STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING

ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

<u>ه•••ه</u>

TIME: 1:00 p.m.

DATE: Wednesday, October 23, 2013

PLACE: Embassy Suites

San Francisco Airport - Waterfront

150 Anza Boulevard Burlingame, California

<u>ه•••</u>ه

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

<u>ه•••</u>ه

Reported by:

Daniel P. Feldhaus California Certified Shorthand Reporter #6949 Registered Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter

Daniel P. Feldhaus, C.S.R., Inc.

Certified Shorthand Reporters 8414 Yermo Way, Sacramento, California 95828 Telephone 916.682.9482 Fax 916.688.0723 FeldhausDepo@aol.com

POST ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT

JAMES BOCK

Committee Chair

California Specialized Law Enforcement

GEORGE BEITEY

Committee Vice-Chair

State Chancellor's Community College Office

ELMO BANNING Public Member

ALEX BERNARD Public Member

ANDREW BIDOU California Police Chiefs' Association

EDWARD N. BONNER California State Sheriffs' Association

MARIO A. CASAS California Coalition of Law Enforcement Associations

JOE FLANNAGAN
Peace Officers' Research Association of California

RICHARD J. LINDSTROM
California Academy Directors' Association

SANDRA SPAGNOLI
California Peace Officers' Association

RANDALL WALTZ
California Association of Police Training Officers

BRADLEY YOUNG
California Association of Administration of
Justice Educators

<u>~••</u>

POST COMMISSIONERS PRESENT

LAI LAI BUI
Sergeant
Sacramento Police Department

JOYCE DUDLEY
Santa Barbara District Attorney
Santa Barbara County

SANDRA HUTCHENS Sheriff-Coroner Orange County

PETER KURYLOWICZ, JR.

Deputy Sheriff
Riverside County Sheriff's Department

LAREN LEICHLITER
Sheriff
San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department

JAMES P. McDONNELL
Chief
Long Beach Police Department

%•••%

POST STAFF PRESENT

per participation and sign-in sheet

ROBERT STRESAK
Executive Director
Executive Office

JANICE BULLARD
Assistant Executive Director
Executive Office
Standards and Development Bureau

ALAN DEAL
Assistant Executive Director
Executive Office
Field Services Bureau

POST STAFF PRESENT

per participation and sign-in sheet continued

RICHARD REED
Assistant Executive Director
Executive Office
Administrative Services Division

MARIE BOUVIA
Executive Assistant
Executive Office

ANNE BREWER

Bureau Chief

Training Program Services Bureau

STEVEN CRAIG
Senior Consultant
Training Program Services

RON CROOK

Multimedia Specialist

Learning Technology Resource Bureau

FRANK DECKER
Bureau Chief
Basic Training Bureau

DARLA ENGLER
Bureau Chief
Administrative Services Bureau

TED FITZPATRICK
Information System Analyst
Computer Services Bureau

BRYON G. GUSTAFSON

Bureau Chief
Standards and Evaluation Services Bureau

COLIN O'KEEFE

Bureau Chief

Computer Services Bureau

POST STAFF PRESENT

per participation and sign-in sheet continued

CONNIE PAOLI
Administrative Assistant
Executive Office

LINDA SABELLA
Systems Software Specialist Supervisor
Computer Services Bureau

STEPHANIE SCOFIELD

Bureau Chief
Training Delivery and Compliance Bureau

ROBERT "R.C." SMITH

Bureau Chief

Center for Leadership Development

ROBERT ZIGLAR
Senior Consultant
Computer Services Bureau



I N D E X

Proceeding	gs	Pa	age
А.	Call to Order and Welcome		9
В.	Flag Salute and Pledge of Allegiance	•	9
С.	Moment of Silence		9
D.	Introductions	•	9
Ε.	Roll Call	•	11
F.	Announcements and Correspondence	•	12
G.	Approval of Minutes of June 26, 2013, Meeting	•	13
Н.	Review of Commission Meeting Agenda	•	14
I.	Presentations: e-Student Workbook		37
J.	Advisory Committee Member Reports		
	- State Chancellor's Community College Office, Beitey	•	55

I N D E X

Proceedir	<u>P</u>	age
J. Advi	isory Committee Member Reports continued	
	- Public member, Banning	56
	- Public member, Bernard	57
	- California Police Chiefs' Association (CPCA), Bidou	57
	- California Association of Administration of Justice Educators (CAAJE), Young	57
	- California State Sheriffs' Association, (CSSA), Bonner	57
	- California Coalition of Law Enforcement Associations (CCLEA), Casas	57
	- Peace Officers' Research Association of California (PORAC), Flannagan	59
	- California Academy Directors' Association (CADA), Lindstrom	60
	- California Peace Officers' Association (CPOA) Spagnoli	68
	- California Association of Police Training Officers (CAPTO), Waltz	68
	- California Specialized Law Enforcement, (CSLE), Bock	68
К.	Commissioner Comments	68
L.	Old and New Business	69
М.	Next Meetings	69

	<u>I N I</u>	D E X	
Proceedings			Page
N. Adjour	nment		 . 76
Reporter's Certi	ficate		 . 77
		•••জ	

1	Wednesday, October 23, 2013, 1:00 p.m.
2	Burlingame, California
3	გ•••• ֍
4	CHAIR BOCK: I'd like to call this meeting of the
5	POST Advisory to order.
6	If we could all stand for the flag salute.
7	(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)
8	CHAIR BOCK: And if we could remain standing for a
9	moment of silence honoring the police officers killed in
10	the line of duty since the last meeting.
11	Officer Jon Coutchie of the Laguna Beach Police
12	Department.
13	(Observance of moment of silence.)
14	CHAIR BOCK: Thank you.
15	All right, at this time, we'll have each of the
16	members introduce themselves.
17	I'm Jim Bock, and I'm the chair, I guess. And I'm
18	the Specialized Law Enforcement representative.
19	VICE CHAIR BEITEY: George Beitey, vice chair, and
20	representing California Community Colleges.
21	MEMBER BANNING: Elmo Banning, public member.
22	MEMBER BERNARD: Alex Bernard, public member.
23	MEMBER BIDOU: Andrew Bidou, representing CPCA.
24	MEMBER YOUNG: Brad Young, representing CAAJE.
25	MEMBER BONNER: Ed Bonner, representing State

```
Sheriffs.
1
2
          MEMBER CASAS: Mario Casas, representing CCLEA.
3
          MEMBER FLANNAGAN: Joe Flannagan, representing
4
     PORAC.
5
          MEMBER LINDSTROM: Richard Lindstrom, representing
     California Academy Directors Association.
6
7
          MEMBER SPAGNOLI: Sandra Spagnoli, representing
8
     CPOA.
9
          MEMBER WALTZ: Randy Waltz, representing California
10
     Association of Police Training Officers.
          THE REPORTER: Dan Feldhaus, the hearing reporter.
11
          MS. PAOLI: Connie Paoli, POST.
12
13
          MS. BOUVIA: Marie Bouvia, POST staff.
          MR. STRESAK: Bob Stresak, Executive Director, POST.
14
          MS. BULLARD: Jan Bullard, POST staff.
15
          CHAIR BOCK: And if we have any POST commissioners
16
17
     here.
18
          COMMISSIONER McDONNELL: Jim McDonnell.
19
          COMMISSIONER BUI: Lai Lai Bui.
20
          COMMISSIONER KURYLOWICZ: Pete Kurylowicz.
21
          CHAIR BOCK: And if we could have those in the
22
     audience please introduce themselves.
          MR. FITZPATRICK: Ted Fitzpatrick, POST.
23
          MS. SCOFIELD: Stephanie Scofield, POST.
24
25
          MR. O'KEEFE: Colin O'Keefe, POST staff.
```

```
MS. SABELLA: Linda Sabella, POST staff.
1
2
          MR. DECKER: Frank Decker, POST staff.
3
          MR. GUSTAFSON: Bryon Gustafson, POST staff.
          MS. BREWER: Anne Brewer, POST staff.
4
5
          MR. DEAL: Alan Deal, POST staff.
6
          MR. SMITH: R.C. Smith, POST staff.
7
          MR. ZIGLAR: Bob Ziglar, POST staff.
8
          MS. ENGLER: Darla Engler, POST staff.
9
          COMMISSIONER LEICHLITER: Laren Leichliter, new
10
     commissioner.
11
          MR. REED: Dick Reed, POST staff.
12
          CHAIR BOCK: Thank you. Welcome.
13
          Could we have roll call?
14
          MS. BOUVIA: Banning?
15
          MEMBER BANNING: Here.
16
          MS. BOUVIA: Beitey?
17
          VICE CHAIR BEITEY: Here.
18
          MS. BOUVIA: Bernard?
19
          MEMBER BERNARD: Here.
20
          MS. BOUVIA: Bidou?
21
          MEMBER BIDOU: Here
22
          MS. BOUVIA: Bock?
23
          CHAIR BOCK: Here.
24
          MS. BOUVIA: Bonner?
          MEMBER BONNER: There's an awful lot of B's in this
25
```

```
1
     room; but I am here.
2
          MS. BOUVIA: Casas?
3
          MEMBER CASAS: Here.
4
          MS. BOUVIA: Flannagan?
5
          MEMBER FLANNAGAN: Here.
          MS. BOUVIA: Lindstrom?
6
7
          MEMBER LINDSTROM: Here.
8
          MS. BOUVIA: King?
9
           (No response)
10
          MS. BOUVIA: McFadon?
11
           (No response)
          MS. BOUVIA: Spagnoli?
12
13
          MEMBER SPAGNOLI: Here.
14
          MS. BOUVIA: Waltz?
15
          MEMBER WALTZ: Here.
16
          MS. BOUVIA: Young?
17
          MEMBER YOUNG: Here.
18
          CHAIR BOCK: Okay, and if we could have any
19
     announcements and correspondence.
20
          MS. BULLARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Committee
21
     Members.
22
          Correspondence from POST is under Tab J in your
23
     binders. It includes a bulletin from Executive Director
24
     Stresak, announcing Governor Brown signed into
25
     legislation Senate Bill 514, which recognizes law
```

1	enforcement JPAs.
2	There is also a letter to State Assembly Member
3	Shirley Weber, advising her of the Commission's intent to
4	remove their opposition to Assembly Bill 979.
5	There is a letter to Chief Paul Workman of Laguna
6	Beach Police Department, expressing sympathy for the loss
7	of Officer Jon Coutchie.
8	And a letter of appreciation to Assistant Executive
9	Director Dick Reed, commending him on his public service
10	since 1967. And Mr. Reed is going to be leaving us
11	November 1 st of this year.
12	CHAIR BOCK: Okay, you've all had a chance to review
13	the minutes.
14	I would entertain a motion to approve them.
15	VICE CHAIR BEITEY: So moved.
16	MEMBER BERNARD: Second. Bernard.
17	CHAIR BOCK: And if you guys could make sure that
18	you state your last name loudly first, before you make a
19	motion.
20	VICE CHAIR BEITEY: That was Beitey that made the
21	motion to approve.
22	CHAIR BOCK: Any discussion?
23	(No response)
24	CHAIR BOCK: All those in favor?
25	(A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)

1 CHAIR BOCK: Opposed? 2 (No response) 3 CHAIR BOCK: Abstain? 4 (No response) 5 CHAIR BOCK: All right, now, we'll move on to the review of the Commission meeting agenda. 6 7 MS. BULLARD: Thank you. 8 You have before you the Commission agenda for 9 tomorrow. And I'm going to start with the consent 10 calendar. 11 You've all had a chance to take a look at the items and provide us with those items that you wish to have 12 13 presentations on. And staff has also identified some items that we thought would be of particular interest to 14 15 you. Starting with Item B.2, which is the implementation 16 17 of Strategic Plan. As you can see, we have 13 18 objectives. 12 are in progress. One of them is 19 currently on hold. And we are not going to be asking for 20 any deletions of our Strategic Plan objectives at this 21 time. 22 Item B.4, we are going to have a formal presentation 23 on the student e-workbooks later on in this meeting, so I'm going to go ahead and pass that item. 24 25 Item B.11, this is a report to the Commission on the

projected revenue shortfall for fiscal year 2014-15. And I'm going to call upon Assistant Executive Director Dick Reed to bring you up to speed on this issue.

MR. REED: Thank you, ladies and gentlemen.

This item will be carried before the Commission tomorrow.

As you recall at the last meeting, we attempted to give everyone a heads-up on the fact that the Department of Finance had alerted us in February to a \$5 million projected shortfall. Upon studying this further, after the last meeting, we found that that projection was really more like \$7.8 million. So we set about finding ways that we could handle this, because Finance cannot submit a deficit budget to the Governor. And they were very interested in what we were going to do to manage this issue.

This issue wasn't vetted before the Commission because Finance needed our plan before this Commission was going to meet this time. So what we put forward is the plan to address the \$7.8 million, and also some alternative ways that we intend to make that happen.

So what Finance has now is kind of the first half of what you see on this agenda item, which is four things that we're going to be doing in terms of suspending backfill, suspending Plan IV reimbursements, capping

contracts at the 2012-13 level; and then suspending workshops and seminars for the 2014-15 fiscal year. We believe that that will capture the amount needed to wipe away the deficit.

And if revenues begin coming back toward the end of the 2014-15 year, the Executive Director hopefully will be asking for Commission approval to start returning money to the field.

So what we've had to do is provide Finance with the methodology for saving 7.8 million bucks. But the Commission may wish to weigh in on the vehicle that we used to identify the savings. For instance, backfill. We've fielded several questions from the field about what this means. The issue on capping contracts. We've answered every question personally that's come to us from either e-mail or telephones, from constituents that are concerned about how long is this going to last and what do we have to save, that sort of thing.

So what we're hoping is that this all ends before the end of the '14-15 year. But in order to see the scope of the problem, if you've read your backup material, or if you have the chart --

Can you get Attachment A up on this issue? Do we have that ability?

We had it up earlier this morning.

Okay, the numbers are small. If you have your own tablets, you can probably see this a little bit better.

But if you will look at the section on the left-hand column marked "Revenues and transfers," go all the way to the bottom of that highlighted area, where it says "Total revenues." Go out to about the third column, 2006-07, you can see that our revenues were \$42 million, and in 2007-08, \$41 million. Following out to the right, you'll see they begin to go \$41 million. And then they begin dropping, from 2009-10 as we see the precipitous drop at state revenues, which is \$38 million, then down to \$36 million, then down to 30, 31, 30. And Finance projects that we remain at the \$30 million level until about 2016-17.

So what we're trying to do is wipe out the little red numbers at the bottom of the screen on the right-hand side at the end of 2014-15 that says that we will be negative \$7.824 million. That cannot happen. We cannot let that happen. We're special-funded, and we don't have any bail-out funds. All of our clients know that, I believe.

So everything we're doing in the way of adjustments and recommendations on this agenda item is geared to making sure that we end up at the end of 2014-15 with a modest reserve.

Finance likes to have us have a prudent reserve 1 2 which, to them, is about 10 percent of our total budget. 3 \$6 million or \$7 million would be considered prudent. We're going to be working to get to a modest level, 5 \$2 million to \$3 million, which is not a lot of wiggle room for the Commission to play with, but it's better 6 7 than having a deficit. 8 So the items that we've proposed to reduce or 9 suspend temporarily will yield you, we think, about a 10 \$6 million reserve at the end of 2014-15. 11 If we find that we've overcorrected, then as I said, 12 the Executive Director will probably be wanting to return 13 money to the field. 14 If we've undercorrected and the savings don't come 15 through that we have projected, then about this time next year, we should start to see that; and perhaps something 16 17 else will have to be reduced. But we believe that we're 18 going to be successful in getting where we need to go 19 with the method that we've put forward here. 20 So I don't want to talk too much on this because 21 I think most of you understand it. But if there's 22 questions, I could take them now. 23 MEMBER FLANNAGAN: Dick, I have a few issues. 24 MR. REED: Yes. 25 MEMBER FLANNAGAN: First of all, for the Executive

Director, we don't have anybody from the AG's office here.

Agencies don't have the money to pick up the loss here that POST isn't going to do, so training is going to suffer.

Is there any vehicle, or do you know of, is there some type of a -- I don't want to say waiver of liability or whatever. Because I can envision somebody during this gap getting into trouble, getting into a jam; and it's going to come down to a training issue. And the deep-pocket attorney is going to go after the agency or POST, or whatever, because of the lack of training or the delay in training, or whatever it might be.

Is there currently any legislation or any protection for the individual, for the agency, or for POST, that they can't basically come after us for failing to train?

MR. STRESAK: I am not aware of any options such as that.

Keep in mind, one of the significant points is that we're not eliminating training, we're just scaling back training. Our challenge was to try to equitably gore programs, if you will, to the point where we're as fair as possible. And that after significant deliberation, we had to look at the issues of how do we rob Peter to pay Paul.

And so, ultimately, we ended up with this solution. 1 2 We don't believe that it really eliminates training. We 3 will reduce presentations. We will still adhere to our core values of basic training, instructor quality, 5 officer-safety issues, mandatory training, and a couple of the long-term goals we have within the organization --6 7 the POST testing system and the technology that supports 8 all that. 9 So we're not slamming the door, and I don't think 10 we're creating a fatal void. 11 I remain sensitive to your concerns. We'll do some 12 additional research. But to answer your question in 13 succinct terms, no. MEMBER FLANNAGAN: The next question --14 15 MR. STRESAK: I think Dick has something. MR. REED: May I follow on with that one thought, 16 17 Mr. Executive Director? 18 Mr. Flannagan, we submitted or we distributed an FAQ 19 sheet to the field last week; and that basically we 20 touched on that issue in our FAQs, having sat through 21 many of Marty Mayer's presentations and through many 22 budget downturns over the years. 23 A Marty Mayer answer would be, if he were sitting here -- I think some of you could probably quote him as 24 25 well -- he would say that there is no dispensation on

your responsibility to train just because there is no money to do the training. So that's his cavalier lawyer response.

So the answer to your question would be no, you can't -- we can't waive the training requirements.

However, what we have done in this regard, just so you'll know, is we've attempted to stay away from high-liability training, officer-safety issues, and any training mandates.

We have about 4,500 certified training courses. And of those, we have tried to leave intact those things that are mandated or that are high liability or contract courses.

So we also emphasize the need to start using the Learning Portal more. We've got about 65,000 people signed up to use the Learning Portal and other distance training methodologies.

So this is kind of -- this is going to be a little bit difficult, but it's not draconian at this point.

Draconian would have been if they would have taken all of our 2410 money, or as they proposed years ago, I think when you were first on the Commission, when they tried to take all of our reimbursement money. And that's not happening here. So we're trying to spread the hurt evenly without exposing anyone to liability.

MEMBER FLANNAGAN: First of all, I understand and I feel the pain, and I know how hard staff works to do this.

MR. STRESAK: Joe, if I may, just one other quick comment. It is paramount or foundational to us that this strategy remains scalable, so that if and when we can advance or retract, if we have to, we will do so accordingly.

I had mentioned earlier, I was going to reserve this for some closing comments, but I mentioned earlier in the Finance Committee meeting that when you look at the total economy, economic recovery, and the Rubik's cube of variables that are out there from sequestration, to realignment, to unemployment stats, et cetera, et cetera, you know, your guess is as good as ours where we are going to go. We have to rely on Department of Finance projections as they stand right now.

On the positive side, academies are starting to light up, agencies are starting to hire again. And hopefully, that will have an impact on us down the road in terms of increased money to the Penalty Assessment Fund and translating to increased revenues, which results in increased expenditures.

So we'll watch this as carefully and as judiciously as possible, and adjust accordingly whenever we can.

MEMBER FLANNAGAN: Do we still get money from the Penalty Assessment Funds?

MR. REED: Yes. That slipped -- this chart will reflect that it slipped from about \$41,000 a year in 2007-08, just down to over -- I beg your pardon, \$41 million -- over \$30 million this year.

MEMBER FLANNAGAN: Well, that leads into my next comment.

A lot of the reasons -- or it could be one of the reasons why the penalty assessment isn't as good as it -- or as deep as it was, was fines have gotten so exorbitant that people are asking to do community service versus pay a fine. And that's a direct impact on local government and, obviously, to state government and penalty assessments, because people would rather go out and pick up trash on the side of the freeway than to pay a \$400 fine for a traffic ticket.

MR. STRESAK: You're right. And this has been a confluence of factors. And you have already addressed one of them. But we've mentioned in the past that as agencies have to scale back post-bubble, that translated into decreased enforcement activity. Courts have incurred a \$650 million budget cut, translated into triaging their caseload. City attorneys and prosecutors did the same thing.

Statistically, statewide, citations from 2009 to 1 2 2013 have gone from 5.7 million to 4.7 million. About a 3 million. Part of that could be due to the elimination of 5 cameras at intersections or other enforcement factors. But collectively, you're spot-on. That ultimately has 6 7 impacted the Penalty Assessment Fund. 8 And which I need to remind everybody, eight entities 9 are paid for out of that Penalty Assessment Fund. 10 Mr. Reed? 11 MR. REED: Well, that kind of concludes my report. 12 However, it occurs to me that maybe some people haven't 13 seen the FAQ sheet. When I'm done with this presentation, I'll have 14 15 copies made for everybody in this room so you can at least go into the meeting tomorrow having an idea of the 16 17 issues we've tried to address. 18 MEMBER FLANNAGAN: Thank you. 19 MR. STRESAK: Thank you, Dick. 20 MS. BULLARD: Thanks, Dick. 21 If there are no other questions, moving on to 22 Item B.12, which is a report on the efforts to update the 23 POST Strategic Plan. And we're making some progress in this area. And I have asked Bryon Gustafson from 24 25 Standards Evaluation and Research Bureau to catch you up

on what we're doing in that area.

MR. GUSTAFSON: Good afternoon.

As you know, at the February meeting earlier this year, the Commission approved up to \$132,000 for an outside entity to guide us through an update of the Strategic Plan.

Since that time, we met with several different providers of strategic planning service, and selected California State University Sacramento's Center for Collaborative Policy. And a number of individuals there have experience with working with criminal justice agencies, and state agencies, in particular.

And the benefit, in addition to that, is that being a state agency, we can execute an interagency agreement, which will facilitate our ability to contract and get those services from them.

Additionally, I would note that as a follow-up to Assistant Executive Director Reed's presentation, we're going to be able to do the Strategic Plan for approximately \$103,000, so we will not have to use all the money that was allocated for this purpose.

If you recall, back in 2007-2008, when we last updated the plan, we did a multi-day meeting that involved over a hundred stakeholders. And it was a very involved process that really put a lot of information

into a very short period of time.

And in working with the Center For Collaborative Policy, they've identified a longer term, smaller process, which would utilize focus groups and surveys, to give people time to think about issues in advance, and kind of revisit their ideas. So it will alleviate the need to have, you know, a big symposium-style event, and will allow a little bit more thoughtful feedback in reviewing the proposed changes to the Strategic Plan.

The last piece that I would like to highlight, is that the plan, as it is being designed, is going to have three components. And the first component is an organizational assessment.

Executive Director Stresak wanted both an internal and an external assessment of how POST is doing, how we are making progress in achieving our mission. And so that will be one of the first things that the Center for Collaborative Policy takes on is that assessment, which will then help to inform the actual strategic planning process. And that information will be used in drafting the plan and working with those focus groups.

So then the second component is then the creation of the Strategic Plan.

And then the third component is the potential for functional reorganization of POST. Over the last several

years, we've had some changes to our bureaus and to the alignment of bureaus within our divisions. And the goal here will be that after the plan is created, we'll assess the organizational structure, and see if it is, indeed, ideal for supporting the goals as they are developed for the next three to five years.

So that is the process that we have in front of us. We have drafted a scope of work and a draft agreement with the Center of Collaborative Policy. And we hope to execute that within the next few weeks, and have them begin work on the assessment and design of the process.

Are there any questions I could answer?

VICE CHAIR BEITEY: Bryon -- Member Beitey -- you mentioned an assessment process. Now, was that an assessment of POST Strategic Plan implementation or development, or as to how POST is doing overall with regards to their mission?

MR. GUSTAFSON: More broadly, with regard to the mission. And the Executive Director could speak to this, if he'd like. But basically, upon assuming his role as Executive Director, he wanted to have an assessment both internally, how POST staff are feeling -- you know, kind of a test of people's morale, commitment, interest in the job; and then an external assessment of how our stakeholders are feeling supported in their training and

1 standards needs. So that's the direction that we've 2 given to the Center for Collaborative Policy. VICE CHAIR BEITEY: Thank you. 3 MEMBER LINDSTROM: Richard Lindstrom. 4 5 I want to talk to my computer here. Who will be determining the focus groups? 6 7 MR. GUSTAFSON: Sure. We have a strategic planning 8 team internally that includes members from the Executive 9 Office, from the bureau chief level, and then 10 consultants. So a working group of about six people that 11 will work with the Center for Collaborative Policy to identify individuals for the focus groups. 12 13 And we'll follow the process that we do for most all of our different stakeholder events, trying to make sure 14 15 we touch all of our stakeholder organizations and, you know, a cross-section of law enforcement throughout the 16 17 state. 18 MEMBER LINDSTROM: Well, I remember way back when --19 this would have been in the nineties -- it was perhaps 20 maybe your original strategic planning group, where you 21 had symposiums around -- I call them a symposium -- but 22 people were asked to come to general areas throughout the 23 state. I remember, I went to one in Coalinga. But that type of effort is off the table, as I understand what 24 25 you're saying. It's going to be just sort of locally

focused and...

MR. GUSTAFSON: No. There will be focus groups held north and south, but those would be smaller groups of people to kind of get some feedback. And we'll have an opportunity to present draft information to them in advance of the meeting.

So there will still be the opportunity to meet and discuss; it just won't be, you know, the 150 people over a three-day event like we did in 2007.

MS. BULLARD: Richard, it is the intent also of staff to present at the next meeting to Commission, several options for how all stakeholders can be involved, and how the input can be brought from a wide area. It's not our intent to cut anyone out of the program.

And we will present to them several options, and they can assist us in directing us as to how they would like to see that follow through. But we will look for all input from our stakeholders in some form or another.

And I'm going to ask Bryon to stay at the table and report on B.14, which is the entry-level dispatcher test delivery.

And this is in light of, if you recall, the public concerns that were expressed at the last Commission meeting. And we have made some really good progress in reinstating that service to the field. And I wanted

Bryon to give you that reassurance.

MR. GUSTAFSON: Sure. So, background: We have not had a contract for a dispatch test delivery since

November of 2012. And in the past, over about 17 years,
we've contracted with Cooperative Personnel Services,

CPS, to deliver that test statewide. And they've done a
great job of doing that.

I mentioned November 2012, we had designed the contract so that it wouldn't line up right with the fiscal year, thinking that that would give us a few extra months to make sure that we got the contract through in light of recent experiences.

Well, what happened was that we had submitted our contract request timely to DGS. There was some review, concerns raised, even though it had been the same contract that we had done for years. And so that process went back and forth between POST staff and the Department of General Services.

And CPS continued to deliver the dispatcher test, just as many of your organizations have continued to deliver training without a contract. And so they continue to do that.

And then in April of this year, 2013, we got to a complete impasse with our ability to meet the Department of General Services' requests for clarification. And

what it eventually came down to was, they found that CPS, in their analysis of the joint powers authority under which CPS operates, that they could not print the test; that they did not have legal authority to carry out print activities.

And we appealed that up to their chief counsel; and that was at that time the determination, that they could not do that.

So in April then we ceased delivery of the test through CPS, and we notified the approximately 100 presenters of dispatcher testing around the state. We had a robust internal discussion, and determined that we didn't want to send out a bulletin that would create a great uproar with every agency; so we sent out a bulletin just to those presenters of the test, and worked between April and September to try and pursue other alternatives. And we were not able to find another option where we could -- for the amount of money we had budgeted -- deliver that test while maintaining our test security, which, as you know, is a real key issue for us.

And then, third, with a timely delivery of the test. So in the past, we have said we can get that test to any testing facility in the state within five business days. And for the money we had and the demands that we were putting on those respondents who were considering

providing the services, we couldn't get it done for the money we had.

Of course, because hiring has been picking up and a number of dispatch centers around the state were feeling the pressure to fill dispatcher vacancies, in September we created a plan to deliver this test in the same way we have delivered the entry-level law enforcement test, the PELLETB, which requires printing on-site.

And you might initially think, "Well, why didn't you think that six months earlier?" And I'll tell you, the reason is that the dispatcher test is about 110 pages per test, and it's coordinated with an audio CD that's printed two different ways, with different colors, so that you can make sure that the test-taker isn't going back to, you know, use information from one answer on another. And so it's a fairly significant printing job.

But we did discuss this with several of our test providers, and they assured us that they would prefer to print their own exam as to not have an exam or to have to pay for it. There are commercially available options.

So given that information, we vetted that internally and with a number of stakeholders, and then moved forward and resumed test orders effective the first of this month.

And I'm pleased to report that the first entity will be testing tomorrow, actually, in the north part of the state. And there are about a dozen tests already scheduled over the next two weeks.

This new system does have a few caveats for us. It increases the demand on POST staff, and so we will have to assess what that entails fully, as we go through the increased testing of dispatchers.

We know that we'll have to do a great deal more shipping because that was something that had been handled previously. CPS had sent out those CDs and the Scantron answer sheets. So that will move in-house.

The very good news is that it will alleviate that contract cost which, last year, cost us over \$200,000. So we know that there will be, as I mentioned, the costs of shipping and what have you. But we estimate that we will incur something over \$150,000 in savings by bringing this work in-house, which we believe we can do with existing personnel.

So that is the update on this.

And like I mentioned, we're having our first test produced this very week, and we will plan to update this group and the Commission at the February meeting to give you feedback on how this new process is working.

Are there any questions?

1	MEMBER CASAS: Who is CPS?
2	MR. GUSTAFSON: That's Cooperative Personnel
3	Services. They are a quasi governmental agency. It's a
4	JPA of a number of local governments and a couple of
5	state agencies.
6	MEMBER CASAS: So up until now well, they
7	proctored the test, they presented it, printed it up, and
8	so forth?
9	MR. GUSTAFSON: They did that for us for nearly two
10	decades, yes.
11	MEMBER CASAS: And what was the cost of that
12	contract?
13	MR. GUSTAFSON: Approximately \$200,000.
14	It goes up and down based on the number of tests
15	that are ordered. But last year, I believe it was a
16	little over \$200,000.
17	MEMBER CASAS: Okay, thank you.
18	MR. GUSTAFSON: You're welcome.
19	MS. BULLARD: Bryon, thank you very much.
20	MR. GUSTAFSON: You're welcome.
21	MS. BULLARD: Moving on, Item B.15 is a resolution
22	from the Commission that will be presented to Mr. Ron
23	Cottingham.
24	And he is resigning from his position as president
25	of PORAC and going back to San Diego Sheriff's

Department. So we will see that he is awarded that resolution from the Commission.

Are there requests for any of the reports on the consent calendar?

(No response)

MS. BULLARD: Then moving on to the regular agenda, the Finance Committee met this morning, and they have supported the funding on requests for Items F and G.

And Item F is a request for additional presentations for our LEDS instructor update training. And this will also include updates to two of the manuals that are affiliated with this training, to the costs not to exceed \$61,500.

And Item G is a request to reallocate funds that were already approved by the Commission to be originally utilized as a critical-thinking pilot course with Oakland Police Department.

Oakland Police Department has notified us that they will not be able to participate in that pilot because they have other, more pressing, critical, court-ordered training that they are going to have to do. And we found that if we reallocated that fund to do ten additional AICC presentations, it's going to help us to clear up a backlog of some students. So we will look for a qualified presenter for those ten additional

```
1
     presentations.
2
          This will be an amount not to exceed $145,998.
3
          Would the Committee wish to have a report on either
     of those items?
4
5
           (No response)
          MS. BULLARD: Are there requests for any other items
6
7
     that are on the Commission regular agenda?
8
          (No response)
9
          MS. BULLARD: Then I'm out of here.
10
          MEMBER BONNER: Go play.
11
          CHAIR BOCK: Would anybody like to entertain a
     motion to support the items that were discussed today?
12
13
          MEMBER LINDSTROM: Lindstrom. So moved.
          MEMBER CASAS: Second it. Casas.
14
15
          CHAIR BOCK: Okay, any other discussions on it?
          All those in favor?
16
17
           (A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)
18
          CHAIR BOCK: Opposed?
19
           (No response)
20
          CHAIR BOCK: Abstain?
21
           (No response)
22
          CHAIR BOCK: Thank you.
23
          All right, at this time we'll have the presentation
     for the e-Student Workbook.
24
          MS. BULLARD: It is my pleasure to introduce to you
25
```

```
1
     Mr. Ted Fitzpatrick who is one of our brilliant systems
2
     analysts with Computer Services Bureau. And he has been
3
     really hands-on with the development of this project.
     But I think you're going to be very impressed with it.
4
5
     And this is the item that was covered in B.4.
          MR. STRESAK: Ted, I believe you have other news to
6
7
     share before you begin?
8
          MS. BULLARD: Oh, Ted. Can we see pictures?
9
          MR. STRESAK: Oh, you do have pictures?
10
          MS. BULLARD: We have to put pictures.
11
          MR. FITZPATRICK: I have pictures. I do.
12
          Pardon the interruption, folks.
13
          MS. BULLARD: This is important.
          He can't move ahead before we do this. I'm sorry.
14
15
          MR. FITZPATRICK: It's not mirroring up there. But
16
     as you can all see, here we go, my baby girl.
17
           (Applause)
18
          MR. FITZPATRICK: Thank you.
19
          MS. BULLARD: Who will someday be using one of these
20
     e-Workbooks.
21
          MR. FITZPATRICK: Yes. Probably tomorrow, actually.
22
          All right. So my name is Ted Fitzpatrick. And on
23
     behalf of POST's Computer Services and Basic Training
24
     Bureau, I want to share with you some exciting -- an
25
     exciting milestone that we've achieved on our e-Workbooks
```

project. We have completed our first fully functional digital textbook version of a POST Learning Domain student workbook for LD-19.

And let me get that up on the screen there.

We are also in action towards migrating the entire set of workbooks into the new format as well.

This fall, in California's educational sector, we have seen unprecedented endeavors towards integrating iPad hardware into the classroom as the mobile form factor for the delivery of educational content. And in places like L.A. County, San Diego County, iPads have been deployed to huge user-bases of students among all grade levels. And in Sacramento, where I live, at least one school has transitioned completely from hardcopy textbooks to iPads for their textbooks.

So the digital textbook for the students, it's a quantum leap in both convenience and educational value over hardcopy textbooks.

The Basic Training Bureau at POST collaborates with subject-matter experts statewide to publish over 40 student workbooks that communicate the fundamental -- the minimum fundamental knowledge base that's required for entry-level peace officers.

And where today, it requires a box of paper to reproduce these student workbooks. With an iPad, they

all fit on one lightweight device.

And beyond that, a digital textbook can provide features that hardcopy can't, such as multimedia and interactive content.

So let's take a quick preview.

This is a software program called "iBooks" from Apple. And I tapped on the screen, the cover icon for this digital textbook, what we are naming "e-Workbook," to navigate into the workbook. And as I mentioned, this is LD-19.

I can tap the screen of the iPad to call a menu from where I can navigate to the table of contents for this particular e-Workbook.

It pops up thumbnails along the bottom.

The iBooks textbook technology enables text highlighting, so I can tap and hold my finger on a range of text. I can also tap that text to enter into a note-taking mode, all very easy. Legendary ease of use.

I mentioned interactive content. Here, we have a diagram for perception-reaction time, to illustrate the concept. And a student reader can adjust the speedometer needle with their finger and view a corresponding perception-reaction time, of distance traveled.

What makes the digital textbook technology, especially the iBooks textbook technology, so compelling,

is the ability to provide offline high-quality video 1 2 content. So this provides demonstration videos that 3 really enhance the educational value of the textbook 4 beyond a hard copy. 5 And here, I've navigated to the page that holds the demonstration video for shuffle steering. 6 7 And... VIDEO ANNOUNCER: "The driver's hands are 8 9 positioned at eight o'clock and four o'clock, 10 or nine o'clock and three o'clock, on the wheel 11 as much as possible. The hands shuffle up and 12 down on the wheel, never crossing the twelve 13 o'clock or six o'clock position, as the driver 14 executes a turn. 15 Both hands move up and down the wheel, parallel to each other, until touching at the 16 17 twelve o'clock position or the six o'clock 18 position, at which time the transfer of control 19 from one hand to the other occurs." 20 MR. FITZPATRICK: So the demonstration of the video. 21 And one thing to notice about the quality of the 22 video, is how fast and instantaneous the actual smoothness of the video. 23 24 Of note: You do not have to be connected to the 25 Internet to be able to watch the video.

1	And so this oh, another interactive diagram, here
2	for the points of a turn. And so we can navigate through
3	the points of the turn, text denoting information on the
4	various points.
5	So this is the LD-19 student workbook as an
6	e-Workbook, based upon Apple's iBooks textbook
7	technology.
8	This technology runs on iPads. And as of yesterday,
9	it was announced that you can actually also read these
10	textbooks on a Mac desktop computer as well.
11	So that is the LD-19.
12	We are moving forward with transitioning the rest of
13	the student workbooks into the same format. And we're
14	looking forward to engaging with academy staff towards
15	accomplishing widespread adoption of the technology.
16	Any questions?
17	MEMBER CASAS: So this is only Apple-specific?
18	MR. FITZPATRICK: This particular solution actually
19	enables us to produce the multimedia interactive textbook
20	that runs on Apple.
21	We can also produce a PDF version, very similar in
22	nature, the same content, that does not have a video.
23	But you can view that cross platform.
24	MEMBER LINDSTROM: Lindstrom.
25	I guess I'm not clear on who is going to own these

1 iPads. 2 MR. FITZPATRICK: You know, that actually is 3 something that we would like to engage with academy staff on their plans that way. 4 We are excited to be able to provide the training 5 content in this best-of-class solution that has so much 6 7 promise for being a valuable tool. 8 The ownership of the iPads, there are many models 9 for that. Sometimes schools are, you know, choosing to 10 own the iPads and have them as loaners. 11 As of yesterday, Apple dropped the price of their base-level iPad -- which is this one, the iPad mini, 12 13 smaller form factor -- to \$299. So it's in the ballpark of, you know, what people are paying for the Kinko's hard 14 15 сору. MEMBER LINDSTROM: Well, now, that's fine when 16 17 you're in a classroom setting and you have WiFi available 18 to you. 19 MR. FITZPATRICK: Yes. 20 MEMBER LINDSTROM: But, you know, the purposes of 21 the workbooks, I think, where people are able to use that 22 device when they're not in the classroom. 23 And the \$299 at that point is not the big expense. It's monthly, if you're not going to be where there's a 24

WiFi, and there has to be a monthly fee attached to that

25

1 for access. 2 MEMBER FLANNAGAN: But once it's on your laptop, you 3 don't need to be on WiFi, do you? MR. FITZPATRICK: Yes, and certainly -- the iPad is 4 5 a mobile device. You know, built into that, to the idea of mobile device, is that the content is loaded onto the 6 7 device and available to you when you do not have Internet 8 access. 9 And, granted --10 MEMBER LINDSTROM: Okay, so it's totally loaded? 11 MR. FITZPATRICK: Yep. Yes, that's right. 12 Now, what we're envisioning is providing the 13 e-Workbooks for download from a POST Web site, which 14 would mean at some point, the iPad would need the network connectivity, or a sync to a desktop system via a 15 16 hardwire or something. MEMBER FLANNAGAN: Who owns the product, though, 17 18 once -- if the academies have it or put it on their iPad? 19 Once a student downloads it on their iPad, do they now 20 own the product? 21 MR. FITZPATRICK: Well, you know, I'm personally not 22 a copyright lawyer. However, you know, we actually --23 you know, the student -- you know, we publish the student 24 workbooks. So, you know, we have copyright. 25 MR. STRESAK: This would be similar to the student

just buying the workbook. We own the content. We own the copyright.

Should a student decide to become a little errant and go out and sell it, it would be a copyright violation. But ultimately, it is just -- the parallel is: They buy it, they own it. And we're not even really at that decision point of whether a student can access it through download at our cost.

This does facilitate changes in workbooks rather instantaneously. Case law and other dynamic issues that we can change the download material very quickly.

But let me give you a bigger view from the balcony on this issue, is that it's not the intent -- I'll talk in terms of vision. So it's not our intent to try to automate all 42 workbooks. All that would accomplish would be automating a manual process. This is all part of making pizza. You've heard me use this analogy, perhaps. This is the pepperoni. So the other part of the pizza, the other part of the dough would be the POST testing system.

Now, after Rio Hondo, we looked at our testing system, and we said 26 tests might not be the proper way to test. Maybe we could more effectively test in consolidating tests into fewer tests. Maybe, eight, ten, 15 tests, we're not sure.

But ultimately, the workbooks would parallel that same method, so that we would no longer have 42 workbooks. Perhaps we have more condensed volumes, with more event information, versus fractions of content of law enforcement activity.

And so ultimately, the pizza and the dough -- or the dough will come together with the sauce, and so on and so forth. And we should have it, and it can be a delivery system, a compressed number of workbooks that parallel the format for testing, that facilitate better learning, that allows a student to access these links to look at shuffle steering, to look and see what a sulfur-dioxide cloud looks like, to look at -- et cetera, et cetera.

And, you know, when you talk to the kids today, they say, you know, "Mr. Stresak, why are we using these hardcopy books?" you know. It's part of a generational movement.

So I think ultimately they'll benefit us. But looking down the road, it will be the convergence of everything come together with the POST testing system.

Does that make sense?

MEMBER LINDSTROM: That's the area that I see tremendous advancement, in the students' ability to click on these links and see things like we just saw with the

shuffle steering. 1 MR. STRESAK: I think it would be a tremendous 2 3 benefit to the classroom, a tremendous benefit to competency-based instruction; and ultimately, a 4 tremendous move towards the future. 5 MEMBER BONNER: I have a -- Bonner -- with just a 6 7 comment or question. 8 On the notebook part of it -- I mean, the student 9 has this book, but he can or she can actually type in 10 their notes. 11 MR. FITZPATRICK: Yes. 12 MEMBER BONNER: So in a way, if it is like the book, 13 and that student needs to -- at least I would want to keep that as a future reference. So how does that work 14 15 out? MR. FITZPATRICK: The iBooks can, of course -- you 16 17 can have the iBooks on -- if the student owns the device, 18 they can keep their notes on the device. 19 On the Apple iPad, there is actually a separate app 20 altogether, a ton of them, for note-taking. However, the 21 notes in the iBooks textbook, this special multimedia 22 digital textbook format can be easily exported from 23 textbook for backup --24 MEMBER BONNER: Okay. 25 MR. FITZPATRICK: -- and reference.

1	And I can demonstrate that.
2	MEMBER BONNER: But if they used the annotate, then
3	basically, they have a second copy with their notes on
4	it?
5	MR. FITZPATRICK: Correct.
6	MEMBER BONNER: Okay.
7	MR. FITZPATRICK: So here, I'm highlighting a range
8	of text and making a note.
9	Then the note a little sticky appears in the left
10	margin to indicate that there was a note associated with
11	that highlight.
12	If I navigate if I tap on the screen to navigate
13	into I just used the menu button at the top to
14	navigate into a view called "My Notes."
15	MEMBER BONNER: Yes.
16	MR. FITZPATRICK: And so it jumped to the note that
17	I just created.
18	And as you can see, it presents the text that I had
19	highlighted, along with actually, I typed where it
20	says "note," that's what I just typed, so
21	I can then, from this view, use a button to I
22	just tapped to select this. And as you can see, there is
23	a button near the top of this screen that says "e-mail."
24	So that is the procedure for yes, for that.
25	CHAIR BOCK: That's pretty cool. I mean, that's the

1	bottom line.
2	MR. FITZPATRICK: It's kind of nice. Yes.
3	VICE CHAIR BEITEY: Beitey.
4	Ted, who actually created this iBook?
5	MR. FITZPATRICK: Apple created the hardware and the
6	software that made it possible. And then, you know, BTB
7	works with the you know, collaborates with the SMEs to
8	the content.
9	This is the LD-19 workbook. And then POST, you
10	know, we created this, so
11	VICE CHAIR BEITEY: Approximately how long did it
12	take, and can you estimate the cost of this one LD?
13	MR. FITZPATRICK: The cost in terms of how long
14	it took, several months. This was the first one that
15	we had done. So there were additional architectural
16	issues to work out in how we were going to approach it,
17	in terms of, like, a desktop publishing solution. We
18	needed to structure the file in a certain way that it
19	would be optimized for the entire set of books.
20	In terms of cost, I haven't done those calculations.
21	So off the top of my head
22	MR. STRESAK: Colin, would it be fair Colin,
23	about eight six to eight months of development on
24	this?
25	MR. O'KEEFE: I want to keep the Computer Services

Bureau.

Can you hear me okay?

Ted's being a little bit modest. He created the workbook based on BTB material.

It did take about six months. And as he mentioned, there were a lot of architectural decisions that had to be made. And that would be things like fonts and layout that we anticipate will propagate through the entire set of books. So a lot of those decisions don't have to be made again.

And the tactic that we're trying to follow to speed up the subsequent books is, we're actually going to bring a vendor in. Now that Ted has worked out the hard part and come up with a template for how we would like these things to look and function, we can turn over the content that BTB produces, to a vendor, and give them Ted's parameters for how we'd like these things made. And we anticipate cranking them out under contract much more quickly.

VICE CHAIR BEITEY: Okay, thank you.

The next question is, obviously not everyone is going to have these iBooks initially. So you're going to have academies with the hard copy, and then you'll have students with these that have a lot more animation and other things in them. And Bob had mentioned the term

"better learning." 1 2 Is there any plan to see what kind of difference 3 there is in learning based on the old books and these 4 iBooks? MR. FITZPATRICK: We haven't put anything scientific 5 in place yet in terms of a plan to evaluate that yet. 6 7 MR. STRESAK: I would think that the first metric 8 would be the test scores. 9 VICE CHAIR BEITEY: Yes. 10 MR. FITZPATRICK: Okay, it's sort of a natural 11 metric there. And, you know, although these digital textbooks, 12 13 they are, again, available as PDFs. So the PDF version will look very similar to the digital textbook. The PDF 14 15 just won't have the video or the interactive elements 16 there. 17 VICE CHAIR BEITEY: So you plan on all of the future 18 LD iBooks to have -- or e-Books to have animation and 19 more --20 MR. FITZPATRICK: Yes, yes. The initial phase 21 roll-out, perhaps not as much of that stuff as in this 22 first LD-19. However, you know, that would be the vision 23 for the future, is to take full advantage of all of the features that this platform provides. 24 25 VICE CHAIR BEITEY: So do you have a ballpark trial

for the day when all the LDs are on e-books? 1 2 MR. FITZPATRICK: We're targeting the middle of next 3 year for rollout, first edition, of the LDs as the iBooks textbooks. And then from there, just evolve them 4 5 incrementally to multimedia. MR. O'KEEFE: And if I could add to Ted's answer, 6 7 which I agree with. As Director Stresak mentioned, we 8 are working with Basic Training Bureau to support the 9 new format of the learning domains. We don't want to 10 just retread the existing paper workbooks into an electronic format. We're working collaboratively with 11 12 them to publish the new set in the new format that they 13 need. 14 So we're working together on that. So there are 15 two different deadlines or schedules involved: One is the condensation of the learning domains; and then the 16 17 second is actual system development. And so that when 18 Ted says about a year or so, that's the system development portion of it. 19 20 VICE CHAIR BEITEY: My last question, if I may, at 21 least for now. 22 Has there been any cost analysis on what this means, bottom line, to POST budget versus the hardcopy books and 23 24 E-books? 25 MR. O'KEEFE: There has been some budget analysis

1 focused on making it kind of cost-neutral with the 2 existing solution out of the consumers. The people who 3 print these things shouldn't have to spend a lot more money in order to take advantage of this solution. So 5 we kind of drew a hard line at the existing cost, which is about \$300, \$320 for a print-on-demand job. And we 6 7 set that as an upper limit of what this new solution 8 should cost per user. 9 And then as far as in-house work, we do anticipate 10 that the contract for creating the remaining Learning 11 Domain workbooks is going to run probably somewhere 12

between \$100,000 to \$175,000. So that's the analysis we have.

Have I fully answered your question there?

VICE CHAIR BEITEY: Yes. Thank you.

MEMBER FLANNAGAN: Question.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You said this will go across format to different platforms?

MR. FITZPATRICK: Yes. So the solution, the iBooks -- what's called an iBooks textbook is the file format, Apple's solution that will run on an iPad, ideally, as well as, as of yesterday, and you can also view these multimedia textbooks on a Mac desktop. That said, that is one file format, the iBooks. That is easily converted into a PDF, a traditional, you know, PDF

1 that can be viewed cross-platform. The PDF version has all of the text and the static 2 3 pictures --MEMBER FLANNAGAN: Not the video? 4 5 MR. FITZPATRICK: Not the video, yes. MEMBER CASAS: Can you also highlight, as you 6 7 demonstrated here, with notes? 8 MR. FITZPATRICK: There are PDF readers that can 9 highlight, yes. 10 MEMBER CASAS: And attach notes, like you did? 11 MR. FITZPATRICK: There are -- yeah, there are many 12 PDF readers, yes. 13 MEMBER CASAS: But I guess the question is, does it require another application to be downloaded in order to 14 15 make that work on a PDF file? For example, I just tried what you did on the --16 17 what you demonstrated, I just tried it on the iBooks and 18 it's not working -- is that only specific to the 19 e-Workbooks, the highlighting and making a note and 20 attaching it? 21 MR. FITZPATRICK: The iBooks textbook might have a 22 particular specific flavor of that functionality. 23 Highlighting and note-taking is fairly ubiquitous with 24 many, what they call a class of software called a 25 "reader," which is what this represents.

1 iBooks software can also read PDFs. And so the 2 highlighting mechanism for a PDF might be slightly --3 cosmetically, might be slightly different than what it is for the iBooks textbook itself. 4 5 MEMBER LINDSTROM: Lindstrom. I guess one more question comes to mind. It's 6 7 because I'm so inept at technology. 8 When you download a workbook -- say, LD 19 -- and 9 you go to a link, when you download that program to the 10 iPad, it also downloads the link to where you can see the 11 action on that, too? MR. FITZPATRICK: Yes, that's right. You can think 12 13 of it in terms of like a Microsoft Word file or a PDF, you know, that transfers from one location -- say, a hard 14 15 drive to a different computer. And it's the same deal going to a Web site out there, you know, on a computer 16 17 out there, at POST or whatever; and then we're able to 18 tap or click on a hyperlink, and that will cause whatever 19 Web browser we're using to download that file to our 20 local device, say, an iPad. 21 So that's what we're planning for these books as 22 well. 23 CHAIR BOCK: I just want to say, this is amazing technology. I love technology, even though I seem to 24 25 destroy every piece of technology I have. I just wish

1 this was available when I went back through the academy. 2 MR. FITZPATRICK: Yes, it's pretty exciting. Yes, 3 it's pretty cool stuff. MR. STRESAK: And I'd like to add a comment to Ted's 4 5 modesty. George, as you were talking, that's exactly what 6 7 I was thinking as Colin already alluded to. 8 You were modest in your assessment of this. You 9 worked hard. You worked within significant time 10 constraints. You worked with me looking over your shoulder. And you did a great job. So you're to be 11 commended for your efforts. 12 13 (Applause) 14 CHAIR BOCK: All right, at this time, we'll do 15 Advisory Committee member reports. We'll start with Beitey. 16 17 VICE CHAIR BEITEY: We had a meeting last month of 18 the State Chancellors Public Safety Education Advisory 19 Committee. And one of the items that we discussed that 20 definitely concerns POST is the issue of repeatability 21 in community-college courses. 22 The State, especially with the budget issues over 23 the last few years, has become increasing reluctant to offer the ability for a student to take the same course 24 25 more than once in a community college. And because a lot

of our in-service courses are repeatable classes or need to be repeated by officers to maintain their CPT eligibility, we have faced that issue, and I'm sure a lot of you who work in community colleges have the same issue in front of you.

So we, to this point, have been able to argue that these classes are mandated, quote, unquote, by the agencies and/or POST; and that's why we should be exempt from not being able to repeat these classes. So we are continuing to discuss that issue and somehow get some kind of a final absolution from the State Chancellor's Office to allow us to continue taking those -- or repeating those classes for our peace officers.

That's all I have.

Thank you.

CHAIR BOCK: Elmo?

MEMBER BANNING: I have talked to a number of training coordinators over the last couple weeks, specifically since the reimbursement notification went out about the Plan IVs and some of the other contracts that have been -- and I guess the only thing that they -- from the public standpoint, that they're very concerned about, is that it seems like everybody is just now getting back on their feet. Training money is becoming a little looser, hiring more people. And this is just a

1 devastating blow to a lot of agencies. 2 I know lots of sheriffs and lots of chiefs, they 3 don't get the money directly back to their department. It goes back into the general fund. But for those 4 5 agencies that actually get benefit, direct reimbursement, or allowed to utilize the reimbursement funds, this is --6 7 this just cut them off at their knees. They feel like they're just climbing back up, and they've got hit again. 8 9 And I've had three larger agencies ask me to convey 10 that, and not only to the Committee but to the Commission 11 as well. There's got to be maybe some other ground --12 another work-around. I know it doesn't look promising. 13 But maybe we'll rob a bank. They don't do any time for it now. I don't know... 14 15 Thank you. 16 MEMBER BERNARD: No report. 17 MEMBER BIDOU: Nothing to report. 18 MEMBER YOUNG: Brad Young with CAAJE, no report at 19 this meeting. 20 MEMBER BONNER: Ed Bonner. Nothing to report. 21 I had to think about those ... 22 MEMBER CASAS: Mario Casas. No official report from 23 CCLEA. 24 But let me take this moment to let everybody know 25 that I recently was invited to attend a committee meeting

at the POST Administrative offices involving the review of an important issue to most law enforcement in California, and that's dealing with the PPE fit test -- mask-fit testing and aerosol training.

And POST tasked Steve Craig with this duty to actually deal with this issue. And now we're asking for some standards or recommendations from POST as to what training we need to do in order to become compliant with OSHA standards.

And I've got to tell you, I attended -- I brought our new training officer up as well. And in the committee, a lot of professionals there. They brought everything to the plate.

And I believe -- is Mr. Ziglar here?

MS. BULLARD: Yes.

MEMBER CASAS: Okay, Bob introduced himself as the supervisor for the -- Steve's supervisor and coordinator for this whole thing and -- outstanding work. Absolutely outstanding. I mean, we spent three, four, five, six -- almost seven hours in this room, with everybody we needed to have, in order to accomplish this task, which is monumental, by the way. It really is a pain. We even had an OSHA representative there, if you can believe that.

And I think what has come out of this is going to

1	be tremendously a lot of help to the agencies and the
2	training officers specifically and coordinators to
3	help finally define what needs to be done in order to
4	remain compliant with the fit testing and the PPE issues.
5	So, Bob, thank you very much for allowing that, and,
6	Mr. Ziglar, thank you for coordinating that. And my
7	thanks goes out to Steve Craig for running the show.
8	You're doing a phenomenal job.
9	MS. BULLARD: It's my understanding also that that
10	publication will finally be up on the POST Web site
11	within the next week, maybe.
12	MR. ZIGLAR: I hope by Wednesday of next week.
13	MR. STRESAK: Kudos. Good job.
14	MS. BULLARD: Thank you, Mario, for that.
15	MR. STRESAK: And, Mario, thank you for your
16	comments.
17	And that the correlation between the term "Ziglar"
18	and "outstanding" seems to surface quite frequently.
19	MR. ZIGLAR: That's good to hear.
20	MEMBER FLANNAGAN: And with regard to PORAC, next
21	month in fact, in three weeks will be the annual
22	PORAC conference held at L.A. Live in downtown
23	Los Angeles. We're looking forward for an exciting time.
24	A couple Kings games have been scheduled for us to
25	participate in.

And it was mentioned earlier, our state president, Ron Cottingham is stepping down as president after ten years and going back to work for a living.

MEMBER LINDSTROM: Richard Lindstrom for CADA.

As George mentioned over there, we're having some issues with our Advanced Officer Training classes. And I think many of these have started with the accreditation standards of colleges. We've dealt with the mandatory aspect of that on our applications. We were allowed to do a one-page application for our AOT classes. And we just -- they satisfied us with just allowing us to mark a mandatory for training over there.

There's another issue. Kevin Mizner from the College of Sequoias is having tremendous problems with his AOT classes down there because they are asking -- the college is asking -- their students applied to go to one of his advanced officer classes, to see a counselor, and also to, in some cases, take a placement test, like, if they're a first-time student. And he put that out on a LISTSERV to all academy directors around California.

As a matter of fact, at our last consortium meeting, Kevin has developed a LISTSERV for all academy directors. And we're using that quite a bit now for different issues that arise at different academies around the state.

Hopefully, his problem will be short-lived. Because

as far as I know, some of those issues are the only college in the state that is making those requirements right now. And they probably have some new personnel. But it all stems back to the accreditation committees that San Francisco, I understand, is having issues with.

Contract problems with POST. There's several academies that do have contracts, including George's academy and our academy, and several others. And the Department of General Services is driving us nuts.

Now, I know Bob put out a -- Mr. Stresak put out a memo to cover his rear-end about "Don't put on any classes until you've got the actual contract in hand back from after Mr. Reed has signed it and it goes back to the Department of General Services." But it was a no-win situation for us, canceling classes. And we did have to cancel a major narcotics class, and we had to cancel a gang class.

And, you know, the hotels that we had lined up, penciled in for those two-week periods, have been -they didn't appreciate that, let's just say it that way.

And so we went ahead; and since Elmo is one of our instructors of the class, we're -- I stuck my neck out, and we presented a major narcotics class in Sacramento right now, as we speak. But we don't have the contract back, signed from POST, although they sent us an initial

1 contract that we signed, and went before our Board of 2 Trustees, signed off. 3 So I guess my neck is on the line for the class that 4 we have going right now. 5 Other than that, that's very much a problem, not only with our college, but all contracts in the state 6 7 that are going forward with -- I don't know if it's new 8 personnel or what the issue is at the Department of 9 General Services. But they're making it miserable on us. And that's my report. 10 11 MR. STRESAK: And I would like to respond, Mr. Lindstrom. 12 13 Thank you for your concerns. And I appreciate it, and we remain sensitive to the disruption that this 14 15 continues to cause to training delivery service in the field. 16 17 Bryon Gustafson talked to you earlier about the 18 service we provide to present public safety dispatcher 19 tests. CPS has done that for decades. They copy them, 20 they mail them out, they send them out, and it's worked 21 seamlessly. All of a sudden, we run into disruptive 22 times. 23 Let me give you an example of the circuitous effort 24 that just was entailed, and that's just a small example, 25 and perhaps it will shed some continued light. And I

think you're already aware -- I know that I'm preaching to the choir on this issue.

But initially, we were confronted with the fact that a contractor who had been printing a test for us for years and years and years could no longer legally print the test. So we were forced to go to the Office of State Publishing. And we met with the Office of State Publishing not once, not twice, but multiple times. And the objective was to either have them adopt the job, or to give us a waiver, to say that we can go ahead and print.

They initially said that they could print the job.

And so we waited and negotiated a little further. And then after a period of time, the Office of State

Publishing said, "No, you know what? We don't want to get involved in the fulfillment, we don't want to get involved in the printing. We'll give you a waiver."

So now we were left with CPS was deemed not legal to print the documents. Where do we go? We were forced by existing law to look at other state entities.

We identified California Transportation, Caltrans.

Caltrans says, "We'll gladly print these tests for you.

We have a printing facility."

We entered into negotiations with Caltrans, and consumed another 30, 60, 90 days; and all of a sudden

Caltrans said, "You know what? We just don't want to do this."

So another agency, a major law-enforcement agency stepped up to the plate and said, "We can do it." But the estimate to do the job was almost four times what we are currently paying CPS. Actually, about three times. So from about \$170,000 to about \$540,000 for the exact, same service.

So we went back to the Department of General Services and said, "This doesn't make any sense. We're going to be paying exponentially greater amounts of money for the same service. And in the meantime, the field is frozen. We cannot deliver our test."

Ultimately, as Bureau Chief Gustafson alluded to, that we decided that we would download the PDF to the requesting agencies to provide service.

But that should give you some small insight into some of the circuitous efforts that we've been engaged in, day in and day out, to try to negotiate with the Department of General Services and to alleviate this.

We're going to make another run on legislative -another legislative effort. I think you're aware, the
last one we were not successful for a variety of reasons,
none of which falls to the blame of POST staff. A
significant effort was made in the halls of the Capitol

to try to move that legislation forward, but it fell prey to other forces.

And then the letter itself was not necessarily to cover anybody, it's just, I personally felt that after the wake of the SLI delivery, where we had many, many dedicated employees incurring thousands of dollars of debt, some of them up to five digits of debt, some of them on credit cards, accruing interest rates of 10, 15, 20 percent. And we couldn't pay. It was just an untenable, unethical situation. And that I wanted to make sure that by notifying everybody not to go forward, I didn't want to put you, as a presenter, in that position, to have to face pitchforks and torches at your door with employees that were hardworking and dedicated and weren't getting paid.

So it wasn't necessarily a self-serving effort. It was an effort to protect you as a presenter.

We also allowed presenters, if they wanted to absorb that liability, to go ahead and deliver the course, with the understanding that we did not condone, we did not endorse, and we do not encourage anybody to do that, but if it was their decision at the local level.

And in some cases, a few presenters did do that.

That created secondary residual effects that we weren't even aware would happen.

For example, one presenter abided by our letter not to present the course. Another presenter did not abide by the letter and decided to present the course. And we received complaints from the first presenter, saying, "This is cutting into my market, that Presenter B is taking some of my market from Presenter A."

So it's created just a smorgasbord of unpleasantries that we're trying to deal with.

So I felt your pain. I remain sympathetic to it.

We know that it created issues with disrupting contracts with hotels that were set long-term in advance. And, once again, the logic of having a contract that's been decades-old, all of a sudden to be deemed not acceptable, just baffles my mind.

I meet on a regular bases with the chief counsel of Department of General Services. And believe me, some of the conversations are not real pleasant. But we're just doing our best at this point.

Ultimately, we're kind of at an intersection, if you look at it in terms of further unpleasantries. With our current budget issue, we're on a budgetary track to reduce services to the field. However, with the Department of General Services review, those services that we can provide are being protracted and inhibited. So we're cutting back on services. Then the ones we're

1 trying to provide are difficult, too. So I'm doing my 2 best. So we'll continue to work with it. 3 If you have ideas, if you have any thoughts, I'm 4 willing to hear them. 5 Once again, I need to give credit to California State Sheriffs, Cal Chiefs, PORAC, and CPOA. They have 6 7 come forward with support. We're working on perhaps some 8 potential ideas. But at this point, we are where we are, 9 SO... 10 MEMBER LINDSTROM: Well, be assured that that 11 comment about covering your butt was said in the most 12 friendly of terms --13 MR. STRESAK: I know. I understand that. MEMBER LINDSTROM: -- because we've had the 14 15 discussion about this before, and just so there's no 16 misunderstanding. 17 MR. STRESAK: No offense taken. I understand. 18 But I just wanted to -- and I just feel it important 19 for everybody to be aware of some of these issues. We 20 discuss them here. They're kind of the tip of the 21 iceberg when I describe the rest of the efforts that 22 staff has been going through to try to advance the cause 23 of law-enforcement training. 24 MEMBER SPAGNOLI: That's it? 25 MEMBER LINDSTROM: That's it.

MEMBER SPAGNOLI: Okay. I was waiting for more. 1 2 MEMBER LINDSTROM: Okay. No, no, no. 3 MEMBER SPAGNOLI: CPOA is hosting the leadership summit and Expo November 4th through 6th in Ontario. 4 The Expo is sold out. And there are 15 hours of POST 5 training that will be presented. So we hope you can make 6 7 it. 8 MEMBER WALTZ: CAPTO previously had three areas, 9 or chapter regions. And that's now been increased to 10 ten regions that correspond with the POST consultants. And so as presidents get installed into each of those 11 chapters, they'll be reaching out to the consultants and 12 13 inviting them to their meetings, which they're generally every other month, depending on the chapter. And that 14 15 way, they can get some more face-to-face time and more familiarity and more communication. 16 17 CHAIR BOCK: And to piggyback on what Flannagan 18 said, coming up for Specialized is the PORAC conference, 19 and while there, going to have a chance to meet with a 20 lot of the Specialized Law Enforcement representatives. 21 So that will be interesting. 22 Anything else? 23 (No response) 24 CHAIR BOCK: Okay, how about from the commissioners? 25 Any comments?

1 (No response) 2 CHAIR BOCK: Okay. Old and New Business. 3 MS. BULLARD: Am I "Old"? 4 CHAIR BOCK: No way. 5 MS. BULLARD: I'm "Old." I want to remind the Committee that the nominations 6 7 are still open for the POST Training Excellence and "Bud" 8 Hawkins awards. We are going to send out a reminder to 9 the field November 1st; and the nominations will stay 10 open until December 1st. So talk it up amongst the 11 field. Our next meeting in February is when the Advisory 12 13 Committee will meet that half day ahead of time. So be sure and remember that when you're planning your 14 15 calendars. And we will go over the nominations at that time, for you to make a determination of your 16 recommendation to the Commission. And that's the 17 Tuesday, February 18th; and we start at three o'clock. 18 19 We have one item that is not up there that we're going to put under "New Business." Many of you have 20 21 heard about our "Building a Career Pipeline" program 22 which is just a phenomenal program that reaches out to 23 fifth to twelfth grade students, and provides them with the guidance and the training to make choices that will 24

help them have an option to get into law enforcement or

25

public safety careers, that they might not have by making those bad choices earlier in time.

And our Center For Leadership Development Bureau,

Kyritis -- Greg Kyritis -- has been remarkable in helping

agencies learn how to build these programs themselves.

I would like to ask R.C. Smith, who is the bureau chief, to come up and extend an invitation to the Committee regarding the Pipeline program.

MR. SMITH: Good afternoon.

The first rule when you're the very last item on the agenda and you're an add-on, is make it quick. So I will.

I did want to just very briefly, for those who are unaware of the program, give you a brief background.

The POST Career Pipeline concept is recognizing the fact that over the next five years, we're going to see a landslide number of retirements. And we're going to have to look at recruitment issues that, you know, are significant for a number of agencies. And the problem with that is the number of those that are not qualified once the background is conducted. You make the conditional offer, you start the background, and you're disqualifying an inordinate number of candidates.

And so POST looked at what we can do to start really addressing that problem. And programs that start at the

high-school level aren't going to cut it because by the time these kids are in high school, they've already made personal decisions that lead them down the road for disqualification.

So this is a program that prepares school age, and starting in the elementary school years, for service in public safety. And it's a partnership and a collaboration with school districts, law enforcement, fire service, local business communities, chambers of commerce, community colleges, police athletic leagues — anybody who fits that particular community, to help serve, come together on the schools' advisory councils.

And there's several different models, and there's models that we've partnered up with in the state. And very quickly, they run from charter schools, being run with San Bernardino Police Department, a Magnet school in cooperation with LAPD, and alternative schools of choice. And there's the Fairfield-Suisun Public Safety Academy model.

And I wanted to talk about that one, because that's the real reason why I'm here, is to invite everyone to a POST special seminar that's going to be occurring at the Fairfield-Suisun Public Safety Academy.

They opened last year. They started off with 400 students from ages -- I mean, from grades five

1 through eight. If everyone's familiar with the annual 2 performance index for schools, anything above 800 is 3 considered exceptional. The school in their very first year received a 4 5 940 score on the API, which is just remarkable, particularly when a lot of these kids -- 57 percent of 6 7 them -- come from disadvantaged homes, and qualify for 8 free or reduced-cost lunches. 9 This year, they have started with 500 students, and 10 increased -- they're going to take the grades through to 11 ninth grade now. One of the things that I think that we are real 12 13 proud of and interested in, is the fact that they have -promoting positive character development by teaming up 14 15 with the Character Counts Program from Michael Josephson's Institute of Ethics. 16 17 So, again, just a very quick overview. I'm happy 18 to answer any of the questions afterwards, if you have 19 specific questions. But I think under Tab 10 -- is that 20 correct? 21 MS. BULLARD: "L." 22 MR. SMITH: Tab L. Okay, Tab L, there's actually an 23 invitation in there for the POST special seminar. It's 24 November 13th from 9:00 to 2:00 at the Fairfield-Suisun 25 Public Safety Academy. Reimbursement will be through

1 letter of agreement. Michael Josephson will be there, 2 speaking to how they're partnering with this program. 3 And I think it's a remarkable opportunity to see how some model of this Career Pipeline can fit in almost any 5 jurisdiction. 6 So with that, are there any questions? 7 MEMBER CASAS: Yes. So this is more of a long-term 8 issue. Is there anything for short-term? 9 MR. SMITH: No, this is absolutely. We're starting them young, in fifth grade, and we're trying to carry 10 11 them through and build them towards -- whether it ultimately leads to a career in law enforcement or other 12 13 public-safety career, that's a win; but it's also a win 14 just to have an environment where kids have the structure 15 that they need to learn, where they can, you know -again, you reach a 940 API score in their first year 16 17 as a school is remarkable, where teachers have the 18 opportunity to teach instead of being disciplinarians. It's been real widely accepted by the community there in 19 20 Fairfield. It's been a real win-win. 21 Walt Tibbet, the chief of police there, has been 22 very instrumental in its success. And we just think it 23 is a remarkable model that we would like to see 24 duplicated. 25 MEMBER CASAS: Yes, it sounds great, and it sounds

like it has a lot of promise for statewide use. But is anything being addressed for current vacancy needs, like, now, for dispatchers and police officers, as far as recruitment issues, things of that nature?

MR. STRESAK: Mario, this is -- you know, in the past, we've had recruitment symposium, and identified best practices and support of the field in that way.

I mentioned in the past that when you talk to agency after agency, they'll all say they're dealing with a dwindling pool of candidates, and that they will endeavor to put bigger hooks into that dwindling pool. There will be signing bonuses, there will be take-home cars, there will be low-cost housing mortgages, et cetera, et cetera. But no one has really worked to improve the stream into the pool.

This is a long-term effect. We'll probably see, start accruing benefits five to seven years from now in terms of at least the local level.

Some of the side effects is, there's a feeling that, at the very least, that if kids don't go into public safety, they'll be better citizens in the community, and might have a residual effect on crime rates, et cetera, et cetera. But this is a long-term strategy to try to catch kids at an earlier age, to make them aware of the ethical and character principles that they need to abide

by; and at the same time, provide them with an education. 1 2 I would encourage you to attend, if you have an 3 opportunity to go to the school. It's a rewarding 4 experience. 5 But the answer to your question directly, no, this is long-term. 6 7 MEMBER CASAS: Thank you, Bob. 8 MR. SMITH: And it's pretty encouraging to see these 9 young people in that environment. 10 MEMBER LINDSTROM: Lindstrom. 11 Yes, it might be a reminder to the commissioners and to the Advisory Committee, that a group of kids from this 12 13 school were the Honor Guard at the last Commission meeting. And they were impressive. And that's not their 14 15 focus, to be an honor guard, of course; it's for the full educational experience there in that school. But I've 16 17 been talking to Greg Kyritis for some time now, and we 18 are sending up a contingent from the Fresno area to see 19 that experience that they're having up there. 20 Thank you. 21 MR. SMITH: Any other questions? 22 MEMBER SPAGNOLI: I just have a comment. 23 I want to commend POST for taking on this issue. 24 I think as adults, we do have the responsibility to 25 invest in the future of -- really, the kids are going to

1	be taking our jobs.
2	We had an application period for a non-safety job.
3	350 applicants, and we're down to two. From 350, down to
4	two. And the failure rate of our backgrounds is over
5	80 percent. And that's including laterals as well.
6	So we don't have a problem attracting people; we
7	just have a problem hiring at that point.
8	So I think investing in the future is fabulous. And
9	I'm glad that POST saw this as our responsibility to take
10	it on. And so thank you.
11	MR. SMITH: Well, the credit really does go to Greg
12	Kyritis.
13	For those of you that know him, he is passionate
14	about this topic. And his involvement in these kind of
15	programs started back when he was still with the
16	San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department.
17	MS. BULLARD: Thank you, R.C.
18	CHAIR BOCK: Okay, anything else from anyone?
19	(No response)
20	CHAIR BOCK: Hearing nothing, meeting is adjourned.
21	(The gavel sounded.)
22	(The Advisory Committee meeting concluded
23	at 2:34 p.m.)
24	ఊ•••≼
25	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify:

That the foregoing proceedings were duly reported by me at the time and place herein specified; and

That the proceedings were reported by me, a duly certified shorthand reporter and a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand on November $14^{\rm th}$, 2013.

Daniel P. Feldhaus California CSR #6949 Registered Diplomate Reporter Certified Realtime Reporter