tablet or other e-textbook technology.

The second high-level goal is to address technology-related security concerns, both inside and outside POST. And, of course, the biggest item that I reported on before is the TMAS system. TMAS is functionally obsolete and also has security issues. So we have a large-scale project going on right now to replace TMAS.

And the second item dealing with security concerns is to respond by biennial risk assessment that we just recently completed. And that's a confidential report that brought to light a few items that we need to work on inside with our workflow processes, both electronic and paper-based, to tighten up security.

The third high-level goal in the agenda item is to improve access and interoperability of all POST Web-based resources. And what I mean by that is to bring together

1 some of these various products that we have delivered 2 over the past few years. We have several Web-based applications, the Learning Portal, EDI, Electronic Data 3 Interchange. We have an online course catalog. We have 5 the POST Web site. We have a perishable skills dashboard. All of those things have grown organically 6 7 over time. And we want to consolidate those into a more 8 cohesive unit and allow easier access, easier searching 9 for those functions and provide a single identifier for 10 every member of the field who wants to access those 11 resources. So over the next year or 18 months, we're going to 12 13 be working on consolidating those items and making them work more seamlessly together and provide easier access 14 15 to POST electronic resources. That's a very high-level summary of the agenda item. 16 17 I'd be happy to take any questions. 18 (No response) 19 MR. O'KEEFE: Thank you. 20 MR. DEAL: Thank you, Colin. 21 The next item on the consent calendar where there 22 was interest is B.14. That's a report on Strategic Plan 23 Objective B.12, which is: Study the feasibility of 24 establishing instructor training requirements for public 25 safety dispatcher instructors for the public safety

dispatcher basic course.

And for that particular item, I'll ask Anne Brewer to come forward and make a report.

MS. BREWER: Good afternoon, Chair, Members of the Advisory Committee. Anne Brewer with Training Program Services.

And I'm going to go ahead and give you a report on the Strategic Plan Objective B.12.08, that directs POST staff to study the feasibility of establishing instructor training requirements for public safety dispatch instructors for the public safety dispatcher basic course.

POST has worked to develop training and selection standards to enhance the professionalism of public safety dispatchers, or PSDs, for more than 25 years, since it established the 80-hour public safety dispatcher course in 1985.

In recent years, POST has expanded its commitment to meeting the needs of public safety dispatchers and raising the bar through creation of the PSD Advisory Committee, addition of a PSDAC representative to the Instructor Standards Advisory Council, and the addition to the PDSAC representative to the Commission Advisory Committee.

Each of these actions has supported POST's mission

to enhance the professionalism of law enforcement serving its communities.

As awareness increases with the PSD profession, so do efforts to enhance the standards and quality of instruction for students in the PSD basic course.

Over the past three years, POST, in conjunction with its PSD stakeholders and advisory groups, has focused on expanding in-service training and development opportunities for public safety dispatchers.

Staff developed an AICC, an academy instructor certification course, for PSD instructors who teach in their basic course. This course is presented approximately three times per year throughout the state on a voluntary attendance basis, and consistently receives favorable feedback.

Stakeholders and PSDs have approached staff and requested consideration for implementing an instructor standard for PSDs who teach in their basic course, comparable to the current POST requirement for instructors of the regular basic course.

In response to such inquiries, staff began a preliminary survey of PSD basic course directors and coordinators, and has the following information to present:

In a survey of 25 presenters of the POST basic

public safety dispatcher course, 17 presenters were in favor of establishing an instructor standard, something similar to the AICC prerequisite; seven are not in favor; and two are at this point undecided.

Nine of the presenters already meet this instructor standard. Ten of them said that at least half or more of their instructors meet this standard. And seven presenters have less than half, all the way to zero, who would meet this standard.

I'm going to provide some of the reasons for the opposition and support of this instructor standards.

So those opposed say that they are opposed because it's difficult to keep up with the mandates and tracking of the recertifications due to staffing shortages and forced overtime already in communication centers; and that the requirement would limit their instructor pool.

For those who are in favor, some of their reasons are that they've already seen an improvement in instruction for those who have completed the course, their goal is to provide the best instruction possible, and good instructors have the tools to be effective in the classroom.

Evaluations of instructors who have been through an AICC or similar course are vastly better than those who have not had any instructor training.

This type of standard adds to the profession, with 1 2 professionalism and professional standards. 3 Their job is hard enough to learn; but this gives students an even advantage and consistency in learning --4 5 for example, the facilitator learning versus lecture-based teaching -- and opens the minds of 6 7 instructors to alternative methods of teaching. 8 Dispatch supervisors from 11 different agencies were 9 contacted and in complete support of an instructor 10 standard; one agency, in particular, who said that they 11 are sending all of their communication training officers 12 through the class. 13 And reviews of training records in our electronic data interchange system show that a total of 239 14 15 dispatchers have successfully completed an AICC course since 2009. The attendance steadily rises over the 16 17 years. 18 Staff will continue to study this feasibility to 19 determine the full impact of this requirement on the PSD 20 profession and the affected agencies. 21 MR. DEAL: Any questions? 22 (No response) 23 MS. BREWER: Questions? 24 MR. DEAL: Thank you, Anne. 25 Thanks, Anne. CHAIR CASAS:

1 MR. DEAL: The last item where there was interest in 2 a report is B.19. That's a report on the status of the 3 model respiratory program for law enforcement. And, again, I'll ask Anne Brewer to step forward. 4 5 MS. BREWER: This one will be a short one, I 6 promise. 7 The informational report on the status of efforts to 8 update the 2004 POST model respiratory protection program 9 for law enforcement. In 2004, POST released the 2004 10 POST model respiratory protection program for law 11 enforcement to eight agencies that issue respiratory equipment to officers in establishing guidelines to 12 13 satisfy Title 8, section 5144 of the California Code of Regulations. 14 15 This section regulates respiratory equipment and provides for agency requirements, including written 16 17 policies, medical surveys, fit testing, inspection, 18 maintenance, and training. 19 Section 5199 was adopted and describes the protection of law enforcement employees from aerosol 20 21 transmittable diseases. As our publication currently reads: Sections 5144 22 23 and 5199 must be modified to identify and clarify 24 required information that will satisfy Cal-OSHA 25 regulations.

Staff have been working with Cal-OSHA and other stakeholders, and anticipate completion of the updated guidelines for review at the June 2013 Commission meeting.

CHAIR CASAS: Anne, is this something that once it gets all put together, said and done, that this is something that will reach out to all the agencies, giving them some clarity as to what's expected, and to meet whatever mandates we need to meet? Because there seems to be a lot of -- there has been some confusion on this, to the point where we've actually asked OSHA to step in and give us a clear idea as to what exactly they need us to do to meet compliance.

MS. BREWER: Yes, it's my understanding, evidently, when we did the initial publication, that Cal-OSHA did sign off on what we created.

Since that time, they have looked at our guidelines. We were not allowed to put out a video, a training video, that we had originally intended to do because they were not in complete agreement.

At this point, they've reached out and are not satisfied with the guidelines, do not feel that they meet the regulations according to the sections I mentioned.

And so we're working with them on that to update them and include the information that's going to be required. And

1	then, again, at that point, with all our publications,
2	they will be electronically uploaded and available for
3	all agencies throughout the state.
4	CHAIR CASAS: Do you think we'll be allowed time to
5	catch up and get compliant? Because I would venture to
6	say that this affects a lot of agencies, northern,
7	central, and southern, as far as making sure they're in
8	compliance.
9	Will there be like a sunset clause or some kind of
10	time frame we have to do that?
11	MS. BREWER: I don't know the answer to your
12	question, but I'll be certainly happy to make sure that
13	the consultant that is working on this program or on
14	these guidelines, that that request is pushed forward to
15	OSHA Cal-OSHA.
16	CHAIR CASAS: Thank you.
17	MS. BREWER: You're welcome.
18	MR. DEAL: Are there any other items on the consent
19	calendar that the Committee would like a report or a
20	discussion?
21	(No response)
22	MR. DEAL: You will notice that there will be two
23	resolutions tomorrow at the Commission meeting. One is
24	for the recently retired Executive Director, Paul
25	Cappitelli; and for about-to-retire Bureau Chief Mike

1	Hooper of our Center For Leadership Development.
2	There was a Finance Committee meeting this morning,
3	and a number of items were discussed at that meeting.
4	Was there any interest in any additional information
5	out of the Finance Committee report?
6	(No response)
7	MR. DEAL: Item D, I'll call for a staff report.
8	This is a Report on Proposed Changes to the Training
9	and Testing Specifications for Peace Officer Basic
10	Courses. And of note to everyone, there are two motions
11	associated with this particular item.
12	The first item addresses the issue that is routinely
13	seen twice a year as it relates to revisions of the
14	training and testing specifications in the basic courses.
15	And the second item speaks specifically to the issue
16	of the impact of LD 19, which is Vehicle Operations, on
17	the academies that present EVOC training.
18	I'd ask Frank Decker to make a report.
19	MR. DECKER: Good afternoon, Mr. Chair, Members of
20	the Committee. I'm Frank Decker from the Basic Training
21	Bureau.
22	As Alan said, this agenda item is a report on
23	proposed trainings to the training and testing
24	specifications for Peace Officer Basic Courses.
25	Staff conducts an ongoing review of the content of

basic courses in conjunction with subject-matter experts and academy directors and coordinators. Changes are made to reflect emerging training needs, comply with legislative mandates, respond to changes in the law, or to improve student learning and evaluation.

The proposed revisions are vetted through our

The proposed revisions are vetted through our stakeholders and presented to the Commission for approval. Upon approval by the Commission and the Office of Administrative Law, the changes are posted twice a year.

This report covers changes in six learning domains.

Changes for most of the domains are relatively minor.

In Learning Domain 25, Domestic Violence, the reference to a Penal Code section was changed to correspond to the renumbering of weapons laws.

Learning Domain 34, First-Aid and CPR, was updated to follow American Heart Association guidelines.

In Learning Domain 35, Firearms and Chemical Agents, Vehicle Code section 280, the definition of "hours of darkness" was added to specify that low-light, nighttime firearms exercise tests must be conducted during the hours of darkness.

However, there are major revisions to LD 19, Vehicle Operations. The changes to LD 19 are the result of the implementation of the results of the pilot study of

enhanced driver training in the regular basic course.

As of the October 2008 meeting, the Commission received the Driver Training Study, Volume 1, and approved implementation of the recommendations in the report. One of the recommendations was to enhance driver training curriculum and the regular basic course. The Commission directed the implementation of an academy-based program, which would incorporate four components to Learning Domain 19: Law-enforcement driving simulators, a speed component, night driving, and the use of interference vehicles.

The applicability of these recommendations to Peace
Officer Basic training was determined through the use of
a pilot project at selected academies.

Staff and subject-matter experts developed new curriculum and determined that a minimum of 40 hours of instruction were needed to address the new topics added as part of the LD 19 pilot and to teach the existing content.

Eight academies agreed to participate in the pilot, which was completed, and the results validated in 2012. Reports on the development of the new curriculum and the progress of the pilot have been made to every meeting at the Basic Course Consortium and the Commission since the project began.

These reports include feedback from some pilot academies about challenges in accommodating the changes to driver training, such as locating a facility for night driving and a location for the new minimum speed requirements of 65 miles per hour.

On September 12th, 2012, the results of the study were presented to the Basic Course Consortium. Several pilot-study participants discussed the challenges they faced in presenting the new content. However, they were all able to secure new or additional locations to conduct the training.

All the pilot-study participants said they saw a marked improvement in the quality of student performance at the end of training.

During the presentation, there were positive comments made about the proposed changes. The changes were supported by the directors and coordinators, although some of the non-pilot academies may have to find new training areas to meet the night-driving and speed-component requirements.

The proposed revision to LD 19 recommends an increase in the minimum instructional hours from 24 to 40. Commission procedure D.1 specifies that the total minimum hourly requirement for the regular basic course is 664 hours. Therefore, any change in a component of

the regular basic course leads to corresponding changes in other parts of the course to stay within the 664-hour limit.

Academies are required to deliver the minimum hours of instruction specified for each learning domain, yet they are also permitted to exceed these minimum standards where local conditions may justify additional training requirements. All academies currently exceed the minimum hourly requirement for the regular basic course.

In order to accommodate the increase in hours for LD 19, staff has made minor changes in four areas: Elimination of six hours for Physical Skills pilot testing; reduction of Examination Results Review from 15 to 13 hours; reduction of LD 18, Investigative Report Writing, from 52 to 48 hours; reduction of LD 32, Lifetime Physical Fitness, from 44 to 40 hours.

The redistribution of hours was done with the consideration of having minimal impact on the instructional hours at this time because of a larger revision planned for 2014 due to a proposed change in academy test administration, which will make additional hours available for training.

The hours that were reassigned to LD 19 have been previously added to affected areas in 2005, when the implementation of the four-year project to integrate

leadership, ethics, and community policing throughout the regular basic course caused a surplus of instructional hours that needed to be redistributed.

Six hours were set aside for possible use to administer POST-developed physical skills tests for research purposes, and will now be used for the increase in LD 19 training hours.

Feedback from the academies indicates that the current amount of time allotted to Examination Results Review is more than sufficient.

A review of academy hourly distributions for the regular basic course determined that most, if not all, the presenters exceed the minimum hourly requirement in the affected learning domains.

Additionally, the reduction in hours for the Report-Writing will be partially offset by a change in the test requirements from two tests to one. Therefore, a reduction of four hours in the minimum requirement for each of these LDs should not have an impact on training programs.

The proposed changes were presented to the academy directors and coordinators at the January 2013 meeting at the consortium, and received without objection.

With the implementation of the new statewide minimum standard, staff anticipates that some of the academies

1 that did not participate in the pilot may have similar 2 facility issues that the pilot agencies encountered. 3 Staff proposes a waiver process to allow academies on a case-by-case basis up to an additional six months 4 5 after the proposed date to implement the new LD-19 standards. Staff recommends that the revisions to the 6 7 training's testing specifications be amended pursuant to 8 the rulemaking process and the Office of Administrative 9 Law. 10 If no one requests a public hearing, the amendments 11 would become effective August 1, 2013. 12 Staff also recommends that the waiver process be 13 approved to allow academies, once again, on a case-by-case basis up to an additional six months after 14 15 the proposed date to implement the new standards. I'll be happy to answer any questions. 16 17 (No response) 18 MR. DEAL: Thank you, Frank. 19 The next item is Item F, as far as an indication of 20 interest, report on development of a process to update 21 the Commission's Strategic Plan. 22 I would ask Mike Hooper to discuss the status and 23 the work that has been undertaken so far as it relates to 24 that item. 25 MR. STRESAK: Let me, if I may, just preface Mike's

presentation.

We've had dialogue that our Strategic Plan in the past is merely a list of projects. And not only is it just a list of projects, it's a list of projects that really minimize ownership to the plan, so that each entity responsible for completion of one aspect of that project list completes that, and then we move on.

Mike has embarked on an effort, commendably, for quite a while now to really look at the development of a true Strategic Plan, to answer the questions: What does POST need to look like three to five years from now? What roads do we need to carve out? What roads are not mapped, do we need to highlight, so that we have more a solidified direction and purpose?

So Mike's report be will on this issue.

MR. HOOPER: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman and Committee Members.

We had, as you know, a Future Vision Team at POST.

And we kind of got looking at this because of the nexus between the Future Vision Team and its environmental scan in our upcoming Strategic Plan update. There, we were concerned about how we were going to integrate the findings of the Future Vision Team's report, a prevailing trends report, with the strategic planning process.

So in furtherance of that, we contacted a number of

people who had outstanding reputations in the area of strategic plan development.

I contacted someone from an entity in the Bay Area called MIG, another local entity called LRI -- I forgot what those initials stand for. And the fellow who wrote the book that we use in the community college, we contacted him as well. And lastly, most recently, we spoke with somebody from Cal State Sacramento, to get an idea of how they might approach a strategic planning process.

So all these entities have established exemplary plans. And in interviewing them, we came up with what we thought to be an outstanding process, a progression of steps. And those steps are identified in the agenda item report.

And in this order, we would probably embark in those steps, clarify our POST formal and informal organizational mandates, identify POST distinctive competencies, and then assess those capabilities relative to the organization's critical success factors. Critical success factors are those things that we would expect an organization to be able to do really well, deliver training, et cetera.

And then we want to progress to determine the needs of stakeholders, identify the strategic issues

challenging POST. Review the environmental scan that's been developed by the Future Vision Team at POST. Take a look, again, at our current articulation of vision, values, and mission. Then develop goals, objectives, strategies, responsive to stakeholder input. Determine performance measures for assessing outcomes. And then create an implementation process, a Strategic Plan implementation process.

And finally, come up with a system for monitoring progress toward achieving the Strategic Plan's objectives.

And we certainly envision roles for the Advisory

Committee and the Commission as we embark in the process.

In terms of the dollar amount, we couldn't necessarily solicit exact amounts from people, but we have, from the people we interviewed, we got some rough ballparks. We think our figure is possibly a little bit on the high side, but we wanted to make sure that we don't have to come back to you again.

But we checked with the Los Rios Community College District, and their plan was about a hundred thousand dollars. The San Diego Housing Commission was in the process of creating a strategic plan, about a hundred thousand.

We suspect that we might be performing more

1	interviews than those entities did; and, therefore, we've
2	pushed ours up a little bit more.
3	But I think the next step and correct me if I'm
4	wrong is to possibly go out to bid, possibly the CMAS
5	route, and a request for offer.
6	And that kind of concludes the update.
7	MR. DEAL: Yes, obviously, the purpose of this would
8	be to seek the funding to be able to support the effort
9	to bring in an expert to help us work through a process.
10	Clearly, the Commission and the Advisory Committee
11	will play a significant role.
12	If you notice, the breakdown on attachment the
13	attachment of that particular agenda item, it does
14	reflect the involvement and participation of the
15	Commission and the Advisory Committee, since we honestly
16	believe that there ought to be ownership at the highest
17	level, as well as right down to the people that will
18	implement the plan.
19	Any questions of Mike?
20	(No response)
21	MR. DEAL: Thank you, Mike.
22	The last item where there was an indication of
23	interest was Item G. And that is a report on a request
24	to amend Commission Policy A.3.
25	As many of you recall, in 2010 the Commission

1 approved increasing the Executive Director's authority as 2 it relates to entering into contracts or interagency 3 agreements up to \$50,000, and also the ability to amend contracts for specified purposes, up to \$12,500. 4 5 Our Executive Director gave a brief report about this particular item at the Finance Committee meeting. 6 7 And, Bob, did you want to add to that? 8 MR. STRESAK: I would. Thank you, Alan. 9 I'll proceed with kind of a lengthy introduction to 10 this item leading to it, putting it into context; and 11 then hopefully it will eliminate any kind of questions 12 you might have. 13 The term "critical mass" has been used as one of those initiating events to a nuclear explosion. 14 15 believe, while not that drastic, we are, as an organization, at critical mass with the issue of 16 17 contracts and our ability to deliver training to the 18 field. 19 Over the years, we have relied heavily on contracts 20 to deliver our training courses. And at any given 21 moment, we have about 104 contracts in orbit, 23 of which 22 are before you for consideration today. 23 Of these are some pretty high-liability legacy contracts, SLI being one of them, EVOC driving, ICI, in 24 25 terms of investigative support, and perishable skills

training are all topics that we deliver via contractual agreement.

Well, sometime during 2012, the Department of General Services, our control agency that reviews our contracts, had a new sheriff in town. And with the new sheriff came some new rules. And with the new rules came a higher level of scrutiny and a higher threshold of approval for our contracts.

And as you can imagine, with the quantity of contracts we have, it essentially has ground our ability to deliver courses to a halt, in some cases. Some smaller contracts have gone through, larger ones have not.

I'll stick with SLI for a second, and use that as an example, and that will lead to an explanation of this agenda item.

The SLI course is a legacy course. And you all are familiar are the reputation of the SLI course. It's been around for 20-plus years. For about the past 19 years, based on the momentum of success of the course and the momentum of the reputation of the course, the contract has generally been approved pro forma, with the exception of this year. And with the given higher intensity of scrutiny, we have been unable to execute the SLI contract to date.

The significance of that is that about six weeks ago, we were already \$300,000 in arrears with that contract, which means people are performing work and not getting paid.

When we met with the Department of General Services to discuss the criticality of this issue and asked the question, "Should we suspend this course," we were advised, "No, don't suspend the course." They believed there was a remedy at hand.

To date, there has not yet been a remedy at hand. We are now at over \$487,000 in arrears with that contract. And I, at least as the Interim Executive Director, can no longer allow this type of situation to continue. It is completely untenable. It jeopardizes our reputation to deliver training. It jeopardizes our reliability. And most importantly, people are working without pay.

Several claims have already been generated through victims compensation. We were actually advised at one point through General Services, that all employees or all our instructors, all our facilitators could resort to filing claims to get paid. I believe that's unacceptable also.

So given those set of circumstances, I will recommend to the Commission tomorrow that it would be my

1 position to suspend the SLI delivery until further 2 notice, until we can execute a contract. 3 That also means the ripple effect through other contracts. Other contracts are also on the bubble with 4 5 the same, similar issue. And until we can find a remedy, the situation is just mere fact. 6 7 The question begs, what have we done as an 8 organization to resolve this to this point? We've 9 pursued exploring some legislative remedies. We're not 10 sure that's totally viable. But a legislative remedy would involve exempting us from some of the requirements. 11 And let me explain the threshold for the contracts. 12 13 One of the higher thresholds is that in the 14 contracts that we negotiate, we have to prove that our 15 contract is not denying state employees employment. So we are not displacing any state employees. 16 17 The irony of that is that it's difficult to draw 18 upon state union employees that have experience in EVOC, 19 firearms, supervisory leadership, executive management, et cetera, et cetera. You understand the picture. 20 21 So it's been an uphill battle. 22 So one of the legislative remedies would be to try 23 to exempt us from those requirements. 24 We've also begun to look at perhaps an economic 25 argument with the Department of Finance, so that we can

establish that paying an instructor perhaps \$35 to \$90 an hour is much cheaper than hiring a state employee with a benefit package, should we be able to find one of comparable skills.

This item here before you, as another part of the remedy, is to authorize the Executive Director to execute contracts up to a higher limit. And I'll explain to the Commission tomorrow that I intentionally left that open because I did not want to take any liberties with the Commission as the Interim Executive Director in terms of addressing that issue. But I will make a recommendation to increase those amounts.

That would be a benefit in terms of contracting or compressing some of our time-line and our ability to move contracts forward.

We're also looking at grant options, so the

Commission has the authority to award grants, to issue

grants. And while we've never done that in the past,

that is in enabling legislation, and we're going to

explore to see whether we can cut our eyeteeth on perhaps

the administration of some grants. That could, in turn,

eliminate the cyclic renewal of grants as you see today,

with the renewal of contracts as you see today. And by

Department of General Services' admission, they have no

interest in reviewing grants. It also could free us in

other ways to devote or divert resources to other aspects and perhaps put a different classification of state workers into grant management and grant auditing.

An example I gave is perhaps -- the SLI contract is a \$2 million contract. Perhaps we could award a \$10 million grant, \$2 million of which is allocated every year for five years, and which we'd eliminate the cyclic renewal for a five-year period of that grant alone. So it does have some benefits in reducing workload to the organization. So that's one other option.

And I mentioned, we didn't meet with the Department of General Services. We've met with the Governor's office and expressed our concerns there. I briefed Cal Sheriffs and Cal Chiefs on this issue, and advised both of them that pending commission action, the suspension of SLI and other contracts delivering training will be suspended.

And then lastly, of course, this has exposed our own vulnerability as an organization that we rely on one vehicle in which to deliver training. And the rules change suddenly, and all of a sudden, we're this vulnerable. So it's forced us to look internally. And as I've already alluded to and made reference to, we're looking at many different options. We've kind of focused the sunlight through the magnifying glass as much as

```
1
     possible on this issue. And so we will continue to
2
     evaluate our own internal processes and procedures to see
3
     if there's a better way.
          Any questions?
4
5
           (No response)
          MR. DEAL: Thank you, Bob.
6
7
          That completes the items of interest that were
8
     flagged by members of the Committee or were identified as
9
     being essential to have a report by staff.
10
          I'd ask the chair if any of these items -- Item D
11
     through Item I -- if the Committee would like to take any
     position on these, and forward that to the Commission?
12
          CHAIR CASAS: Could we handle this as -- do we have
13
     to go through one at a time, if we did? Is that the
14
15
     procedure?
          I think it would be best at this point, noticing
16
17
     that there weren't any questions about any of these items
18
     as Alan went on, to simply entertain a motion to...
19
          MR. STRESAK: To accept the agenda's --
20
          CHAIR CASAS: Yes, accept the staff recommendations
21
     referenced, passage of these --
22
          MR. DEAL: Items D through I.
23
          CHAIR CASAS: -- Items D through I.
24
          MEMBER MUELLER: So moved.
25
          CHAIR CASAS: So moved by Mr. Mitchell Mueller.
```

```
1
          Second?
2
          MEMBER YOUNG: Second.
3
          CHAIR CASAS: Mr. Young.
          MS. BOUVIA: Who seconded?
4
5
          CHAIR CASAS: Mr. Young.
          All in favor, signify by saying "aye."
6
7
           (A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)
8
          CHAIR CASAS: Opposed?
9
           (No response)
10
          CHAIR CASAS: Abstentions?
11
           (No response)
12
          CHAIR CASAS: Okay, thank you.
13
          MR. DEAL: At this point, Mr. Chair, we have two
     presentations by our Learning Technology Resource Bureau.
14
15
     They need a little bit of time to set up and be prepared.
     I would suggest maybe a break.
16
17
          CHAIR CASAS: I think a break is a good idea.
18
          We will go ahead and take ten minutes and then
19
     reconvene.
20
           (Meeting break taken from 1:54 p.m. to 2:16 p.m.)
21
          CHAIR CASAS: Okay, we're going to go ahead and get
22
     started again. And I'm going to hand it right over to
23
     the lovely Jan to do the presentation.
24
          MS. BULLARD: Good afternoon. Thank you,
25
     Mr. Chairman, Members of the Committee, members of the
```

audience. I'm Jan Bullard with Learning Technology
Resources Bureau, and I am very pleased to show you today
our new training video format.

Now, POST has done training videos for more than 20 years. We originally used to broadcast them live via satellite and then distribute them through DVD. And we have always required some type of facilitation to occur at the agency level in order to qualify for CPT credit.

Unfortunately, our direction at how that facilitation was supposed to take place and some of our instructor support material sometimes was a little lacking.

Our new format is truly a facilitation support tool. It is designed that it can be taught in small segments, such as in briefings over a long period of time; it can be done all at one sitting; or you could take it and you can incorporate it into a larger course for more in-depth knowledge on the topic.

It will meet and accommodate the learning level of the student, and it also accommodates the skill level of the facilitator.

And we like to think that we've made it pretty intuitive, which means we kind of handhold all the way through, step by step, the facilitator through the preparation and the presentation process. So we're not

going to require anybody to go out and get any more additional training in order to pick these videos up and use them at any time they would like to.

So I'm going to use our first one that we have created in this format, which was social media for law enforcement. That was a topic that came out as number one on our survey of topics from the field on what they wanted us to start training on.

And when I get the package as an instructor, I can tell you the hand-holding starts really early in the program: Here it is. Take your disc, put it in your computer. This is what you're going to see. This is what the screen will look like. Here's where you need to click. Here's where you need to go to find the instructional video that's going to give you more information and more instructions on how to prepare to teach this course.

So it really gets you set up and ready to go when you first open this up.

So I'm going to launch my video; and after you see the obligatory FBI warning that we put on all of our videos, you're going to also get to see our new opening animation -- it's what is our splash, and then it will give you about a 12-second introduction to the topic.

Cue: splash.

```
1
                (A video presentation began to play)
2
          MS. BULLARD: I love that.
3
                     MALE ANNOUNCER: What people don't
                understand about social media --
4
5
          MS. BULLARD: I love it.
           Any questions?
6
7
           (Applause)
8
                (The video continued to play:
9
                     MALE ANNOUNCER: -- is that it's
10
                just another avenue of communication.
11
                     FIRST WOMAN: It's about getting the
12
                word out to promote a department or an
13
                agency. It's about using it during the
14
                course of many, if not all investigations.
15
                And it's also very critical for officers
                and deputies to understand that they need
16
17
                to protect themselves.
18
                     SECOND WOMAN: Certain networks,
19
                certain types of social media may come and
20
                go; but social media overall isn't going
21
                anywhere.)
22
          MS. BULLARD: And here I am, right where my nifty
23
     card told me I would be on this screen.
24
           And you'll notice that this is a two-track system.
25
      I can go into a facilitated group track, and you'll
```

1 notice that I have, yes, an informational individual 2 viewing track. 3 By popular demand, we have come up with a process where an agency can allow individual students to view the 4 videos without facilitation and still qualify for CPT 5 credit. And I will come back and cover that in just a 6 7 moment. 8 So I'm preparing to teach; and, of course, I want to 9 go into the facilitated group right there. I want to go 10 into "facilitated group." 11 And my mouse is working. And I see here all my segments that I'm going to 12 13 eventually have to teach. And here is everything along here that I'm going to need to prepare, including that 14 15 wonderful course instructional video that my card told me I was going to be able to find. And it's just a 16 17 couple of seconds that explains everything that I'm 18 required to do. 19 (The video continued to play as follows: 20

(The video continued to play as follows:

MALE ANNOUNCER: This course is

designed to be facilitated in a group

setting.

21

22

23

24

25

Before conducting a group training session, you should review and become familiar with the material in this

1 program. 2 Open and print the facilitator guide 3 and go through the material yourself before putting the course on for others. 4 5 As the facilitator, your job is to engage your students in a constructive, 6 7 free-flowing discussion on the key 8 learning points on this training topic, 9 stimulate critical thinking and 10 situational awareness in the group by 11 viewing the scenarios, expert interviews, and discussion videos. 12 13 Questions and activities are provided 14 to promote group interaction and 15 discussion on the training topic. Customize the learning experience by 16 17 applying your agency policy and procedures 18 to training concepts. 19 Segments in this program accommodate 20 shorter training periods, such as during 21 roll-call training sessions. 22 Track your students' progress through 23 the entire program. Once the students 24 have successfully completed the program, 25 submit your training roster to POST for

CPT credit.

Following this plan will help to create an environment for the debate of ideas, sharing of wisdom based on experience, and encourage a greater understanding of local policies and procedures.

We wish you and your agency all the best in successfully implementing this program.)

MS. BULLARD: So now I've got all that behind me, and there is my facilitator guide, and I can download that.

In your handout, you have a copy of the facilitator guide that we provide to our instructors. And I think you're going to find it's pretty impressive. It doesn't leave anything out.

We talk about everything having to do with how this training works, how it's supposed to work, how to navigate, what the tabs are, when you click on things what's going to happen.

Then we go into the material that you're going to have to know in order to teach this effectively. We have a detailed description of all the scenarios. We break down what are the key learning objectives of each of

1 those scenarios. In other words, what do I have to bring 2 out as a facilitator from the group in order for them to 3 have mastered that particular segment. We cover every one 4 of those segments. And then if you flip over to page 6, you'll see 5 something that's called, "Expanded content guide." 6 7 If time permits or if you wanted to incorporate this 8 into a bigger course and go more in depth with the topic, 9 this is more information that you can use. It has 10 additional questions to discuss. 11 It also has some really cool student exercises. So if I, as a facilitator, chose to not ask a discussion 12 13 question, but I wanted to pick one of these great student exercises and put that in instead, I can do that. 14 15 This allows the facilitator to customize the course to the needs of each of their classes that they teach. 16 17 So this thing is pretty remarkable. Have a chance to 18 take a look at it. 19 So let's go into one of my segments here. And "Introduction," of course, is just an 20 21 introduction of what that impact in applications is. 22 And right here, now I see I have my scenario. 23 I'm just going to play a tiny snippet of it so you can get a flavor of what our scenarios are. 24 25 So now I'm going to set up and play my scenario for

		•
1	my class.	
2		(The video played as follows:
3		NICOLE: Hey, so what did you do last
4		night?
5		MARIO: Not much. Me and a couple of
6		guys went down to old town and had some
7		dinner. That was it.
8		NICOLE: Oh, really? I was actually
9		down there a week ago. Saw a lot of
10		graffiti. I actually put one of the
11		tagger's name in, Googled it, and I got
12		some hits on Facebook and Twitter.
13		MARIO: Really? You were able to
14		just punch in a tagger's name into Google
15		and you get hits on Facebook and Twitter?
16		NICOLE: Yeah, I even found some
17		photos and videos of him actually tagging
18		one of the walls, you know, near the
19		library.
20		MARIO: He actually posted pictures
21		of himself tagging online?
22		NICOLE: Yeah.
23		MARIO: Really?
24		NICOLE: Yeah. I printed them out.
25		I wrote my report and gave them to the

r	
1	detectives, and they hooked him a couple
2	of days ago.
3	MARIO: Oh, wow. That's good work.
4	SERGEANT: Hey, good morning, guys.
5	Got a few things to cover to today.
6	Hey, before I do, does anybody have
7	anything?
8	MARIO: Hey, Sarge. I had a
9	question. Do you know if the department
10	offers training on social media?
11	SERGEANT: Social media?
12	MARIO: Yes, Nicole was just telling
13	me, she basically put in a tagger's name
14	into Google and she got hits back on
15	Facebook and Twitter. Long story short,
16	they were able to arrest the guy based on
17	that information.
18	SERGEANT: Tweeter, really? You know
19	what, Mario? Leave tweeting for the
20	birds. We're cops. Let's concentrate on
21	real police work.
22	Hey, I'm going to need)
23	MS. BULLARD: Okay, that never happens.
24	The one thing that's kind of cool about our
25	scenarios, if you remember them from the longer version,

our news documentary style, they were very, very long scenarios. And they always had to end, because we had to show what you were supposed to do.

These are open-ended scenarios because we don't have to end them. The discussion and the teaching points and the interaction with the students is going to end them, hopefully as they're supposed to be ended, in the instructor guide.

And some of them can be very short, and some of them actually could show something wrong.

I know, POST putting up a scenario where something could be wrong. But it is all to stimulate that discussion and make those teaching points.

So I have shown my scenario. And this goes on. It involves a commander, and we have some other things happen in it. And then when my scenario is completed, I click here, and lo and behold, cue question: There's my first discussion question. It's given to me.

So I can go around the class, we can talk about it, we can apply it, where is our department policy on this, what would you do, have you ever used it in this kind of way, do you know of anyone that has?

If we're clicking along and the group is really getting it and they're giving me everything I need, and I feel like I've covered all my training points and I'm

1 happy, we just move on to the next question, and we're 2 good to go. 3 But let's say you got a group and it's kind of like pulling teeth, and they're not coming out with it, and 4 5 I'm thinking, "Wow, did I cover that? I'm not really sure I covered that," or they're having a disagreement 6 7 about it, guess what? I can roll the backup, and say, 8 "Well, let's see, what do the experts think about this?" 9 (The video played as follows: 10 FIRST MAN: Well, the generation's 11 coming together. I think it's very 12 typical of those of us that have been in 13 law enforcement for a number of years to 14 not readily accept criticism or training, 15 per se, from a less tenured officer. And I think it's important to realize that 16 17 there is a great untapped resource, 18 especially when it comes to social media. 19 SECOND MAN: We have to adapt...) 20 MS. BULLARD: Okay, so once again, now I can run 21 with the pace of the students. If they're getting it, we 22 keep going. If they're not getting it and they need a 23 little bit more, I've got backup. I've got other people 24 that I can throw into the mix. 25 When that's all completed, we move on to our next

1 batch of -- our next -- what are they called? 2 I'm okay. Anyway, the next topics, and we're good 3 to go. So let's say that as an instructor, I'm a little bit 5 tenuous about actually facilitating. I don't have a lot of experience with it or I'm not comfortable with the 6 7 topic, even though I've read all this wonderful stuff 8 that's supposed to give me everything I need. 9 Well, we put a model facilitation in every one of 10 these topics, so that I can prepare by actually watching 11 somebody ask these questions and somebody talking to a 12 group. 13 (The video played as follows: 14 FIRST MAN: What just took place in 15 this scenario that we watched? Did you 16 see any issues with the generational 17 divide between maybe the captain and the 18 young deputy? 19 What kind of issue did you see? 20 SECOND MAN: Well, I think that 21 because the older generation isn't 22 necessarily familiar with social media as 23 a whole, they're more reluctant to embrace 24 it or feel that it's relevant at all. I 25 think in that scenario, they kind of just

dismissed it as something casual for kids 1 2 and not anything that could have real-life 3 applications or law-enforcement 4 applications. 5 FIRST MAN: Okay, good point. Do 6 you...) 7 MS. BULLARD: So I can see some really good 8 facilitation skills modeled for me. And if I really 9 don't want to get up there and do it, but I really want 10 them to have the training, I could actually play that 11 discussion in the group and use that as my springboard. 12 In other words, we'll play them having their 13 discussion, and then I'm going to say, "Well, what did you think about some of the comments? Did you agree with 14 15 that, or is that what we would do in our agency? Does our policy reflect what those officers are saying, and 16 how is it different?" 17 18 So I can use that as my springboard, until I'm 19 really comfortable and I don't need it, but I can still 20 use it for preparation. 21 So we've got this set up so that it will meet every 22 possible contingency for the instructor, and every level 23 of their skill and every learning level of their students, and we're really, really pleased with it. 24 25 So let's go back to our facilitated informational

1 individual. 2 So if I got this handed to me -- which that always 3 happens, and I take a look at this as an individual, I can explain our process on how we have set it up so that 4 an individual view can get CPT credit. But Mark Bailey 5 does it so much better, that I'm going to let him tell 6 7 you. 8 (The video played as follows: 9 MR. BAILEY: This course is designed 10 to be facilitated in a group setting. 11 However, when that is not possible, here are some instructions for getting the most 12 13 out of this training program so that you may receive Continuing Professional 14 15 Training or CPT credit. 16 Let your supervisor know that you will 17 be viewing the program. This will give 18 them some time to review the course 19 themselves and be prepared to discuss it 20 with you later on. 21 Open and print the student workbook, 22 so you can take notes and fill out 23 responses to the questions and activities. 24 Think about how the training concepts 25 presented apply to your agency policy and

1 procedures. 2 You may view the scenarios, expert 3 interviews, and discussion videos several times to assist you in providing responses. 4 5 Keep track of your progress as you complete segments on the course. When you 6 7 have finished the entire program, inform 8 your supervisor that you would like to 9 review the course with them. Send them 10 your completed student workbook prior to 11 meeting so that they have a chance to 12 review your responses. 13 Your supervisor may require additional 14 work or feedback from you before giving CPT 15 credit. It is up to their discretion to determine whether you have adequately 16 17 completed the course. 18 Following these instructions will 19 give you the best opportunity not only to 20 receive CPT credit, but to grasp the 21 training concepts, benefit from the 22 experience of others, and apply the training and experience to your local 23 24 policy and procedures. 25 We wish you and your agency all the

best in successfully completing this 1 2 course.) 3 MS. BULLARD: So then I have my student workbook. 4 I can take it, print it out. I do not have, if I'm doing the individual track, 5 the ability to go in and pick out what I want to see. 6 7 What we actually do, is we, I would say, make them, but 8 we allow them to see all of those segments. So they will 9 watch the scenarios. And then they watch the discussion, 10 and then they get the question, and then they will watch 11 the subject-matter expert. So they don't get to pick and choose and pull stuff 12 13 out of it. They actually will go all the way through. 14 Now, are we going to actually mandate agencies have 15 to get written work turned in to them and correct it? 16 No, we're not. 17 We are suggesting it as the optimum for them to not 18 only say whether the officer watched the video, but 19 what's more important to us, did they learn it? Did they 20 show that they've got a proficiency in the material that 21 was in the video? 22 So an agency may choose to ask to see the officer's 23 written work. And, of course, if somebody says, "Hey, I don't have time to watch all these videos that all these 24 25 guys are watching so that I can correct their homework,"

well, we decided to make it really easy for you.

If you don't want to watch all the videos in order to correct your officer's turned-in paperwork, you could actually just download the instructor manual. It gives you all the information you would need without seeing that. And guess what? The very last page, we even give you all the answers that your students should be writing on their homework, or on their written assignment.

So if you just wanted to sit down and put their work next to that, we gave it to you. We handed it to you on a silver platter. Although we would really encourage and hope that you're going to have an open discussion with somebody on a topic that you would have the interest to go in and actually complete that yourself.

If an agency chooses not to avail themselves of the written work concept, again, what we want is when I have somebody sign the name of an officer on a roster, they are attesting that the officer completed and learned and showed proficiency in that topic. And that can be done by an asynchronous discussion with them over the phone, one-to-one; or if you wanted to set up and do an e-mail with them, as long as when you do sign that name and the individual gets CPT credit, there has been some validation that something did occur that could be hopefully learning.

So we have outlined everything that I've talked about in a bulletin that is also in your handout. It's 2012-009. And it talks about all the new changes, it talks about what the process would be for agencies to allow individual CPT credit. I think we got all of our bases covered.

We have put these out in the hands of some selected master instructors. In fact, our discussion supervisors that are up there are mostly master instructors or IDI graduates. They spend two to three days with the subject-matter experts so that they absorb all the information and they know what all the teaching points are that they are supposed to bring out.

The students in our briefings are volunteer officers. It's unscripted. They sit down and they watch the scenarios for the very first time. The cameras go on and the discussion starts.

So everything that they're saying there is what they're really feeling, what's really happening in a real-life training discussion over these scenarios. So it's very realistic.

So what we have out there right now is Social Media. We've just released Ethical Decision-Making. We did PTSD/TBI to meet legislative mandate. And over the course of the next three to four months, we will be

releasing Everyday Leadership; Crowd Management -- and that will reflect the new guidelines that were established at the last crowd-control seminar -- Mental Health Update, which I'm going to watch several times; and Stress Management, which I think is going to be mandated viewing for all POST employees.

So what our future plans are is, we're going to put together a small snippet of curriculum that we would like to insert into the supervisory course, so that every new sergeant walks out of that 80-hour course knowing what resources and training are available to them on the Learning Portal, and knows all about these really cool things that they can use for some very, very good training.

Last, but not least, if we have an agency that says, "Hey, we're not even going to participate in this Portal or this thing on this grading and checking," anybody that would sit down and watch this video is still going to get some very, very good, very, very remarkable training, whether they get CPT credit for it or not.

So that's my story, and I'm sticking to it.

And I will answer any questions that you have or any comments that you have. And thank you so much for sitting through our presentation.

CHAIR CASAS: The floor recognizes Mr. Beitey.

MEMBER BEITEY: Jan, how many total CPT hours could 1 2 you achieve from --3 MS. BULLARD: Two hours. MEMBER BEITEY: Two hours? 4 5 MS. BULLARD: Yes. And we set that up because two hours has always been what the video length has been 6 7 If it takes them longer to do it because they do 8 it over a longer period of time, they're still spacing it 9 out. But two hours CPT credit is what's allocated for 10 all of our training videos. MEMBER BEITEY: And did I hear you say that you 11 could get partial credit? 12 13 MS. BULLARD: No, you can't get partial credit. You 14 have to complete all of those segments. And when all of 15 those segments are completed -- now, it can be done over a period of time. So if I do it in briefing and I do a 16 17 segment every week and it takes me 12 weeks to finish it, 18 when all those segments are complete, I have completed 19 that training course; and then I can put all of my 20 officers in that completed it for two hours of CPT 21 credit. 22 But you can't go in and watch part of it and get 23 partial credit. 24 MEMBER BEITEY: All or nothing? 25 MS. BULLARD: It's all or nothing.

1	MEMBER BEITEY: And how frequently do you anticipate
2	releasing
3	MS. BULLARD: We are going to be doing approximately
4	eight a month eight a year.
5	Eight a month? We've got a high goal.
6	Eight a year. We're doing about eight a year.
7	MEMBER BEITEY: Okay, thank you.
8	MEMBER FLANNAGAN: Jan, so we're going to take the
9	supervisory approval as verification that the training
10	was completed then?
11	MS. BULLARD: If a supervisor is conducting the
12	briefing, they're the facilitator, they're the
13	instructor, and they would sign as the instructor.
14	If an agency has a situation or if it's their policy
15	that the supervisor conducts the training and takes it to
16	the training manager and says, "Here, I conducted the
17	training," and the training manager wants that, that's an
18	internal policy.
19	All that we ask is whoever signs that, if you read
20	the little fine print on those rosters, it's saying,
21	"Hey, I'm attesting that this happened and people did
22	what they were supposed to do, and they learned it."
23	Yes, sir?
24	MEMBER BEITEY: So do you plan on amending the
25	current curriculum in the current supervisory course to

allow for this to be --

MS. BULLARD: We are in negotiations with CLD whether we can get a very small segment in there without changing hours. We definitely are not trying to, you know, cause any havoc.

But it is such a tremendous -- one of the biggest things that our advisory -- we have an advisory committee for the Portal and for the training videos. And they said, "You guys are phenomenal. Nobody knows you're out there." And we still have to step up our efforts to train our newest trainers, who are our supervisors, that all of this is available to you, if you'll just go to it. And it's free.

So, yes, we would like to get the word out, even if, let's say, they say, "Oh, we can't work in even a minute for you," guess what? We'll come up with some kind of a really wingding package or something that they can get handed because we can still get that information out.

MR. STRESAK: George, I think this is an excellent strategy in terms of integrating these components, much like down the road, I'd like to see perhaps some foundational issues from SLI integrated into other courses, perhaps at the basic course.

So tying these more together and minimizing bureau lines, I think is a good thing.

```
1
          MEMBER BEITEY: I think it would also behoove you to
2
     consider putting this into somewhere in AICC or at least
3
     getting them trained on it, because as an overall
     instructor, it would make them much more effective.
4
5
          MR. STRESAK: Good thoughts.
          CHAIR CASAS: Mr. Lindstrom?
6
7
          MEMBER LINDSTROM: Yes. Jan, I'd like to commend
8
     you specifically for the enthusiasm that you have and the
9
     passion that you have for making this presentation. And
10
     I commend POST itself for involvement in this because
     this looks very cutting edge for what we're trying to
11
     deliver out in the field. And I see nothing but positive
12
13
     outcomes.
          So thank you for that outstanding presentation.
14
15
          MS. BULLARD: Thank you, sir.
16
          CHAIR CASAS: Good job, Jan. Excellent work.
17
          MS. BULLARD: Thank you.
          MR. STRESAK: Thank you, Jan.
18
19
          Question --
20
           (Applause)
21
          MS. BULLARD: You have the Social Media one in your
22
     handout. And we can get you copies.
23
          MR. STRESAK: Hey, Jan?
24
          MS. BULLARD: Yes, sir?
25
          MR. STRESAK: Could you give the audience a sense of
```

1 the time it takes to develop one of these and perhaps the 2 cost? 3 MS. BULLARD: It is \$116,927, roughly that. And it takes somewhere around four or five months, 4 5 sometimes a little bit longer, depending upon the schedule. 6 7 We have three meetings with the subject-matter 8 experts. And I won't go into a lot of detail because we 9 use subject-matter experts through the development of all 10 of this content. And we have a tremendous project 11 manager, some of you may know, Vicki Dellone, who is working with us and deserves a lot of credit on this 12 13 project. And we bring them together, we develop the curriculum, we develop the scenarios; then we have to 14 15 have three days to shoot all the scenarios, and then there's three days to shoot the experts. And so it takes 16 17 about five to six months and about \$116,000. 18 MR. STRESAK: And how would you describe our return 19 on investment in terms of the flexibility to avail 20 training whenever you want, when you want, to minimize 21 cost? 22 MS. BULLARD: To quote a remarkable credit-card 23 company: "Priceless." Priceless. You can pick this up, 24 you can do it anytime you want. 25 And, now, with the individual, there isn't an excuse

1	not to pick it up and use it anytime you want.
2	MR. STRESAK: Thank you, Jan.
3	Great job.
4	MS. BULLARD: Thank you.
5	MR. DEAL: Mr. Chair, we have one other
6	presentation. This one is by Senior Instructional
7	Designer Rayanne Rogers. It's on the introduction of the
8	new Dispatch Center on the Learning Portal.
9	MS. ROGERS: Good afternoon.
10	Am I all set? Thanks.
11	Good afternoon, Chairman, and Committee and
12	audience. I'm Rayanne Rogers, senior instructional
13	designer in Learning Technology Resources Bureau as well.
14	And I am excited today to show you a new item
15	we've project that we're working on for dispatchers.
16	And it's an online community within our Learning Portal.
17	We'll bring this up here. I've got my clicker.
18	Good.
19	So the Dispatch Center came to fruition after
20	talking to the field and interviewing dispatchers. And
21	we've also worked closely with the advisory council,
22	getting ideas and figuring out what might be a good way
23	to build a community among dispatchers across California.
24	And so this is a first for POST and the Learning
25	Portal. So we're excited to use the dispatch audience to

see if this is a good -- sort of to tie into Jan's -- social media tool for dispatch, and then law enforcement.

So it's behind the Learning Portal secure environment, and it's only for dispatchers who have the rank of dispatch. So once they log into the Portal, they can go -- they'll have this in the Learning Portal as an item, and they can go in and check it out. So it just automatically comes up.

If you don't have dispatch in your rank and you get in there, you won't see this at all. So it's sort of an enclosed environment for that group.

So we have presented this around, and we're getting really good feedback on the use of it.

And I'm going to show you some screens on what it's going to look like. And basically, the intent is that will provide dispatchers an opportunity to build a community, offer support to each other in the communities that they serve by having discussions, sharing items.

And we've also heard even other groups, training managers, and maybe even chiefs that are interested in some kind of idea that as soon as we talk about our Learning Portal Advisory Committee, too, said, "Hey, this could be great for some other groups as well." So we'll be dabbling in there as well.

But we're going to start with the dispatch and get

them into it first.

So it is currently live, but we do have a new update that's coming. And it's going to look like this. And we're in the process of building this out through launch. We'll do a hard launch in the spring.

Right now, it's just sort of -- it's live, people can get in there. There are active information, discussions. Shared files are being put up by the community.

So soon, when we launch, we'll have this new look and interface, which is pretty exciting. We've been putting that out to the field as well, and they're pretty excited about it.

So I'm just going to show you a couple of items that are in here. And we'll just quickly go through.

There's an "Announcements" area, so something general, if somebody from POST or outside, to say, "Hey, check this out, there's a new discussion" or that "We have polls" or "There's new items." This will just be a quick announcement there. And that will actually rotate and kind of keep an exciting area there.

We also have an area called, "Beyond the Call."

That's going to honor dispatchers who have been awarded and recognized in the field. There's also a small area, too, for Lou Madeira, knowing that he was a dedicated and

loyal POST dispatcher advocate. So there's a piece honoring him as well.

This middle section is kind of where a lot of action will happen. This will be showing some recent items that are going on. So these are all real.

There was somebody who asked about scheduling software. And so there was a conversation that went back and forth on that: "What do you use?" "Oh, we use this, we use that." So it's a neat discussion when people can see or participate in.

And then there's also some shared files that have been going on, too. We've been getting some current ones -- let me see what I've got here -- yeah, there was a current one that was up, was, "Excited, delirium, handling stress. This National PSAP survey of 2012."

So there's some documents that are information that might be good for the whole dispatch community, not just one agency, so they can all share amongst each other.

And this area is the calendar, as you can see. This will show online -- I'm sorry, classroom training and events and conferences that might be coming up, too. So that will just give everybody a big view of what is going on.

At the bottom there, is the advisory council members' map, so it will have a -- that was what the

1 council, the Dispatch Advisory Council, suggested we had 2 a map out there, so they could -- people in their area 3 would know who they are, how to get in touch with them through POST. So it will have their e-mail address and 5 their name and their region. And there's also a list of members of everybody who 6 7 is in here, too, in case you wanted to contact someone 8 individually. 9 And then finally, there is a poll area to get your 10 thoughts. This one is just about holidays and maybe a 11 funny call that might have come in, so you can mark which 12 one is common for you. 13 And then we've also gotten some information from the 14 discussions on new polls, such as electronic equipment 15 usage in the comm centers: Is it allowed? What's allowed? Favorite ways to be recognized and awarded. 16 17 Ways dispatchers deal with stress. 18 So we've got several other ideas for polls that come 19 up, too, just so we can see what the field is doing and 20 they can see what the rest of their agencies are doing 21 across the state, too. 22 So, like I mentioned, in the spring we'll be 23 launching this with this new look and design. 24 And that is about it on that part. 25 But I do have -- and I will ask for questions at the

```
1
     end here -- we do have a commercial that we've put
2
      together to help promote this.
3
           So give me a second here while I pull that up.
4
           Here we go.
5
                (The video played as follows:
                     ANNOUNCER: Are you trying to
6
7
                connect?
8
                     Are you looking for someone to share
9
                with?
10
                     Want to reach out to people who are
11
                like you and understand?
12
                     Then you've come to the right place.
13
                The California POST Dispatch Center is a
14
                place to connect with your peers. The
15
                Dispatch Center provides a calendar of
16
                classes and information to improve your
17
                skills, share your stories and
18
                experiences, seek resources, and support
19
                each other and the communities you serve.
20
                     The Dispatch Center is the place to
21
                be.
22
                     Find it on the California POST
23
                Learning Portal and make that connection.)
           MS. ROGERS: Okay, so we did shoot that at Carlsbad
24
25
          And just before I was leaving last night, I got a
```

```
1
     great e-mail from the comm. center manager there, and
2
     said, "I love it. It makes me want to get in there and
3
     connect."
4
          So that's really cool. So they were excited to see.
5
     They got a little preview. And this is a preview for you
6
     guys, too.
7
          So when we launch this out, this commercial will go
8
     along to everybody who is in the portal, and they'll
9
     hopefully be like, "Oh, what is that?" and want to get in
10
     there and check it out and start participating.
11
          That's all I've got.
12
          Questions? Comments?
13
          MR. DEAL: Thank you, Rayanne.
14
          MS. ROGERS: Thank you.
15
           (Applause)
16
          MS. ROGERS: Thank you.
17
          MR. STRESAK: Great job, Rayanne.
18
          Did I see a button on there that dealt with "butt
19
     calls"?
20
          MS. ROGERS: I tried to skip that one, yes.
21
          MR. STRESAK: Is that like pocket dialing?
22
          MS. ROGERS: Yes.
23
          CHAIR CASAS: Pocket dialing?
24
          MR. STRESAK: I never thought about that as an
25
     issue, but that...
```

MS. ROGERS: Yes. That's a real question from 1 2 somebody and how do you deal with them, pocket dialing. 3 Yes, so that was the "butt" calls. MS. BULLARD: We do screen all the material posted. 4 5 MS. ROGERS: That's right. Thank you. 6 7 CHAIR CASAS: Thank you, Rayanne. 8 Okay, at this point, we just need some lights, 9 please. 10 Since we're done with the presentation, we'll raise the house lights. And we'll move right into the Report 11 on the nominations for the POST Excellence in Training 12 13 Awards. 14 And yesterday evening, all the members of the 15 Advisory Committee got together with Mr. Alan Deal and staff to go over the nominations that we had for the 16 17 awards. And everybody was very diligent and good about 18 selecting the winners and runners-up that I have in front of me that I'll be going over in a second here. 19 20 But I'd really like to thank those individuals that 21 were not able to make it to the meeting yesterday that 22 still submitted their comments on the applications that 23 they were assigned to review. And they did submit their opinions and ideas as to who they felt deserved the award 24 25 as well. And that really helped the process a lot

because we had everybody's input.

So thank you for that.

Okay, I'm going to start off with the first category, which is *Individual* for the award. And the person selected as the winner for the *Individual* category was Officer Todd Maxson from the Highway Patrol -- the California Highway Patrol.

Officer Maxson, as far as innovation is concerned, the people that selected him felt that he was the -- he is the primary instructor of Law-Enforcement Active Shooter Emergency Response Program for the CHP, called "LASER." And based on his work on the LASER program, Officer Maxson was able to guide the implementation of the program throughout the state of California.

He was instrumental in all of the train-the-trainer courses. He directed the instruction of more stringent protocols than were required in LASER scenarios.

Obviously, he was very passionate about this program and did a great job of taking it from one level, to a much higher level.

The impact of his work makes the reaching out to over 300 officers from the L.A. agency region. And based on Officer Maxson's efforts, the LASER training is now being offered to local and state agencies on a monthly basis.

He is responsible for writing grants obtained by the CHP in order to keep the course cost down and purchase equipment to operate the training program.

And the training course that Officer Maxson put together involves very dynamic tactics and scenario training in saving officers' lives, which is obviously one of the more important outcomes that we like in this type of training.

Recognition: Officer Maxson had been recognized for the instruction and skills at the CHP. He continues to actively manage the LASER course statewide, and has established a strong working relationship with CalEMA and Louisiana State University, where the original, similar course was started. But, obviously, Officer Maxson and his team took it to a much higher level.

He has become a strong resource and asset to the LASER program. $\label{eq:LASER} % \begin{subarray}{ll} \end{subarray} % \begin{subarray}{ll} \$

So you can see that it wasn't a hard choice to select this individual to receive the individual award; and that's who we're going to recommend to the Commission be accepted as the primary winner of the individual category.

The runner-up was selected as Sergeant Michael

Rodriguez of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department,

Homicide Bureau. And basically, the comments here were

that he has been a homicide instructor/coordinator for the L.A. Homicide School for the past two years. And in that time, he has taught over 200 students in the field of specialized homicide investigations.

He has also taught numerous students from 30 different law-enforcement agencies, so the impact does exist there as far as him reaching out.

The courses that Sergeant Rodriguez has taught have been recognized across the state. He seeks out the most knowledgeable persons to teach the courses with him. And he was an excellent pick for the runner-up.

Now, we move to the *Lifetime Achievement Award*. And the winner that was recommended by the group was Mr. John Pokorny, also known as "JP."

He is a retired sergeant from the San Diego
Sheriff's Department. He is a 30-year veteran of the
training officer and coordinator in the San Diego County
Sheriff's Department. He was the chief chemical agent
instructor and chief less-lethal instructor.

If I'm not mistaken, when I first became a chemical agent instructor myself, I think I went to his class.

There was also a team taught with another commander from the sheriff's, so I remember him very well. He was very passionate about teaching this course. He served on the POST Firearms and POST Chemical Agent committees when

they were convened, and has 20 years on the Sheriff's SWAT team, which led him to emphasize effective tactics that worked in the real world, as he always put it.

He has trained over 2,000 law-enforcement personnel in the use of chemical agents. The tactics developed and taught by JP are utilized by law-enforcement officers and tactical teams throughout California. JP has trained over a thousand deputies and police officers in the use of less-lethal weapons, which is inclusive of PepperBall, bean bags, and TASERs.

He has also served as one of the primary instructors at the Sheriff's SWAT Academy, which was attended by over 750 students from local, state, federal agencies and members of the U.S. Armed Forces.

He is recognized by the board of directors of the California Association of Tactical Officers, CATO. He has received a lifetime achievement award from the CATO organization for his service and dedication to the tactical community for the past 30 years.

And JP's contribution to tactical training has sufficient impact on the Sheriff's office, which his contributions have been described as more than any other deputy in the history of the department.

So, again, a very good pick by the members of the Advisory Committee, and that is who we will recommend to

the Commission to receive the Lifetime Achievement Award.

The runner-up for that same award was selected as

John Sanford, recruit training officer of the Los Angeles

County Sheriff's Department.

Very briefly, Deputy Sanford's duties included teaching at the academy Learning Domains: Physical Fitness, Defensive Tactics, Scenario-Based Learning, and Report-Writing Activities.

Deputy Sanford has also been a recruit training officer for 17 years, and has instructed over 40 recruit classes. He successfully produced the Los Angeles Sheriff's Department force-option chart, which is a visual aid to assist department members in easily identifying appropriate-force option based -- options based on a suspect's actions. So it's more responsive in that way.

And my understanding is that chart is being widely used, not only by the Sheriff's Department, but by other agencies as well.

He has developed outlines and curriculum for the most daunting and time-consuming subjects. And his outlines and curriculum have been utilized by training staff for the past several years. And he has been noted as being in exceptional physical condition, thereby being a role model of lifetime fitness for the police recruits

he has trained.

So an excellent pick for the runner-up.

Moving into the *Organizational* category, the

Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Parks Bureau was
selected as the winner. The LASO has been patrolling
177 parks, county parks throughout the L.A. County area
since 2009. And based on recent National Center for
Missing and Exploited Children statistics, 25 percent of
all stranger abductions occur in a park or wooded area.

Based on these statistics, the LASO developed a new training program called "Rad Kids." The training program provides hands-on activity-based physical skills-type training to the Sheriff's Department, sworn personnel, Park Bureau personnel, Parks and Recreation staff, and the general public, specifically targeting the parents and children.

And I've got to tell you, after reading the nomination on this one, it was extremely rare to read a nomination where it has that kind of impact, that all stakeholders are dealt with in this program.

The program is the first of its kind conducted within the L.A. County area in order to combat crimes against children. The Rad Kids program was implemented May $1^{\rm st}$ of 2012. And to date, 21 Rad Kids classes have been completed. The Rad Kids program has provided

instruction and information to over 276 children and parents.

To date, all the L.A. County Parks and Recreation park staff, L.A. County lifeguards and L.A. County Sheriff's Department personnel have completed the sexual predator training offered through the Rad Kids program.

The Rad Kids program has been well received by the L.A. County Board of Supervisors, Parks Bureau personnel, and residents of the L.A. County area, and have received rave reviews. And due to its popularity of the Rad Kids program, several other surrounding cities and county parks and recreation agencies have requested information about this program so they can develop their own similar programs.

So a very big effect.

Recently, they were recognized -- the Rad Kids program, that is -- by the L.A. County Parks Symposium, which was attended by national park organizations and parks and recreation organizations.

Since the start of the Rad Kids program, park attendance has increased and patrons have acknowledged that they feel safer in the parks. They are very thankful for the free child-safety programs and child-identification kits and pamphlets they have been offered through the Rad Kids program.

So you can see how important and how it's reached everybody it's needed to.

So that being said, the Parks Bureau of the Los Angeles Sheriff's Department was selected as the winner of the Organizational award.

The runner-up was the Highway Patrol Advanced
Officer Training Program. The CHP Advanced Officer
Training Program unit developed a program to provide
life-saving tactics referred to as the "Advanced Officer
Safety Training," AOST.

After reviewing a similar program at the LSU, the AOT unit decided to develop a new active-shooter course called "Law Enforcement Active Shooter Emergency Response," LASER, course by way of the grant written by a member of the AOT unit.

CHP was able to augment the purchase of new equipment in order to offset costs of additional equipment. And due to the success of the LASER program, the CHP has successfully trained not only their own personnel, but over 300 officers from various agencies.

And if you haven't picked up on it by now, this is the same course that Officer Maxson was a part of and very instrumental in developing. So they go hand in hand.

They were selected as the runner-up for the

Organizational award.

Last, but not least, is the O.J. "Bud" Hawkins Exceptional Service Award.

And I think everybody would agree that the individual selected for this award is more than well-deserving. Mr. Lou Madeira, special consultant to the Commission on POST, was selected for this award. He is nominated by Sheriff Geoff Dean from the Ventura County Sheriff's office, Communications Manager Danita L. Crombach on behalf of POST Public Safety Dispatcher Advisory Committee.

Lou has a tremendous background. And if you don't know Lou, you're going to feel you knew Lou after I go over some of the attributes that he -- or some of the things that he's actually reached out and touched over the years that he's been with POST.

Active support of increased professionalism in public safety communication, which included recognizing the need for more public safety dispatch related training. He was one of those individuals that realized that and helped bring it to pass.

Developing workshops for dispatchers. He was very involved in that, to determine training needs and developing such training. He developed a complete course package to assist dispatchers in developing their own

training and making the material available on the POST Learning Portal for ready access.

He is also responsible for updating dispatcher job specifications, the basic dispatch academy curriculum and the communications training officer program. Provided a continuous professional training, CPT, training for over 600 dispatchers.

Encouraging and facilitating the creation of dispatch supervisors course. Developing and presenting dispatcher focused Instructor Development Institute, the IDI, and Academy Instructor Certification Course, the AICC compatible courses.

I personally attended the IDI course recently,

Level 2, with Lou. And I've got to tell you, it is one

of the best courses I have ever attended. And Lou played

a major part in making this happen.

He developed forty hours of training course to provide law-enforcement chaplains the tools needed to support law-enforcement personnel during times of crisis.

Lou was most noted for his passion for training, and he was a tireless mentor to students. He cared about making each instructor -- making each instructor, he mentioned the best that they could be. And Lou truly believed in that. I mean, even after the courses took place, Lou made himself available to anybody who wanted

to talk to him about whatever the course was offering, or if there was any help that they could use, Lou was always stepping up to help them out and mentor them.

He was well-known for being one of the most organized persons in his approach to everything that he did in life. He had tireless energy and was continually in the pursuit of excellence.

When Lou ran a workshop, at the end of the day he released the working group and went to his room, where he would spend hours developing and editing the written work in order to have it ready for the participants to continue their efforts the following day.

Lou was passionate about his work at POST. His entire career was directed toward improving training and education. He was energetic, inquisitive, and resourceful when developing and piloting training. He explored new approaches to classroom training, and encouraged students to try new ideas.

And case in point here would be the legacy evidence and contributions for the nomination.

Justification is what I just gave you.

Lou's law enforcement career spanned over 40 years.

He began as a volunteer with the Alameda County Sheriff's

Department in 1972. He joined the Cal State University

Hayward Police Department and served as a patrolman,

sergeant, and lieutenant.

In 1976, he became a police officer with the San Leandro Police Department and became a sergeant in 1981. He served as the agency's training manager and as president of the California Association of Police Training Officers, CAPTO, the northern division.

In 1987, Lou joined the POST family as a lawenforcement consultant. His work at POST included such
notable accomplishments as development of a training
manager's guide to assist local agency training managers,
completion of the master's instructors development
course, the first POST consultant to do so. Coordinator
of the basic academy while assigned to Basic Training
Bureau. Completion of the bachelor's and master's degree
in emergency services administration.

He volunteered with the Red Cross to assist in the disaster following Hurricane Katrina. And he led the POST effort to identify, secure, and develop training in response to the terrorist attacks of September 11th, 2001, which included leading the process to recognize, interdict, and respond to terrorist events, identify federally funded Homeland Security programs and bringing them to California to train law-enforcement personnel; facilitating the development of numerous terrorism-related training courses; instructing in many

1	of the courses himself, and bringing vendors of safety
2	equipment together to law-enforcement agencies to
3	identify equipment needs, and feel confident of the
4	quality of the items offered.
5	Lou reenergized people, igniting their desire to be
6	better and to do better. That was one of the legacies
7	that he left behind, is that he wanted everybody to do
8	the best they could.
9	He was a gentleman, compassionate, professional. He
10	was a long learner and a student of life.
11	While we're all saddened by Lou's passing, his
12	legacy will live on at POST among the many
13	law-enforcement consulting groups he worked with
14	tirelessly to assist.
15	So I think you would all agree with me after hearing
16	those kind of accolades, that this person was more than
17	well-deserving of this award; and, therefore, our
18	recommendation would be for Lou to get the O.J. "Bud"
19	Hawkins Exceptional Service Award.
20	And that will conclude my report on the awards.
21	Any questions?
22	(No response)
23	CHAIR CASAS: Okay, in order to formalize the awards
24	that we are forwarding to the Commission, I'd like to
25	entertain a motion to accept all of the award recipients,

```
1
     both the primary award recipients and runner-ups, so that
2
     we could officially move this to the Commission.
3
          MEMBER FLANNAGAN: I'll make the motion that we make
     the recommendation to the Commission.
4
5
          CHAIR CASAS: Okay, motion made by Mr. Joe
6
     Flannagan.
7
           Seconded by ...?
8
          VICE CHAIR BOCK: Bock.
9
          CHAIR CASAS: Mr. Jim Bock.
10
          All those in favor, signify by saying "aye."
11
           (A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)
12
           CHAIR CASAS: Opposed?
13
           (No response)
           CHAIR CASAS: Abstain?
14
15
           (No response)
           CHAIR CASAS: Thank you. The motion passes.
16
17
           Okay, the next area, I would like to call for a
18
     report -- I'm sorry, yes, member reports.
19
           So we're going to do roundtable here.
20
           I'll start off with the member report representing
21
     the CCLEA, California Coalition of Law Enforcement
22
     Associations. At this point, I've received none from my
23
     committee, so I have nothing to report.
24
          VICE CHAIR BOCK: No report.
25
          MEMBER BANNING: From the public seat, Elmo Banning,
```

1 no report. 2 MEMBER BERNARD: No report. 3 MEMBER BEITEY: From the Public Safety Advisory Committee that I'm on, there was discussion at our last 4 meeting from the State Chancellor's office regarding 5 asynchronous in-service training within law enforcement. 6 7 And the discussion centered around whether or not it 8 was applicable for officers to be using asynchronous 9 training. There was no decision made, but the discussion 10 came up. And Dick Reed was present at that. 11 We're going to be continuing that discussion at the 12 next meeting. 13 That's all I have. CHAIR CASAS: Thank you. 14 15 MEMBER FLANNAGAN: A couple things from PORAC. First of all, in two weeks, the executive board will 16 17 be traveling to Washington, D.C., primarily to lobby 18 Congress for money for the Byrne JAG grants, COPS grants, 19 VAWA grants, to continue those fundings. Also, we will have a pretty positive, and I'm 20 assuming we'll have several discussions regarding all the 21 assault-weapon issues going on, related to the federal 22 23 proposals for assault-weapon bans and restrictions and 24 stuff like that. 25 Another issue that PORAC is heavily involved with

1 and in support of, is the national effort for the 2 Under 100 campaign out at the national memorial, to try 3 and reduce law enforcement deaths to under a hundred in a 4 year's span. 5 I believe this year, in May, we're going to be enshrining, I think, 124 names on the National Wall in 6 7 Washington. So there is a national campaign, and PORAC 8 is a part of that, to try and reduce that down to 100. 9 And then lastly, PORAC is -- through its two L.A. 10 chapters -- is sponsoring Concerns of Police Survivors. 11 Those that are NASCAR fans, the California 500 is coming in a month in Fontana on Sunday, the 24th of March. They 12 13 are hosting what's called "First Responder Appreciation Day" for police officers and firefighters and their 14 15 families and stuff like that. So we're going to sponsor COPS to be out there to spread their goodwill and what 16 17 they do for the families of slain law-enforcement 18 officers. 19 That's it. 20 CHAIR CASAS: Thank you, Joe. 21 MEMBER LINDSTROM: Richard Lindstrom, representing 22 CADA. 23 About a month ago, after the consortium meeting, myself and three other academy directors engaged in a 24 25 conference call with Interim Director Stresak regarding

some issues that we felt at the academy were of concerns 1 to us. And it was a very positive outcome of the 2 direction that we'll be heading in that. 3 And there have been several recent hires at POST, 4 5 and one of the them happens to be our brand-new territory for senior consultant. And that hire is Maria Sandoval, 6 7 and she is a keeper. We had the opportunity to be introduced by Ron Wood, 8 9 our current consultant. And she is top-shelf as far as 10 we're concerned in our introduction to her. And thank 11 you for assigning her to our area. 12 And that's it. 13 MR. STRESAK: Appreciate your comments, Rich. And, Chief Moore, thanks for the donation, if you 14 15 will. Maria worked for Chief Moore prior to coming to 16 17 POST. 18 MEMBER MUELLER: Mitchell Mueller for CHP. 19 The report I have is, I'll be retiring May 15th, 20 after 30 years in law enforcement, the last 27 with CHP. 21 And I've worked closely with POST in numerous roles as an 22 instructor and on several committees, and most recently, 23 as the academy commander. And my hat's off for the training that you provide 24 25 and the diligence that staff has to always look outside

the box, and provide innovative ideas, very similar to the platform we saw today. So thank you.

And I certainly hope to continue my relationship, perhaps in another role, with POST.

And hats off to PORAC, in their recent shooting yesterday at Santa Cruz Police Department. PORAC has always been a sponsor of Concerns of Police Survivors.

And at your latest conference, you had a booth there, where the representatives from the COPS chapters were there. They had an opportunity to run into the association president from Santa Cruz Police Department. And within the last month, ironically enough, several of the wives contacted the COPS chapter; and the COPS chapter has been working with them for the past month on what to do in case of line-of-duty death.

And Northern California chapter president, Tami

McMillan, wishes to pass on her incredible gratitude to

PORAC for that continued support in providing a venue for

them to get the word out. And within ten minutes of the

officer-involved shooting yesterday, the wives were on

the phone with COPS representatives, and they had people

at the Police Department within an hour of the incident.

So hats off to PORAC for your continued support of that incredible program.

CHAIR CASAS: Thank you, Mitch.

1	MR. STRESAK: You know, I've enjoyed a great
2	relationship with you for the last eight or nine years, I
3	think when we began at the academy; and I've enjoyed
4	every month. I've enjoyed how you've been collaborative,
5	and how you've always stepped up to the plate, regardless
6	of the request.
7	And so I personally want to wish you the best in
8	whatever endeavor you are pursuing. I know you'll
9	succeed. I know you do a great job, and I know you'll
10	make the best out of life.
11	So good luck to you, Mitchell.
12	MEMBER MUELLER: Thank you.
13	MEMBER LINDSTROM: You know, there's still time to
14	change your mind, Mitchell.
15	MEMBER MUELLER: Certainly not for this chapter.
16	The next chapter is opening up, and I look forward to it.
17	CHAIR CASAS: I wish you the best.
18	MEMBER MUELLER: Thank you.
19	MEMBER WILLMORE: Tim Willmore, representing CAPTO.
20	Next month, we will be hosting the statewide
21	training managers' workshop in Burlingame on March 26 th ,
22	27 th , and 28 th .
23	It filled up rather quickly. We anticipate over 200
24	representatives from different agencies attending that.
25	So if you have any questions or interest in that, you can

look at our Web site, CAPTOonline.org, and it has the information on it.

The second thing is, recently, during a discussion in one of our meetings, it was brought to our attention that currently, right now, POST doesn't recognize that there was an agency, such as an academy that was interested in utilizing a member from another agency as part of their training staff. Unfortunately, right now, there is no letter of agreement or anything that would allow that agency or, let's say, the academy to utilize a member of another agency to train, unless that agency member was represented by that agency as a trainer.

Did I lose you already?

MR. DEAL: You lost me. That's something we discuss offline, too.

MEMBER WILLMORE: Yes, that probably would be better. I was just wondering if there was any issues with an affiliated member from a police agency assuming the role as a trainer for another agency? Like, if I'm representing Tulare County District Attorney's office, however, I'm not in a training capacity within Tulare County District Attorney's office.

If I was going to represent the College of Sequoias, is there any way of obtaining a letter of agreement through POST to train me in a specific topic for the

```
1
     college?
2
          So although I'm not represented by the college in
3
     that specific area...
          MR. DEAL: Yes. I'm not sure of all the facts
4
     behind what your request is, so it might be something
5
     better served offline.
6
7
          MEMBER WILLMORE: We'll do that.
8
          No other report.
9
          MEMBER YOUNG: Brad Young with CAAJE. No report at
10
     this meeting.
11
          CHAIR CASAS: Okay, thank you very much.
          Let's move on to Commissioner Comments.
12
13
          I'd like to recognize the Commissioners.
14
          First of all, thank you for being here. It means a
15
     lot to us to see you here and following along with our
     meeting. We appreciate it.
16
          So with that, I'd like to open it up to any
17
18
     comments, starting with Commissioner Sobek.
19
          COMMISSIONER SOBEK: No comments today.
20
          COMMISSIONER BUI: No comments.
21
          COMMISSIONER HUTCHENS: I'm okay.
22
          CHAIR CASAS: Wow. I don't know if that's good or
23
     bad.
24
          Thank you very much.
25
          Okay, we'll move on to old and new business, where
```

we will be bringing up the staff -- well, actually, we're 1 2 going to be getting a report from staff on the 3 composition of the Advisory Committee. And if you don't remember, this stems from the last 5 meeting that we had involving the replacement of a seat. So with that, Alan? 6 7 MR. DEAL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 8 Based on that discussion last October, staff 9 prepared a report to identify some of the things that 10 were discussed: The current composition of the Commission, and to address the specific issue of the lack 11 of representation of the Women Peace Officer Association 12 13 of California. 14 What we have learned since that time is that the 15 WPOA has ceased to exist. And if you would refer to Attachment 1, which is farther down the page in the 16 memorandum that's been prepared for the Committee, there 17 18 is a reflection that the board has retired and that there 19 is a longer discussion on their Web site that describes 20 why they believed that they have achieved their 21 objectives as to the impetus to cease to exist. 22 So at this juncture, the Advisory Committee is 23 comprised of 14 members, all of which are appointed by 24 the Commission. 25 Additionally, as you scroll through the report, you

1 can see that there were a couple of suggestions last time 2 that were offered, one of which was the previous 3 suggestion that maybe the College and University Police Chiefs Association be recognized. 4 5 Additionally, one of the other suggestions was that in the place of the WPOA, that consideration be given to 6 7 Women Leaders in Law Enforcement. That is a group that 8 puts together, in conjunction with the Chiefs' 9 Association, the Sheriffs' Association, CPOA, and the 10 California Highway Patrol, the Annual Women Leaders in 11 Law Enforcement symposia. So those are the suggestions that were discussed last time. 12 13 The direction from the chair, as you recall, was that each individual that is represented here give some 14 15 consideration and be prepared to discuss this issue. Staff developed some points that may be helpful in 16 17 facilitating the discussion. 18 Five items that are before you: 19 Is there a void in representation of law enforcement 20 stakeholders represented on the Advisory Committee? 21 Is there a category of representation that is 22 missing? 23 Are there underrepresented law-enforcement professional associations that are not affiliated with 24 25 other organizations currently represented on the

Committee?

And is there a specific law-enforcement agency that should be added to the Committee, much like in the case of the California Highway Patrol, that's a specific agency? It's the only one that is recognized.

And last, is the current number of representatives sufficiently broad enough to adequately advise the Commission on matters that come before it?

So to help set the groundwork in terms of the discussion of the Committee.

CHAIR CASAS: I'd like to open it up at this point.

Based on the findings by POST, I would like to move forward to the Commission the decision as to how to deal with this. Do we simply accept the current committee number that we have, eliminating that one position; or do we fill it?

So the floor recognizes Mr. Flannagan.

MEMBER FLANNAGAN: Well, I think we vetted this a lot during the last meeting and stuff. I think the composition of the Advisory Committee is fine the way it is. I don't think we need to go out -- using my terminology, "fishing" for an organization, or something like that. I think, you know, I'd be satisfied with leaving or making the recommendation to the Commission that it remain as it is.

We picked up communications people last year to make 1 2 sure they were represented. And at that time, that was 3 the number-one group that voiced that they weren't being represented. And we've accomplished that task. 4 5 So I would make a motion that we recommend to the Commission that we just leave it status quo of 14 at this 6 7 time. 8 MEMBER YOUNG: Second. 9 CHAIR CASAS: The most the motion has been placed on 10 the table, seconded by Mr. Young. 11 Discussion? 12 MEMBER LINDSTROM: Yes. 13 CHAIR CASAS: Mr. Lindstrom? 14 MEMBER LINDSTROM: I have some discussion. 15 You know, the initial reaction to this is I'm sure going to be negative; but I have a very unique 16 17 perspective. 18 I have the opportunity to sit on the Fresno and 19 Madera Chiefs Association meetings; and in those 20 meetings, we have -- there's federal representatives and 21 state representatives. But I think a valuable member of 22 that group happens to be parole. Now, they're not a POST 23 organization; and I'm not talking about CDCR as far as 24 the prisons are concerned. But where the rubber meets 25 the road, where law enforcement takes place, parole

1 officers cooperate and do joint projects on a daily basis 2 with all of our organizations. And I have found that the 3 information exchange in those meetings has been very unique, very informative, and a cooperative effort to do 4 police work in the best interests of both parole and the 5 law-enforcement agencies. 6 7 I don't know how that would work here, if it's 8 possible; but I'm just throwing it out there to see if 9 anybody else can see the value in something like that, 10 or if it's even feasible. Because I know they're not a POST reimbursable agency. But I just share with you the 11 positive things that I see of that relationship in our 12 13 area. 14 CHAIR CASAS: Mr. Young? 15 MEMBER YOUNG: I would just ask, have they approached POST to become part of the Advisory? 16 17 MEMBER LINDSTROM: No, not through me. That's 18 strictly --19 MEMBER YOUNG: Do you have any correspondence from 20 them? 21 MEMBER LINDSTROM: -- that's out there somewhere. 22 MR. STRESAK: Your question again, Brad? 23 MEMBER YOUNG: Has parole or probation or any 24 organizations along that line approached the Commission 25 to become a member of the Advisory or any other

1 committees? 2 MR. DEAL: No, they haven't. 3 CHAIR CASAS: I appreciate the opinion, Richard, and the comments. I just don't know if this would be the 4 5 kind of body that would encompass an idea like that. Maybe I'm just -- I'm not sure if that could even work. 6 7 Quite frankly, dovetailing off what Joe said about 8 the representation, we are very well represented here. 9 Not only just by individual groups, but by the members 10 that actually are part of our groups are vast. And as 11 you know, a good portion of every position, whether it be dispatchers or police officers, deputies, are represented 12 13 by, in one way or another, by our organization. 14 So I personally am comfortable with the Committee 15 remaining the size that it is now. And I would recommend 16 that, that we stay the way we are. 17 MEMBER LINDSTROM: Don't get me wrong, I'm 18 comfortable with that, too. I just found this to be 19 something that was very positive in our area, and thought 20 perhaps, as an Advisory Committee member, it could have 21 some value here. 22 And I don't know if POST has a comment on that or 23 not, or if it's feasible or not feasible. 24 Because coming in, I knew there was going to be a 25 negative reception for it. But I think after thinking

about it a while, and anybody that's involved on the street with parole officers in their area, know that there has to be communication to get our job done in the best interest of both of our groups or agencies.

MR. STRESAK: Everybody is looking at me, so I think I'm going to make a comment.

I think point number one is that I think you're accurate in saying that the relationship between law-enforcement agencies and parole is a significant relationship. They are two large entities, if you will.

I know in the past, we've used the analogy of teaching the elephants to dance together in terms of exchange of parole information and law-enforcement information. But I also do know that on multiple aspects within the law-enforcement community, on day-to-day operations, there's task force, there's representation at I believe Cal Sheriffs' Board of Directors and at Cal Chiefs' Board, with CDCR, to identify issues. So operationally, I think there's a sufficient interaction out in the field.

I'm not convinced that one more interface would be an added benefit. I'm not necessarily opposed to that, but I think that there's probably sufficient interaction already.

I do have a secondary concern in terms of what

1	realignment issues; and that I could see a benefit in
2	terms of realignment issues which chiefs and sheriffs are
3	facing out in the field that perhaps that could
4	facilitate, identify some training needs.
5	With that, I'll cease; but let you I think that
6	this board, it's your decision, whether you want to or
7	not. But those would be my thoughts on the issue.
8	CHAIR CASAS: Thank you, Bob.
9	And thank you, Richard, for at least bringing that
10	up and making it part of the discussion.
11	Any other discussion on that subject?
12	MEMBER BANNING: Mr. Chairman, there has not been
13	any other solicitations for groups wanting to join the
14	Advisory Committee?
15	MR. DEAL: In the past, the most recent one, where
16	there was interest expressed, was the CCUPCA, the chiefs
17	of police of the college and university organization.
18	That was probably two two, three years ago; and no
19	action was taken on that.
20	MEMBER BANNING: Okay, and according to your
21	memorandum dated on February 5 th , we just now have
22	learned that the Women's Police Officers Association has
23	ceased to exist.
24	My recommendation is that we table this issue until
25	the next commission meeting. I don't mean to kick the

1 can down the alley like, you know, government is known 2 to do; but I think this is an opportunity where we could 3 actually throw out, say, a net or even people of interest, and at least give some people that may have an 5 interest -- maybe they don't even know that a seat exists that's open, and throw it out and say, "Okay, here's the 6 7 issue. We have a seat that -- if you're interested in 8 being represented on the Advisory Committee, now is the 9 time to go ahead and make your wishes known." 10 Is there any urgency in filling the seat right now, or filling it with a group or making a decision as to 11 whether we should just leave it vacant? 12 13 CHAIR CASAS: I'll comment right off on that, Elmo, before I hand it over to Mr. Young and Joe. 14 15 But, no, there hasn't. And if I'm not mistaken, we've tabled this a couple times now. Or is this just 16 17 the first? 18 We've actually tabled this a couple times. And I'd 19 like to bring some resolve to it. 20 You know, when I spoke about a lot of the parties 21 being represented, represented by organizations here, 22 PORAC is a prime example of having a specialized representation within their organization -- the college, 23 if I'm not mistaken. 24 25 So I don't know if it really would benefit us to

1 table this again and open it up to everybody, when nobody 2 really has made inquiries about this position. And 3 they've known about it for a couple meetings now. MEMBER BANNING: Well, my comment would be, what 5 have we done? If we have a job opening, I think --6 7 MR. DEAL: Just so you understand, there is no job 8 opening. The fact that the Women Peace Officer 9 Association has ceased to exist, it now means that the 10 Advisory Committee is comprised of 14 positions that have 11 been approved or authorized by the Commission. And their 12 interest is clearly, as I sum it up at the end, looking 13 at issues that come before the Commission. They're looking at stakeholders that have some role or 14 15 affiliation with POST or the POST program. And as Mario was saying, I think it's important to 16 17 recognize that everybody in this room, on the Advisory 18 Committee, wears several different hats, and have many different constituencies, and have many different 19 20 interests that they bring to bear in reviewing and 21 assessing the kinds of things that will go before the 22 Commission the day after you meet, where the Commission 23 is looking for your guidance and input. 24 I think it's always one of those, "Be careful what 25 you ask" if you throw it open and you say, "You all

1	come."
2	MEMBER BANNING: So the Advisory Committee doesn't
3	necessarily have to be represented by 14 entities or
4	14 represented seats?
5	MR. DEAL: Correct. It's been as high as 16.
6	MEMBER BANNING: So really, the issue for us or
7	the recommendation that we need to make to the Commission
8	is, do we maintain 13, and eliminate
9	MR. DEAL: Fourteen.
10	MEMBER BANNING: Oh, I'm sorry, 14, and we're good
11	with that, and there's no other interest in expanding
12	that, and our status quo is okay? There's no reason to
13	go beyond what we're doing now.
14	Is that how I understand it?
15	CHAIR CASAS: Yes, that's my recommendation.
16	MEMBER BANNING: Oh, okay.
17	CHAIR CASAS: And I'll hand the floor over to
18	Mr. Young here.
19	MEMBER YOUNG: No, that's exactly what I was just
20	going to bring up. We're not up for solicitation, it's
21	my understanding from POST; and it's not that it's a
22	required seat or position to fill. That's my
23	understanding.
24	And the fact that we have, the term, kicked it down
25	the road, but it has been tabled I think twice, open for

1 discussion last spring, so it would be a good idea to 2 send it on to the Commission. 3 CHAIR CASAS: Okay, I'll come to you next, 4 Mr. Mueller. 5 Joe? MEMBER FLANNAGAN: Just not to beat a dead horse, 6 7 but there is no open position. 8 MEMBER BANNING: Okay. 9 MEMBER FLANNAGAN: The Commission would have to, if 10 I understand it correctly, would have to create the 11 position and, you know, stuff like that. So it's just keeping what's already there. We're 12 13 not -- there is no job vacancy or anything like that. We just happen to have an organization that has ceased to 14 15 exist, much like COPS. We didn't go out and create -- or throw out a fishing net, or whatever we want to use. 16 17 It's just, we downsized. 18 CHAIR CASAS: Thank you. 19 Mr. Mueller? 20 MEMBER MUELLER: As time progresses and the need may 21 arise, there's certainly nothing that would preclude 22 another organization from coming back to the Advisory 23 Committee or to POST, and make a recommendation that they 24 then be included; and then the discussion could then take 25 place on the validity of whether or not they should be

```
1
     included. So I agree with the motion on the table right
2
     now.
3
           CHAIR CASAS: That's correct.
          We do have a motion on the table.
4
5
          Any other discussion before we move on?
6
           (No response)
7
           CHAIR CASAS: Okay, the motion was, if I heard it
8
     right, Mr. Flannagan, was to maintain the current size of
9
     the Advisory Committee.
          MEMBER FLANNAGAN: Recommend to the Commission to
10
11
     maintain.
12
           CHAIR CASAS: To maintain?
13
          MEMBER FLANNAGAN: Yes.
14
          CHAIR CASAS: And it was seconded by Mr. Young.
15
          All in favor, signify by saying "aye."
           (A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)
16
17
           CHAIR CASAS: Opposed?
18
           (No response)
19
           CHAIR CASAS: Abstain?
20
           (No response)
21
           CHAIR CASAS: Thank you. The motion passes.
22
          Okay, thank you very much.
23
           I'm going to hand the floor over to Mr. Deal, who
     has another item to discuss.
24
25
          MR. DEAL: Two things to share with you.
```

You have in front of you the most recent LEOKA study report. It has been completed by Basic Training Bureau and the LEOKA study group. A lot of good information there. A lot of pertinent, salient information that I think you'll find very useful as you look through it in the coming days and weeks. That document, after being presented to the Commission tomorrow, will become available. It will be Internet-based, so that you'll be able to download it, just like any of our other publications.

We put it on the Learning Portal, so that it's not open for everyone to immediately access. But the data is there, and there's a lot of information.

The value for POST in studying officers that have been killed and assaulted is that we continually, with our subject-matter experts, look for opportunities where our training needs to be modified, or needs to consider things that have changed in the field as it relates to the kinds of deaths and injuries that occur involving California law-enforcement officers. So it has a significant utility.

We know that many of our stakeholder agencies use it in the same way, to look at, examine, and assess their tactics, look at some of their policy considerations. So I think you'll find this a very useful document for you.

1	CHAIR CASAS: Thank you, Mr. Deal.
2	MR. DEAL: Just a reminder. There are two things to
3	be aware of.
4	We have elections coming up that will occur at our
5	meeting in June to take effect at the October Advisory
6	Committee meeting. So you may want to be considering
7	chair and vice chair nominations for next time. And
8	we'll make sure that's in the memo when it goes out,
9	notifying you of the next meeting.
10	Additionally, because the next meeting that will
11	take place in June is also the awards ceremony, you may
12	wish to make your reservations accordingly, knowing that
13	you'll be there for the ceremony itself on the day of the
14	Commission meeting.
15	And then, of course Connie would never forgive
16	me for those of you that drove and need to have your
17	parking validated, we have stickers for you, so
18	MR. STRESAK: And the Leg. Committee will be meeting
19	where?
20	MR. DEAL: Our Leg. meeting will be tomorrow morning
21	at 8:30.
22	Connie, which room?
23	MS. PAOLI: In the boardroom.
24	MR. DEAL: In the boardroom. And that's somewhere
25	in this maze.

```
1
            CHAIR CASAS: Okay, thank you, Mr. Deal.
           At this point, obviously, the dates of our next
 2
      meeting are listed here, June 26^{\rm th} and 27^{\rm th}, up in lovely
 3
 4
      Sacramento.
 5
           And with that, I will go ahead and move forward and
      adjourn this meeting, and consider that we are now
 6
 7
      adjourned.
8
            (The gavel sounded.)
9
            (The Advisory Committee meeting concluded
10
            at 3:43 p.m.)
11
                                  <u>م</u>ه•••ه
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify:

That the foregoing proceedings were duly reported by me at the time and place herein specified; and

That the proceedings were reported by me, a duly certified shorthand reporter and a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand on March 20^{th} , 2013.

Daniel P. Feldhaus California CSR #6949 Registered Diplomate Reporter Certified Realtime Reporter