

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COMMISSION ON
PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING

POST COMMISSION
FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING



TIME: 10:00 a.m.

DATE: Wednesday, June 22, 2016

PLACE: Commission on Peace Officer Standards
and Training
860 Stillwater Road, Suite 100
West Sacramento, California



REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS



Reported by:

Daniel P. Feldhaus

California Certified Shorthand Reporter #6949

Registered Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter

Daniel P. Feldhaus, C.S.R., Inc.
Certified Shorthand Reporters
8414 Yermo Way, Sacramento, California 95828
Telephone 916.682.9482 Fax 916.688.0723
FeldhausDepo@aol.com

A P P E A R A N C E S

POST COMMISSION FINANCE COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT

PETER KURYLOWICZ, JR.
(*Finance Committee Chair*)
Deputy Sheriff
Riverside County Sheriff's Department

GEOFF LONG
Public Member

BATINE RAMIREZ
Deputy Sheriff
Placer County Sheriff's Department



POST STAFF PRESENT

(*participating staff*)

STEPHANIE SCOFIELD
Interim Executive Director
Executive Office

DAVID CORNEJO
Assistant Executive Director
(*Administrative Services Division*)
Executive Office

JANICE BULLARD
Assistant Executive Director
(*Standards and Development Division*)
Executive Office

CONNIE PAOLI
Administrative Assistant to the Director
Executive Office

CHARLES YOUNG
Associate Budget Analyst
Administrative Services

DARLA ENGLER
Bureau Chief
Administrative Services

I N D E X

<u>Proceedings</u>	<u>Page</u>
Call to Order and Welcome	4
Roll Call of Committee Members	4
1. Approval of February 24, 2016, Finance Committee Action Summary and Meeting Minutes	4
2. Report on Revenue as of April 30, 2016 . .	5
3. Report on Expenditures as of April 30, 2016	7
4. Report on Reimbursements for Fiscal Year 2015-16	10
5. Report on Proposed Budget for Fiscal Year 2016-17	13
6. Review of Proposed Contracts Report on Request to Contract for POST Internal Organizational Study	17
7. Old Business Report on Law Enforcement Consultant Salary Study	23
8. New Business	26
Adjournment	26
Reporter's Certificate	27



POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 22, 2016

Wednesday, June 22, 2016, 10:04 a.m.

West Sacramento, California



COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: Okay, if we can, we'll call this meeting to order. If we could keep it down to a low roar.

Doing a great job there. Thank you.

All right, I'd like to welcome the Committee members.

Do we do a roll call on that?

MS. PAOLI: Hutchens?

(No response)

MS. PAOLI: Kurylowicz?

COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: Here.

MS. PAOLI: Leichliter?

(No response)

MS. PAOLI: Long?

COMMISSIONER LONG: Here.

MS. PAOLI: McDonnell?

(No response)

MS. PAOLI: Ramirez?

COMMISSIONER RAMIREZ: Here.

COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: All right, thank you.

First up, is the approval of action summary and minutes from the action summary from the February 24th,

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 22, 2016

1 2016, meeting; and the meeting minutes of February 24th,
2 2016.

3 If I could get a motion to approve either or both.

4 COMMISSIONER LONG: So moved.

5 COMMISSIONER RAMIREZ: Second.

6 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: Motion by Long.

7 COMMISSIONER RAMIREZ: Second.

8 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: Second by Ramirez.

9 All right, it's going to be the "Long and Ramirez
10 Show."

11 Okay, all in favor?

12 *(A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)*

13 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: Any opposed?

14 *(No response)*

15 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: All right.

16 Next up, is the report on revenue as of April 30th.

17 Dave?

18 MR. CORNEJO: Thank you. And good morning,
19 Mr. Chair and Members of the Finance Committee.

20 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: Good morning.

21 MR. CORNEJO: Item 2 represents our revenue report;
22 and Attachment A is strictly the penalty assessments.

23 For the month of May, we did receive \$2.8 million.
24 So we've now received \$18 million for the year. It's
25 down from \$20.5 million that we received for the first

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 22, 2016

1 11 months of the 2014-15 fiscal year. However, we do
2 plan on receiving \$25 million for the 12 months.

3 And I'd just note: We were at one time expected to
4 receive \$36 million in revenue in the current year.
5 However, the funds from the amnesty program have just not
6 come in as anticipated.

7 COMMISSIONER LONG: Dave, that's not all -- that
8 can't all be attributed to the insufficiency of the
9 amnesty -- which, frankly, no one thought was going to
10 bring in any money, anyway.

11 MR. CORNEJO: Right, right. That's correct.

12 COMMISSIONER LONG: So what's the balance of that?

13 MR. CORNEJO: So we received about \$180,000 in the
14 last year due to amnesty, of the \$10 million we were
15 supposed to get. So \$9,840,000 is attributed to amnesty.
16 The rest is just dwindling every year, the last couple
17 of years. Penalty assessments just haven't been coming
18 in, just due to reduced revenues; a lot more settling,
19 I guess, in court. I'm not quite sure in terms of
20 statistics. But all of the agencies that receive funding
21 are having similar revenue issues.

22 Okay, so I respectfully am asking the Finance
23 Committee for a recommendation to the full Commission to
24 approve this revenue report.

25 COMMISSIONER LONG: So moved.

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 22, 2016

1 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: All right, thank you.

2 Next up is the report on expenditures as of
3 April 30th.

4 MS. PAOLI: Excuse me. Do we need a second?

5 COMMISSIONER RAMIREZ: Second

6 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: Ramirez.

7 COMMISSIONER LONG: Dave, can I ask a quick question
8 on the Driver Training Penalty Funds?

9 MR. CORNEJO: Yes.

10 COMMISSIONER LONG: It's not a lot of money. But
11 why has that changed so much? Most of that goes in the
12 General Fund, now, anyway; right? So...

13 MR. CORNEJO: I'm sorry?

14 COMMISSIONER LONG: You've got -- in one of your
15 charts here, you've got the total going from \$14 million
16 to \$1 million between -- over the prior fiscal year and
17 the current fiscal year.

18 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: Driver training,
19 page 2.

20 MR. CORNEJO: Attachment B? Or which attachment?

21 COMMISSIONER LONG: Yes, Item 2, Attachment B, the
22 transfer from the Driver Training Assessment Fund.

23 MR. CORNEJO: Okay, all right. So this attachment
24 represents how -- it's a cash basis. And so what happens
25 is, as soon as -- a lot of times, there's a delay in when

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 22, 2016

1 these funds are transferred. So last year, we didn't
2 receive a lot of the transfer until --

3 COMMISSIONER LONG: May, June.

4 MR. CORNEJO: -- May, June -- actually, July,
5 August, September.

6 So the Control Section 24.1 in the Budget Act
7 requires that the \$14 million be transferred from the
8 Driver Training Penalty Assessment Fund to our Peace
9 Officer Training Fund. The transfer started March last
10 year. This year, we didn't start getting funds until
11 April. I can tell you that in May, we did get
12 \$2.6 million. And so we anticipate we'll get the
13 additional \$10.4 million that we haven't already
14 received, in the next couple of months.

15 So under State government modified accrual
16 accounting standards, we will --

17 COMMISSIONER LONG: You're going to get it all?

18 MR. CORNEJO: -- we will go ahead and accrue the
19 residual amount because we know we're going to get it.

20 COMMISSIONER LONG: Thanks.

21 MR. CORNEJO: And I apologize, I'm looking at this,
22 and I went through Attachment A, and I should have
23 mentioned, you know, a lot of what we receive is in the
24 Driver Training Penalty Assessment Fund.

25 The Attachment C is small dollars. It's primarily

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 22, 2016

1 a sale of documents and income that we get on our Peace
2 Officer Training Fund.

3 And then the last attachment is the total "total."
4 And so we expect at the end of the year, for all of our
5 revenue sources, we'll get about \$22 million in the
6 current year. Last year, we received \$27 million
7 which -- for the first 11 months, I should say.

8 So the difference in \$5 million for current year
9 versus prior year, 50 percent of that is the delay in the
10 transfer of the Driver Training Funds, and 50 percent of
11 that is reduced Peace Officer Training Fund revenues.

12 COMMISSIONER LONG: Okay, thank you.

13 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: Any other questions?

14 *(No response)*

15 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: All in favor?

16 *(A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)*

17 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: The motion carries.

18 Okay, expenditures, Dave?

19 MR. CORNEJO: Okay, thank you.

20 So Item Number 3 is our expenditure report. And we
21 have five, what I call "buckets" that we're allowed to
22 spend from.

23 We currently show expenses and encumbrances totaling
24 about \$14 million. And that represents about \$11 million
25 in personal services for our staff and about \$3 million

1 of operating expenses.

2 Of the \$17.1 million allocated for the support
3 budget in the current year, we will spend \$17,102,000.
4 I don't foresee we're going to leave anything on the
5 table for the admin budget.

6 On the training contracts, we will probably leave
7 about 4 million on the table. We'll probably spend about
8 14 and a half million for training contracts.

9 For training reimbursements, I anticipate we'll
10 spend about 14 million. Due to staffing, we didn't quite
11 process as much reimbursements as we normally do the
12 first couple of months; but I expect, for May and June,
13 we'll process an additional 4 million, leaving about
14 maybe 3.6 million. And that 3.6 million in savings in
15 reimbursements, accompanied with the 4 million we're
16 saving in training contracts, will help keep our Peace
17 Officer Training Fund in the positive, given that we
18 didn't get the amnesty dollars.

19 And the Museum of Tolerance, we'll spend the full
20 2 million, probably.

21 Reimbursements, we just don't have as many
22 reimbursement contracts in the current year as we
23 normally do. So just some small dollar amounts.

24 That completes -- yes, that's the only attachment.
25 So that completes my report on expenses.

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 22, 2016

1 And I ask for your recommended approval of the
2 report to the full Commission.

3 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: Do I have a
4 recommendation?

5 COMMISSIONER RAMIREZ: So moved.

6 COMMISSIONER LONG: Second.

7 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: By Ramirez, second by
8 Long.

9 All right, any questions?

10 *(No response)*

11 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: All in favor?

12 *(A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)*

13 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: The motion carries.

14 What's next?

15 Report on the reimbursements for fiscal year
16 2015-16.

17 MR. CORNEJO: Okay, so Item 4, Attachment A,
18 represents the amount that we've paid out in training
19 reimbursements in the current year.

20 As I mentioned before, we kind of had a slow start
21 in paying reimbursements in the current year. We've
22 spent about \$10 million. We spent about \$12 million for
23 the first ten months last year. We will spend about
24 \$4 million more in May and June. So I anticipate, again,
25 that we will leave some money on the table for

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 22, 2016

1 reimbursements.

2 Any questions on this?

3 COMMISSIONER LONG: Just the obvious one.

4 MR. CORNEJO: Sure.

5 COMMISSIONER LONG: Why the 20 percent differential
6 in the first ten months? Just coincidence or...?

7 MR. CORNEJO: No, no. So we have just a few staff
8 that work on doing the reimbursements. And so last
9 summer, we had a late start in paying the reimbursements.
10 We had some vacations. And so we expect that by the end
11 of this year, we'll have about \$14 million spent.

12 COMMISSIONER LONG: And just one other quick
13 question, just looking at your chart, Dave, on the
14 residence subsistence. Is there some reason for that
15 differential?

16 MR. CORNEJO: Yes, so when you have folks that live
17 in the academy dorms, depending on when we get the bill
18 for resident subsistence, we may get it -- if the bills
19 come in in April or May versus June, July, they're
20 allowed -- you know, they can send their bills in later.

21 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: Any other questions?

22 *(No response)*

23 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: Okay, recommendation to
24 move to the full Commission?

25 COMMISSIONER LONG: So moved.

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 22, 2016

1 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: Second?

2 COMMISSIONER RAMIREZ: Second.

3 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: All in favor?

4 *(A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)*

5 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: The motion for the
6 recommendation carries.

7 Next up is Proposed Budget for Fiscal Year 2016-17.

8 MR. CORNEJO: Okay, so this is a timely report on
9 our proposed 2016-17 budget.

10 A couple of changes that I want to mention to this
11 chart. At the time of printing, this chart represented
12 what had gone forward to the Legislative subcommittees
13 from Finance, to include the Spring Revise or the
14 May Revise. At that time, Finance had requested that
15 an additional three and a half million be added to our
16 General Fund with an in-kind reduction to our Peace
17 Officer Training Fund because of anticipated reductions
18 in the budget year to our Peace Officer Training revenue.

19 So instead of the 13 and a half million, they had
20 added an additional 5 million -- excuse me, in addition
21 to the 13 million, they had added 3 and a half million
22 in the May Revise, bringing the total of General
23 Fund dollars to 16.5. So at the bottom, where you see
24 "General Fund," it shows 16.5.

25 However, during conference committee, an additional

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 22, 2016

1 5 million was added to the General Fund in order for us
2 to work with the Department of Justice on a program to
3 address procedural justice and implicit bias training
4 for local law enforcement. So we received an additional
5 5 million late -- about two weeks ago -- about ten days
6 ago, we received an additional \$5 million. That
7 \$5 million is available for expense or encumbrance
8 through June 30th, 2021, with an additional two years,
9 if you've encumbered it, you can expend it out. So
10 actually as long as we get bills from June 30, 2021, that
11 5 million will be available.

12 COMMISSIONER LONG: So instead of the three and a
13 half, you got five?

14 MR. CORNEJO: I'm sorry, instead of having four
15 years to --

16 COMMISSIONER LONG: No, the three and a half you
17 expected in the May Revision -

18 MR. CORNEJO: Right.

19 COMMISSIONER LONG: -- was increased to a total of
20 5?

21 MR. CORNEJO: So the 3 and a half million is
22 training-contract funds. The 5 million is procedural
23 justice funds.

24 COMMISSIONER LONG: So it's a 5 on top of the 3 and
25 a half?

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 22, 2016

1 MR. CORNEJO: Yes. So the 5 million, we can only
2 spend for specific functions.

3 Going back to January, the original 13 million that
4 we got in General Funds was for our training contracts,
5 and the 3 and a half also got added to our training
6 contracts.

7 So a couple of things that I want to point out on
8 this report also. So while 16 and a half million of the
9 new General Fund is for training contracts, what that
10 does is, it brings down our budget out of the Peace
11 Officer Training Fund from \$55 million, to only about
12 \$40 million now will be expended out of the Peace Officer
13 Training Fund.

14 So if you figure, we only anticipate in the budget
15 year, we only anticipate we're going to get about
16 9.2 million from the Driver Safety Training Fund. And
17 we figure we'll get --

18 COMMISSIONER LONG: I'm sorry, Dave, to interrupt.
19 I thought you said you'd get the full 14, earlier in the
20 budget?

21 MR. CORNEJO: 14 in the current year.

22 COMMISSIONER LONG: Not in the budget year?

23 MR. CORNEJO: Not in the budget year. Control
24 Section 24.1 has been reduced for the budget year so that
25 we only get 9.2 million.

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 22, 2016

1 So what I'm anticipating is if we get about
2 25 million from Peace Officer Training Fund, and then
3 the other 9.2, we'll have about 35 million -- I'm going
4 to guess about 35 million in complete revenues. But of
5 the authority that we have, of the 39, we may only spend
6 33 or 34 million, because we anticipate savings, again,
7 in reimbursements and in training contracts.

8 So we'll still be in the black in the budget year.
9 So our new budget, instead of the 58.8 million, we now
10 have 63.8 million for 2016-17. That's a 5 percent
11 increase.

12 Any other questions?

13 COMMISSIONER RAMIREZ: No.

14 MR. CORNEJO: No? Okay.

15 Again, we'll respectfully request a motion to
16 recommend approval to the full Commission.

17 COMMISSIONER RAMIREZ: Motion.

18 COMMISSIONER LONG: Second.

19 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: Motion by Ramirez,
20 second by Long.

21 All in favor?

22 *(A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)*

23 MR. CORNEJO: Okay, so at this time, I'm going to
24 respectfully ask for a moment of personal privilege from
25 the Chair.

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 22, 2016

1 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: So be it.

2 MR. CORNEJO: And I'm going to go ahead and
3 recognize the POST budget chief, Chuck Young, and Darla
4 Engler, his boss.

5 If you could stand up, Chuck and Darla.

6 These two folks have been busy, preparing all of
7 the fiscal documents. And they've been a lot of help,
8 helping me this last ten months to fully understand
9 POST's budget.

10 So, thank you very much.

11 *(Applause)*

12 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: And with that, we have
13 the contract for POST internal organizational study.

14 MS. BULLARD: Good morning, Mr. Chair, Members of
15 the Committee.

16 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: Good morning.

17 MS. BULLARD: In February 2013, the Commission
18 approved the Executive Director to enter into a contract
19 in order to update our Strategic Plan.

20 For 18 months, we worked with the California State
21 University of Sacramento Collaborative Policy Center,
22 our internal staff, members of our Advisory Committee,
23 members of our Commission, and members and
24 representatives of our external stakeholders in order to
25 develop the 2015 Strategic Plan and an implementation

1 document.

2 In June of 2015, the Commission approved both of
3 these documents that were designed to direct our
4 organization for the next three to five years.

5 One of the primary goals that was identified in the
6 new Strategic Plan, was to increase the efficiency in
7 POST systems and operations. And under that goal was
8 Strategic Plan Strategy B.3.1, which was to conduct an
9 organizational and workload study of POST, using our
10 internal resources.

11 And in thinking and discussing this, it was
12 subsequently determined that the project should also
13 include an outside vendor in order to bring an impartial
14 and a more global perspective to this study.

15 If this item is approved by the Commission, it is
16 our intent to go out through our state process to look
17 for a qualified vendor, keeping in mind that these
18 processes can take from four to five months to complete.

19 Once we have a contract that is fully executed, the
20 vendor is going to reach out to the Commission and to
21 the executive staff in order to set our parameters, and
22 to define what our desired outcomes are for this study.

23 The focus is going to be on all of our processes,
24 our course-certification process, our work distribution;
25 and we're going to ask them to look for any potential

1 risks.

2 The vendor is going to work in partnership with
3 one of our law-enforcement consultants from Management
4 Counseling Leadership Bureau, who has extensive
5 experience in doing organizational and workload studies
6 for large agencies. We expect that this study will take
7 approximately one year. And working in conjunction with
8 POST staff, it should not spend more than \$75,000.

9 Are there any questions regarding the proposed
10 request for organizational study?

11 COMMISSIONER LONG: My only question is about, who
12 are you thinking about? What type of organizations are
13 you looking at to be the qualified vendor?

14 MS. BULLARD: Well, what we have to do is go through
15 our process. So what we would do is put out a request
16 for proposal, and we go through the State process, and
17 then qualified vendors will apply. We then go through
18 a rating system that is also judged through the State and
19 approved through the Department of General Services.

20 So there are a lot of different vendors out there.
21 We can have schools that -- you know, colleges or
22 universities that put in for it; we can have the Center
23 for Collaborative Policy; we can have private vendors put
24 in for it. We don't know who will apply and propose to
25 do the work for us.

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 22, 2016

1 COMMISSIONER RAMIREZ: And who is the person you're
2 thinking of to represent POST?

3 MS. BULLARD: Right now, it's going to probably be
4 Joe Sampson from Management Counseling, because he has
5 had extensive experience in doing our workload studies
6 and organizational studies for most of our
7 law-enforcement agencies.

8 INTERIM EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SCOFIELD: So, Committee
9 Members, this is critical for our future as we move
10 forward with our Strategic Plan. It's time that we
11 take a critical eye at our organization, in terms of
12 identifying risks and gaps; and this is step one of that
13 process.

14 COMMISSIONER LONG: Well, it seems appropriate and
15 all. I'm just interested in who the qualified vendor
16 might turn out to be.

17 It seems to me that for POST, it would be really
18 great to get some significant substantive third-party
19 validation of these efforts from someone with, you know,
20 extensive credibility. You know, UC, something like
21 that. I'm just curious who -- I will be curious to see
22 what the pool looks like.

23 COMMISSIONER RAMIREZ: Was anybody else thought of
24 to -- who picked the person that's going to be
25 representing POST?

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 22, 2016

1 MS. BULLARD: Well, we've discussed it through
2 Executive staff and the bureau chief of that bureau.

3 INTERIM EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SCOFIELD: Also, the
4 consultant, Joe Sampson, he was a critical lead on our
5 development of our Strategic Plan that was approved; and
6 he currently does organizational studies for our clients.

7 COMMISSIONER LONG: So what's the extent of the
8 outreach, in terms of trying to get a good RFP? Do you
9 guys reach out to, say, UC and so forth? Or to what
10 extent is it advertised?

11 INTERIM EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SCOFIELD: We're allowed
12 to do market research throughout the state, which we have
13 done; and that will help us draft the RFP.

14 And if the Committee members or the Commission has
15 any input on that, we'd be more than happy to hear that.

16 COMMISSIONER LONG: And then the extent to which the
17 RFP is circulated, is that a decision you guys make or is
18 that DGS?

19 MR. CORNEJO: No, that would be DGS.

20 MS. BULLARD: DGS. We have the state system. They
21 have to go in, and they're notified when they are --
22 they sign up for what they're interested in and bidding
23 on in contracts, and they will get an e-notification that
24 this has come up as a bid for them to look at, and then
25 they can go to it and look through it.

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 22, 2016

1 COMMISSIONER LONG: Okay, so POST will write the
2 RFP, and DGS disseminates it?

3 MS. BULLARD: Correct. And DGS also approves our
4 RFPs. We have to go back and forth with them before the
5 wording is appropriate and meets all of the state
6 requirements for that.

7 COMMISSIONER LONG: What's the timing on the RFP?
8 It would be nice if -- I imagine some of the Commission
9 members would like to take an informal look at it.

10 Is that going to be available at some point?

11 INTERIM EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SCOFIELD: We can make
12 that available.

13 MS. BULLARD: We can certainly provide a draft copy
14 to any of the Commission members that would like to see
15 it.

16 COMMISSIONER LONG: I think that would be helpful,
17 yes. Thanks.

18 MS. BULLARD: Thank you.

19 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: Thank you.

20 Okay, we need a motion to recommend to the
21 Commission the authorization of the Interim Executive
22 Director to enter into a contract with the qualified
23 vendor for the POST organizational study.

24 COMMISSIONER RAMIREZ: Motion.

25 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: Motion by Ramirez.

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 22, 2016

1 COMMISSIONER LONG: Second.

2 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: Second by Long.

3 All in favor?

4 *(A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)*

5 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: The motion carries.

6 All right, any old business?

7 *(No response)*

8 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: Report on the
9 consultant salary study.

10 MR. CORNEJO: Okay, so we are now at the final
11 item that doesn't require a motion. And this is an
12 informational-only item. And so it is Item 7.

13 And way back in February, at our Finance Committee
14 meeting in Los Angeles, the Finance Committee directed
15 our staff to research the disparity between salaries,
16 compensation benefits just between our law-enforcement
17 consultants and similar series in state service.

18 And so our human-resource staff identified various
19 series, both peace officer and non-peace officer, with
20 somewhat similar duties.

21 We pulled the state specifications, gathered
22 additional information. And based on the duties that
23 our law-enforcement consultants perform and the fact
24 that they're not peace officers, the most similar
25 classification we could find was a field-representative

1 classification that is used by the Board of Corrections.

2 And they, in fact, very similar to POST, they have
3 about 24 individuals who perform these duties. And so
4 in their typical tasks, the field representatives
5 develop, revise, and promulgate for adoption standards;
6 they're involved with selection and training of
7 personnel. They also perform other duties. The field
8 representatives at the Board of Corrections are also
9 involved in funding for local jail construction; they
10 work on fire, and life-safety, security, sanitation,
11 custody issues. And their span of duties is quite a bit
12 more than the law-enforcement consultant. But as you can
13 see from the chart that was attached, Attachment A, the
14 field representative maxes out at \$10,286 a month, while
15 our senior law-enforcement consultant makes about \$1,200
16 less.

17 This is an item that's been discussed for various
18 years; and it has been deferred because of our funding
19 issues. We haven't had the funding. We understand
20 that if we go forward to the Administration, the
21 Administration would have a concern with the additional
22 salary, until a long-term decision -- decisions are made
23 on a fund source that can cover the additional salary,
24 we haven't gone forward. We wanted to share this
25 information with you because it is our goal, eventually,

1 once we have a stable fund source, whatever that fund
2 source be, that this is something we want to pursue.

3 Do you have any questions?

4 COMMISSIONER LONG: I would only note that per some
5 of your own information in the attachments and so forth,
6 the one that seems most relevant, I think you note that,
7 was the BSCC positions. But I actually don't think it
8 helps you too much to compare these positions with the
9 bureau chiefs and assistant bureau chiefs of DOJ, and
10 some of the law-enforcement peace officer staff. I don't
11 know if that helps the case that much.

12 On the Board of Corrections, the field rep, that
13 seems to me to be a good...

14 MR. CORNEJO: Yes.

15 COMMISSIONER LONG: Because none of them are peace
16 officers, right, similar to your division; right?

17 MR. CORNEJO: So on Attachment A, the DOJ
18 positions -- the assistant bureau chief for Division of
19 Law Enforcement, they do have some that are peace
20 officers; and, of course, the bureau chief at the
21 Division of Law Enforcement is a peace officer. There
22 are folks in Division of Law Enforcement that are ABC's
23 assistants that are not peace officers. So they use
24 different class codes there at DOJ. But because those
25 positions, the duties of those aren't similar to

POST Finance Committee Meeting, June 22, 2016

1 law-enforcement consultants, we really didn't look at
2 those as comparables.

3 COMMISSIONER LONG: Right. Maybe ABC.

4 MR. CORNEJO: Yes.

5 And that completes my report.

6 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: Thank you, David.

7 Any new business at this time?

8 *(No response)*

9 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: Seeing none, motion
10 for adjournment?

11 COMMISSIONER RAMIREZ: Motion.

12 COMMISSIONER LONG: Second.

13 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: Motion and second.

14 All in favor?

15 *(A chorus of "ayes" was heard.)*

16 COMMITTEE CHAIR KURYLOWICZ: Okay, the "ayes" have
17 it.

18 Thank you, ladies and gentlemen, for coming down to
19 the Finance meeting today. I appreciate your turn-out.
20 It seems we have a bigger crowd than we do a board. But
21 thank you for coming down today.

22 And thank you, Board Members.

23 *(The Finance Committee meeting concluded*
24 *at 10:36 a.m.)*

25 

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify:

That the foregoing proceedings were duly reported by me at the time and place herein specified; and

That the proceedings were reported by me, a duly certified shorthand reporter and a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand on July 11th, 2016.

Daniel P. Feldhaus
California CSR #6949
Registered Diplomat Reporter
Certified Realtime Reporter