

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COMMISSION ON
PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING
FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING



TIME: 10:00 a.m.

DATE: Wednesday, June 26, 2013

PLACE: Courtyard by Marriott - Cal Expo
1782 Tribute Road
Sacramento, California 95815



REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS



Reported by:

Daniel P. Feldhaus

California Certified Shorthand Reporter #6949

Registered Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter

Daniel P. Feldhaus, C.S.R., Inc.
Certified Shorthand Reporters
8414 Yermo Way, Sacramento, California 95828
Telephone 916.682.9482 Fax 916.688.0723
FeldhausDepo@aol.com

A P P E A R A N C E S

POST COMMISSION FINANCE COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT

MICHAEL SOBEK
(Committee Chair)
Sergeant
San Leandro Police Department

LAI LAI BUI
Sergeant
Sacramento Police Department

SYLVIA MOIR
Chief
El Cerrito Police Department



POST STAFF PRESENT

BOB STRESAK
Executive Director
Executive Office

RICHARD REED
Assistant Executive Director
Executive Office
Administrative Services Division

DARLA ENGLER
Bureau Chief
Administrative Services Bureau



POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 26, 2013

I N D E X

<u>Proceedings</u>	<u>Page</u>
Call to Order and Welcome	4
1. Approval of February 27, 2013, Finance Committee Minutes	4
2. Financial Report	5
3. Report on Expenditures for FY 2012-13 . . .	9
4. Report on Proposed FY 2013-14 Budget . . .	12
5. Review of New Expenditure Items on the Regular Commission Agenda	10,13
E. Report on Request to Increase the Maximum Reimbursable Tuition for Basic Course Driver Training	10,14
G. Report on Request to Contract to Administer the Peace Officer Critical Incident Review Program	11,14
6. Old Business	14
7. New Business	19
Adjournment	27
Reporter's Certificate	28



POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 26, 2013

Wednesday, June 26, 2013, 10:00 a.m.

Sacramento, California



(Gavel was sounded.)

CHAIR SOBEK: Okay, good morning, everybody; and welcome to the Finance Committee meeting.

I'm Commissioner Mike Sobek, and I think we have Commissioner Moir. So that's it. I don't think we're going to be able to do too much of any voting today.

But our first order of business is the approval of the February 27th, 2013, Finance Committee meeting minutes.

Commissioner Moir, I don't know if you want to...

I don't think we can make any motion.

MR. STRESAK: A motion to accept?

CHAIR SOBEK: A motion to accept the minutes.

I don't know, can we make a motion to accept the minutes?

MEMBER MOIR: Would you like me to make that motion?

CHAIR SOBEK: Sure, sure. I'll second it.

MEMBER MOIR: So I'll make a motion to accept the minutes as published.

CHAIR SOBEK: And I'll second that.

And I'm sure that both of us will approve that.

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 26, 2013

1 Let's go to the financial report.

2 And I'm going to have Dick Reed talk about the
3 report.

4 MR. REED: So unanimity ought to be easy today,
5 right?

6 MEMBER MOIR: Clearly.

7 MR. REED: Okay, and just for the record, we don't
8 have to have a quorum for the Finance Committee to make
9 recommendations to the Commission.

10 CHAIR SOBEK: Sure.

11 MR. REED: Okay, moving to Item 2, the financial
12 report. There are two attachments under that tab.

13 Attachment A basically talks about our revenues
14 through April of this year. The fiscal year ends, of
15 course, June 30th, so we don't have end-of-year data for
16 us.

17 Okay, and the estimated -- the fund -- basically,
18 the POTF we are tracking at about 95 percent of what we
19 projected for this year. Since about 2007, our revenues
20 have actually fallen from about \$40 million per year,
21 down to \$30 million. And you can see that last year, we
22 totaled out at \$33 million over on the 2011-12 Penalty
23 Assessment Fund. We totaled at \$33,608,000. And we're
24 basically tracking -- this year, the second column under
25 2012-13, we're tracking to end up at about \$30 million,

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 26, 2013

1 maybe \$31 million, depending on what happens from May and
2 June.

3 So we're expecting to come out slightly lower than
4 we were last year. But that's not an adjustment that we
5 can't live with.

6 In the column that says, "Driver Training Penalty
7 Assessment Fund," DTPAF, we traditionally get \$14 million
8 per year, have for several years. However, the
9 Controller's Office doesn't transfer the overwhelming
10 balance to us until the last couple of months of the
11 fiscal year. So we have gotten \$4 million -- about
12 \$5 million in that fund so far this year. We should get
13 the balance in May and June. We've been assured that
14 we'll receive that full amount this year.

15 So we're expected to end the fiscal year at about
16 93 to 95 percent of our projection.

17 Questions on the finance report, on the revenues?

18 *(No response)*

19 MR. REED: We get some other revenues from Homeland
20 Security grants, VAWA grants, other resources.

21 And so right now, at this point of the year, we're
22 at \$30,934,000 as of the end of April. And we'll expect
23 to end up somewhere in the \$45 million to \$48 million
24 neighborhood when we're done.

25 Attachment A, questions?

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 26, 2013

1 MEMBER MOIR: None.

2 CHAIR SOBEK: No.

3 MR. REED: Attachment B is a report on
4 reimbursements. As you recall, for about the last four
5 years our reimbursements numbers have been down. This
6 year shows a significant increase. Last year at this
7 point we had reimbursed 22,500 trainees. This year, so
8 far, we've reimbursed 34,000. So agencies are starting
9 to send folks back to training, which is a good thing.

10 The course reimbursement amounts, last year at this
11 point, we had reimbursed only nine and a half million
12 dollars. This year, we've reimbursed so far 15 and a
13 half million dollars. So about a 50 percent increase
14 over where we were last year at this point.

15 And then the last chart shows the categories of
16 reimbursement. You can see backfill has really taken
17 off. Tuition reimbursement has been picked up greatly.
18 Every category is up, over what it was last year. So
19 these are good signs, and we're hoping to close out close
20 to the \$20 million that we were hoping for. I think we
21 projected something in the \$19 million range; and it
22 looks like we're on track to reach that goal.

23 Any question on the reimbursements so far?

24 MEMBER MOIR: I actually have one for you, Mr. Reed.
25 While it's not a financial question, what feedback do

1 you receive from the field regarding this increase in
2 reimbursements?

3 MR. REED: I'm sorry, the first part again?

4 MEMBER MOIR: This isn't a financial question, but
5 hopefully you'll be able to answer it. What feedback do
6 you receive from the field regarding the increase in
7 reimbursement?

8 MR. REED: What we think it's attributable to is
9 about a year ago, when agencies were having difficulty
10 sending people to training, we, the Commission, had some
11 excess revenues in our reserves, and they voted to
12 increase the subsistence and also backfill. And we had
13 suspended backfill for a long while, as you will recall.
14 And so we think that our ability to further offset the
15 agencies' costs may have facilitated that.

16 If the money goes away, usually our trainees go
17 away. And so we've been able to help in that regard.

18 MEMBER MOIR: Good. So you're receiving positive
19 feedback from the field that this is enhancing the
20 profession?

21 MR. REED: Oh, yes, especially with backfill.
22 Backfill is huge, particularly for some of the smaller
23 agencies in the remoter areas of the state. And when we
24 can provide backfill reimbursement, that frequently
25 enables them to afford. Otherwise, they have to do all

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 26, 2013

1 their training locally through telecourses and local
2 class offerings, which are not as plentiful as they are
3 in the more populated areas.

4 MEMBER MOIR: Sure. And with this reimbursement,
5 one can argue that then service in the local communities
6 are not reduced as a result of sending someone to
7 training?

8 MR. REED: Correct.

9 MEMBER MOIR: Excellent. Thank you.

10 MR. REED: That pays for their overtime if they do
11 send somebody away.

12 MEMBER MOIR: Excellent. Thank you.

13 CHAIR SOBEK: Keep that in mind. Keep this whole
14 thing in mind as we move forward here.

15 MR. REED: Okay, the next item on the agenda is our
16 expenditure projections for 2012 and 2013.

17 There we are. Bring it up just a little bit, so we
18 can get down to the bottom line.

19 There we go. There we go.

20 Okay, the allocated amount from the Governor's
21 office for 2012-13 was \$58,877,000. And that's minus
22 some grant funds that we get from Homeland Security that
23 generally takes us just past the \$60 million mark.
24 However, this year, we anticipate spending, if we track
25 with the numbers that we've received so far, we

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 26, 2013

1 anticipate spending approximately \$56,655,000, which
2 should leave us with a fund balance of approximately
3 \$2,222,000.

4 So the good news is, we end up in the black, mainly
5 because we're not allowed to go in the red.

6 So any questions on that?

7 MEMBER MOIR: No.

8 MR. REED: Okay, the second half of that report --
9 thank you.

10 The second part of this report, generally, we have
11 expenditure items for this fiscal year that we want to
12 add on. These would be new projects or new funding that
13 we need, that we can balance against what we are saving
14 from our fund balance.

15 In this case, we have two items that are going to be
16 on the agenda tomorrow for the Commission to review.
17 That will be Items E and G.

18 Item E is the request to authorize the Executive
19 Director to begin reimbursing on driver training from
20 \$445 per basic course trainee, up to \$1,000.

21 And you'll recall, we've been in a protracted
22 project to study driver training, and that they concurred
23 that the basic course driver training should move from
24 24 hours of reimbursable training to 40 hours. That,
25 along with the fact that there has been no increase in

1 driver-training reimbursement for many years, resulted in
2 the \$1,000 recommendation.

3 If you look -- don't bother turning on the screen
4 here, but the graph at the very end, for those of you
5 that have downloaded, will show -- it reflects that this
6 increase, when we multiply it out by the number of
7 anticipated basic-course trainees for the forthcoming
8 fiscal year, will result in approximately a \$1,159,000
9 increase to basic-course driver training. So we didn't
10 put a number out to the side here because this number
11 does not accrue to this particular account. It accrues
12 to the '13-14 budget, which we will be talking about in
13 a minute. So we would not have to take that amount from
14 the \$2,222,000. So just remember the \$1,100,000 increase
15 in driver-training costs.

16 And likewise, with Item G, which is the request to
17 further the study on critical incident review, that is a
18 \$100,000 item that also will not accrue to this year's
19 budget. So we do not do the usual subtraction that you
20 see at the bottom of our report.

21 Questions?

22 MEMBER MOIR: I don't have questions; but I do, in
23 advance, not only of our subsequent conversation, but the
24 commission report, I have to commend staff -- POST staff
25 for an exceptional report and study that was reflected in

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 26, 2013

1 both Item E, the LD 19 EVOC study was exceptional; and
2 then Michael Barnes, who did the report on the LEOKA and
3 the subsequent funding.

4 So kudos to POST staff for that. It was great work.

5 MR. STRESAK: Thank you.

6 MR. REED: Thank you, Commissioner.

7 If there are no other questions on this year's
8 expenditures, let's move to the 2013-14 Governor's
9 budget.

10 This is a multipage document, but most of the
11 information the Commission considers is on the first page
12 and the last. And the very first page shows, the far
13 right-hand column, the Governor's projected budget for
14 POST for 2013-14 is \$61,406,000, which is slightly higher
15 than the \$60,836,000 from last year.

16 The reason for the increase, basically, is at the
17 bottom of the page, you'll see that there are some
18 adjustments that are made by the Department of Finance.
19 And I believe that the majority of those costs have to do
20 with the fact that, number one, our furloughs are falling
21 off -- effective July 1st, there will be no more state
22 furloughs -- and also there's a 3 percent salary increase
23 for most of the bargaining units. And there's some
24 adjustments in health-insurance costs and other benefit
25 costs that, again, these are the Governor's numbers, and

1 they crank them in. We don't have any input on it; but
2 that's their projection. So that's how we end up with
3 the \$61,406,000 budget for 2013-14.

4 So suffice it to say that we were not touched by the
5 May Revise, and that these numbers are, going forward,
6 what we'll live with for the next year.

7 Going to the last page, at the bottom of the
8 right-hand column, 2013-14; first of all, in the middle
9 of the page, you'll see a \$4 million figure. Actually,
10 it looks like \$4,000 on your forms. But that is the
11 Department of Finance reimbursing us \$4 million of the
12 \$5 million that the Governor's office borrowed about five
13 years ago, I believe it was. And that was to be repaid
14 when the economy started to rebound.

15 So in '13-14, we are getting \$4 million of that
16 money back.

17 And the \$14,000 number that you see down below, also
18 reflects the fact that we are still on track to get the
19 \$14 million in 24.10 money that we've been receiving for
20 several years.

21 Then following on down, they have an expenditure
22 allocation. The very bottom piece of this is the fund
23 balance. And you'll see that the fund balance, or the
24 amount of money that we're anticipated to have left over,
25 if everything is spent as expected for the next year is

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 26, 2013

1 only \$699,000. That would be our reserve at the end of
2 next year, which is, as you know, a very small amount for
3 POST.

4 So that concludes it. But the good news is, we are
5 in the black at that time.

6 Any questions on this report?

7 MEMBER MOIR: None.

8 CHAIR SOBEK: No.

9 MR. REED: Okay, that concludes this portion of the
10 report.

11 The back side of this, of course, is Items 5 E. and
12 5 G., the agenda items that are proposed for the driver
13 training and for the critical incident response.

14 Is there any request for a special presentation on
15 either of those?

16 CHAIR SOBEK: No, not from me.

17 MR. REED: Commissioner?

18 MEMBER MOIR: None.

19 MR. REED: Okay. That concludes our report
20 except --

21 CHAIR SOBEK: Do we have any old business?

22 *(No response)*

23 CHAIR SOBEK: No? How about new business?

24 I think we have some new business; and I'm going to
25 let Mr. Reed talk about that.

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 26, 2013

1 MR. REED: We do have some new business. I believe
2 it was in approximately March that the Department of
3 Finance approached us and informed us that because of
4 the fact that our revenues are anticipated to remain
5 relatively flat, and because our spending authority still
6 remains relatively high -- remember, they have approved
7 \$61 million for us to spend, but we don't have as big a
8 reserve as we usually have -- it is anticipated that
9 unless revenues rebound, that we'll end up with
10 approximately a \$5 million shortfall at the end of
11 2014-15.

12 When these numbers were brought to us, we did have
13 a meeting with representatives from the Department of
14 Finance.

15 And in the past, we've had some disagreement over
16 how we arrive at certain numbers, and whether or not
17 things are going to be as anticipated.

18 In this particular case, we have no information that
19 would show that we're not going to end up in this
20 situation. So we mutually accept the fact that there is
21 going to be, most likely, a \$5 million deficit next year.

22 Finance cannot take a deficit budget to the
23 Governor's office for approval. We have to deal with
24 this. However, right now, we haven't finished the fiscal
25 year, so we don't know how much money is going to accrue

1 to our fund balance for next year. In other words, if we
2 have an inordinately high amount of money that we haven't
3 spent this year, that will add to the \$699,000 that we're
4 projecting for the end of next year, and could obviate
5 the need to do anything draconian to our budget to adjust
6 for the \$5 million.

7 If nothing happened -- if our reserves are down and
8 if the economy does not come back, our revenues do not
9 start to rebound from the \$30 million or \$29 million,
10 back towards the \$40 million, then we will have to do
11 something proactive. And our plan at this point is to
12 just let the Commission know that we recognize this need,
13 that we've been working with Finance cooperatively, and
14 we will assess where we are about September. We should
15 have an indication of where our fund balances are, how
16 much reserve that we're going to have by the end of
17 2013-14, and then chart a course.

18 There's some alternative ways of adjusting to this.
19 We'd rather not take anything that looks like a permanent
20 cut if we have sufficient reserves to be able to justify
21 not doing that. However, it is possible that we'd have
22 to submit what they call a negative BCP to make that
23 adjustment. In other words, telling Finance and the
24 Administration how we're going to adjust to that
25 \$5 million shortfall, or any portion thereof.

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 26, 2013

1 So this is not the good news that we'd like to share
2 with our committees, but this is what it is. This is the
3 first time in several years, I think, that we've been in
4 this situation. Approximately five or six years ago, I
5 believe, we had to suspend reimbursement when we ran out
6 of money in about May. So we've had deficit situations
7 come at us; but that was because of an excess number of
8 trainees. This is just basically our reserve being
9 depleted, and the cupboard's bare, and we're going to
10 have to deal with it.

11 So that's all we have on that, but that might give
12 rise to some questions.

13 CHAIR SOBEK: Commissioner Moir?

14 MEMBER MOIR: Some questions, yes.

15 So as I understand it, that you anticipate and staff
16 anticipates a potential \$5 million shortfall.

17 MR. REED: In 2014-15.

18 MEMBER MOIR: So my assumption would be that our top
19 areas where we would reduce would be reimbursement and/or
20 contracts.

21 Is that accurate?

22 MR. REED: That's most accurate, yes.

23 MEMBER MOIR: So with that, what is the plan for
24 examining -- in terms of reimbursement, what is the plan
25 for examining not only the timing, but how that

1 reimbursement will affect compliance and the field?

2 And the second part of that is, if we're going to
3 examine contracts, what is staff's plan regarding the
4 prioritization of fulfilling contracts?

5 And that may be something we have to answer in the
6 future. But with the "yes," that those would be our top
7 two, what's the plan moving forward?

8 MR. REED: Those are really the only two areas that
9 we have to reduce from: The aid to local and contracts
10 accounts. And you'll be seeing in your agenda -- you
11 have already seen that we have a proposal to move from
12 contracting to Plan V, in some cases. So reduce the
13 number of contracts.

14 The impact on training is not known at this time.
15 However, our way of handling -- and the reason I say that
16 is, there's frequently years where we end up with more
17 than \$5 million rolling to our reserve, depending on the
18 number of trainees and what kind of classes they attend.
19 So if this were to be that kind of a year, then we might
20 be able to effect any modest reductions with a minimal
21 impact.

22 If we believe, by October, that this is going to
23 be a \$5 million hit, what we probably will be doing is
24 recommending to the Commission that we take a portion of
25 that this year and a portion next year, so that we don't

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 26, 2013

1 have to have \$5 million taken from the budget all in one
2 year. And the way we do that is yet to be decided.

3 I don't know if that answers all of your questions.

4 MR. STRESAK: If I could make a couple comments on
5 that.

6 Thank you, Dick.

7 And your question is fair and appropriate, so I
8 appreciate that.

9 I'll take you back on the journey a little bit here.

10 So most everybody is aware that we are funded
11 through the Penalty Assessment Fund. And the majority
12 of our primary source of funding through that is through
13 traffic enforcement. Over the last three or four years,
14 POST's bubble, it's been, at least in my estimation, a
15 product of a perfect storm: That agency reduction in
16 personnel, as budgets were cut, court budget was cut at
17 least \$650 million, and prosecution budgets were cut.
18 All those translated into reduced prosecutions. So as
19 agencies triaged their calls for service to survive, and
20 as the courts triaged their cases to survive, and as
21 the prosecutors triaged their case, ultimately that
22 translates into least-critical cases were no longer
23 prosecuted, those being traffic and minor offenses.

24 That ultimately translated, if you took it from a
25 bird's-eye view statewide to a reduction in the Penalty

1 Assessment Fund, which is a significant translation for
2 us, about \$10 million.

3 So that's the bad news -- or at least that's my
4 analysis.

5 On the positive side, there seems to be at least
6 some positive barometric readings for the economy as
7 things are -- I think they're moving slowly, but moving,
8 and in a positive direction. So that gives us some hope
9 on the horizon.

10 We are already embarking on looking at our contract
11 delivery as one of our primary targets initially and to
12 look at just the costs that we're paying out for
13 contracts.

14 So if we can reduce some operation costs within the
15 contract delivery, that will maintain -- or remain as
16 an organizational priority. Reimbursements would be,
17 obviously, secondary if we have to look in that
18 direction.

19 And then thirdly, as Dick already alluded to, is
20 one of the strategies would be to not seek a \$5 million
21 course correction in one year. I think that would be a
22 significant impact -- negative impact over a period of
23 time. And that way, if we had to, we would try to seek a
24 2.5 and 2.5.

25 So we're looking at, we've increased our level of

1 scrutiny in contracts, and how we're -- what we're paying
2 right now and what our charges are.

3 Some administrative fees run as high as 20 percent
4 on contracts. We're seeking to reduce those. And
5 hopefully, that will translate into savings and attenuate
6 some of the blow if, in fact, this is an accurate
7 prediction.

8 MEMBER MOIR: So more of a comment than a question:
9 The notice to the field is essential in terms of a
10 reduction for any kind of reimbursement because it
11 dramatically affects municipalities and -- well, it
12 affects all of our ability in the field to send our
13 employees to training and for service providers that are
14 providing the training; it impacts them as well.

15 So there are a number of effects that I would
16 encourage staff that, as early as possible, to
17 communicate the plan. And I have complete confidence
18 that POST staff will do that.

19 So thank you for your consideration and for those
20 comments.

21 MR. STRESAK: Thank you, Commissioner.

22 And one other factor I failed to point out in terms
23 of our contract negotiation. As you are aware, that we
24 have been engaged in some protracted contract review.
25 And in some cases, that will bite into the fiscal year

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 26, 2013

1 that the contract is to take effect. And so in some
2 cases, we may accrue savings from underperforming
3 contracts in the event a presenter is only given nine
4 months to deliver services.

5 Now, that could be adjusted by -- a presenter could
6 adjust that by compressing the number of courses into
7 nine months rather than 12 months. But we'll look at all
8 those factors. But one of the unintended consequences,
9 I believe, will be from this protracted contract review,
10 of some accrued reserve into our balance also.

11 MEMBER MOIR: So, Director, do we have a policy on a
12 percentage of a reserve? By a \$699,000 reserve, it
13 reflects that we don't have a policy. Do we have a
14 policy and a percentage for a reserve?

15 MR. STRESAK: I don't think we, as an organization,
16 as a policy. I think we're regulated by state policy.

17 Dick, could you...?

18 MR. REED: That's correct. And when many of us
19 first started working at POST, there was a frequently
20 used term called a "prudent reserve."

21 MEMBER MOIR: A prudent?

22 MR. REED: A prudent reserve. The Department of
23 Finance used to always tell us that we had to maintain a
24 prudent reserve. But nobody ever affixed a number to
25 that. And basically, those of us that have had budgeting

1 experience in our past lives would speculate whether that
2 means 5 percent or 10 percent. It's probably more than a
3 dollar and a half and less than \$20 million.

4 Now, our reserve has actually exceeded \$30 million
5 in the past. That's way more than prudent. In fact, it
6 makes us a target. So we don't like that.

7 To us -- to myself -- for myself and Darla, we think
8 that a prudent reserve is somewhere in the area of 5 to
9 10 percent of our total budget. The six ninety-nine is
10 closer than we like to get to a balance, so that's not
11 good. However, we're usually saved by the fact that we
12 have unexpended resources at the end of the year that
13 rolls to our reserve.

14 So I would expect that in a normal year, that that
15 six ninety-nine might become \$3 million to \$5 million
16 based on the fact that we'll contract for a given number
17 of trainees for courses; but not everybody goes to
18 courses. Or some courses get canceled for a variety of
19 reasons, or not as many people will go to community
20 colleges and take advantage of the travel and per-diem
21 reimbursement we give to those Plan IV courses. So for a
22 variety of reasons, we end up with expended resources.

23 I think this is not the normal situation, however;
24 so it's a little difficult to read the tea leaves at this
25 point.

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 26, 2013

1 And just for your information, for those that are
2 new to this forum, we don't really close out our books
3 on this fiscal year until about August, sometimes into
4 September. It depends on when we get all of our
5 reimbursement. That's when we start to get a clear
6 picture of what our reserve from this year will be.

7 And so we're kind of going to be treading water
8 until we see what that number is. We're tallying as much
9 as we can. You've got as current of information as we
10 have in this report right now.

11 So we're hoping for something of a little windfall.
12 And we have reason to think that that might happen. But
13 I don't want to build any false expectations, either.
14 So the Commission needs to be focused on the fact that
15 we will be considering how to deal with the \$5 million
16 shortfall if that happens.

17 MEMBER MOIR: Yes, I'm sure I speak on behalf of
18 thousands when I say that I appreciate your early notice
19 and your attention and commitment to addressing the
20 issue, and not just reading the tea leaves, but planning
21 for what may come this fall. So thank you very much.

22 CHAIR SOBEK: Anything else?

23 MR. REED: Unless there's no other questions.

24 *(Member Bui entered the meeting room.)*

25 CHAIR SOBEK: For the record, Commissioner Bui is in

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 26, 2013

1 attendance.

2 Do you have anything?

3 MR. STRESAK: I do not.

4 Good morning, Commissioner.

5 CHAIR SOBEK: Okay, Commissioner Moir, do you have
6 anything?

7 That's it?

8 MEMBER MOIR: Pardon me?

9 CHAIR SOBEK: That's it? You have nothing else? No
10 other questions?

11 MEMBER MOIR: I have plenty. But nothing else,
12 thank you.

13 CHAIR SOBEK: Okay, with that, I will conclude this
14 Finance Committee meeting.

15 MR. REED: Do you want to accept the report, and
16 does the Committee want to approve --

17 CHAIR SOBEK: We should make a motion to accept "E"
18 and "G."

19 MR. REED: The increase? That you want to recommend
20 to the Commission that we increase the driver's training
21 amount and also the hundred thousand dollars for the
22 critical incident response.

23 CHAIR SOBEK: Thank you.

24 Commissioner Bui, can you come to the table so you
25 can be part of this vote?

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 26, 2013

1 *(Member Bui joined the Committee at the table.)*

2 CHAIR SOBEK: And I'm assuming you've read the
3 report, and so you're ready to go?

4 MEMBER BUI: Yes.

5 CHAIR SOBEK: First, I would like to see if there is
6 a motion to accept -- we can do "E" and "G" together, or
7 we can do it one at a time.

8 MEMBER MOIR: As a matter of business, do we have to
9 do them separately, or can we do them together?

10 MR. REED: You both have concurred. There were no
11 questions. If you both concur and if Commissioner Bui
12 has reviewed "E" and "G" --

13 MEMBER BUI: Yes, I have.

14 MR. REED: -- and there's no opposition, then you
15 can take them both at once.

16 MEMBER BUI: I'll make the motion.

17 MR. REED: To do what?

18 MEMBER BUI: To support staff's recommendation.

19 CHAIR SOBEK: Okay, so we have Commissioner Bui, who
20 has made a motion to support staff's recommendation for
21 "E" and "G"?

22 MR. REED: Correct.

23 CHAIR SOBEK: Do I have a second?

24 MEMBER MOIR: Yes. Commissioner Moir seconds.

25 CHAIR SOBEK: Commissioner Moir seconds.

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 26, 2013

1 All in favor, say "aye."

2 *(A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)*

3 CHAIR SOBEK: And then the third motion -- or the
4 second motion would be to accept the Finance Committee
5 report.

6 MEMBER MOIR: I'll make that motion to accept the
7 Finance Committee report.

8 CHAIR SOBEK: Commissioner Moir.

9 MEMBER BUI: And Bui will second.

10 CHAIR SOBEK: Second by Commissioner Bui.

11 All in favor, say "aye."

12 *(A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)*

13 CHAIR SOBEK: No one opposed.

14 So we have a motion and an acceptance to move
15 forward to recommend this report to the full Commission.

16 And after that, nothing else?

17 *(No response)*

18 CHAIR SOBEK: Finance Committee meeting is closed.

19 *(The gavel sounded.)*

20 *(The Finance Committee meeting concluded*
21 *at 10:30 a.m.)*

22 

23

24

25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify:

That the foregoing proceedings were duly reported by me at the time and place herein specified; and

That the proceedings were reported by me, a duly certified shorthand reporter and a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand on July 17th, 2013

Daniel P. Feldhaus
California CSR #6949
Registered Diplomat Reporter
Certified Realtime Reporter